AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING SECONDARY SCHOOL SELECTION

Shirley Sugita A/P Krishna

A research project submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of

Master of Business Administration

Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman

Faculty of Accountancy and Management

April 2015

AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING SECONDARY SCHOOL SELECTION

By

Shirley Sugita A/P Krishna

This research project is supervised by

Chong Shyue Chuan Faculty of Accountancy and Management Copyright @ 2015

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this paper may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, without the prior consent of the authors.

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that:

- (1) This Research Project is the end result of my own work and that due acknowledgement has been given in the references to all sources of information by they printed, electronic or personal.
- (2) No portion of this research project has been submitted in support of any application for any other degree or qualification of this or any other university, or other institutes of learning.
- (3) The word count of this research report is ______.

Name of Student:	
Student ID:	
Signature:	
Date:	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"Even though I walk through the darkest valley, I will fear no evil, for you are with me" Psalm 23:4

When I started my research, this was how I felt, as though walking through a tunnel not knowing if I will be able to see the light. But God reminded me of this verse and I thank God for guiding me and giving me strength to persevere and finish the race. Every time I felt like giving up, God will somehow show me a direction and during my worst days, he carried my burdens with me.

My entire MBA journey would never have happened if my parents had not pushed me to do it. Thank you Appa for reminding me that it is never too old to gain knowledge and Amma for always believing in me and funding my MBA program. To my sisters Akka and Lat, thank you for checking all my work, my progress, helping me with my survey respondents, correcting my grammar, even my margin. But most of all thank you for encouraging me to press on whenever I felt like throwing in the towel. Even my god-daughter Asha prayed and encouraged me when I was down.

My appreciation also goes to Sharina from Help University, Sharon from Nottingham University and Sim Jun Yi from Bank Negara Malaysia for really helping me with my logistic regression test. Each of you all gave me some kind of a lead to what I should be doing. I owe you all.

Thank you also to all my respondents who went the extra mile to answer the survey and give them back to me because without your responses, I would never be able to have any data to run my test.

To my supervisor, Mr Chong Shyue Chuan, thank you for allowing me to complete my research project and guiding me until the very end of my submission.

My family has stood by me during my entire MBA program and have supported, encouraged, prayed and cried along with me. I will remember this journey.

v

Dedication

This research is dedicated to my Amma in fulfilment of her dream.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Copyright	iii
Declaration	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Dedication	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
Preface	xiv
Abstract	xv

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	4
1.3. Research Question	5
1.4 Research Objectives	6
1.5 Significance of Study	6
1.6 Scope of Study	7
1.7 Research Methodology	7
1.8 Research Organisation	8
1.9 Summary	9

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction	10
2.1 History of Malaysian Education	

2.1.1 Background of Malaysia Education System	12
2.1.2 National Curriculum	14
2.1.3 Private School Education	14
2.2 Statistical Information	15
2.3 Focus of the Study	15
2.3.1 Concept of quality and factors that determine quality in	
education	15
2.3.2 Preferences in terms of choice of school – monetary and	
geographical location	20
2.3.3 National curriculum – public and private school	24
2.3.4 Race or religion	25
2.3.5 Competitiveness of public and private schools and its eff	ect
on the performances of the school	26
2.4 Conclusion	27

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.0 Introduction	29
3.1 Research Framework	29
3.2 Hypotheses Development	30
3.2.1 Quality of education	30
3.2.2 Preference of school selection in terms of monetary and	
geographical location	
3.2.3 Type of syllabus	32
3.3 Research Design	32
3.4 Data Collection Method – Primary Data	33
3.5 Sampling Design	33
3.5.1 Target Population	34
3.5.2 Sampling Frame and Sampling Location	34
3.5.3 Sampling Element	34
3.5.4 Sampling Technique	35
3.5.5 Sampling size	35
3.6 Research Instrument	36

3.6.2 Questionnaire Design. 37 3.7 Construct Measurement. 38 3.7.1 Data Scale of Measurement. 41 3.8 Data Processing. 42 3.8.1 Questionnaire Checking. 42 3.8.2 Data Editing. 43 3.8.3 Data Coding. 43 3.8.4 Data Transcribing. 43 3.8.5 Data Cleaning. 43 3.9 Data Analysis. 44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. 44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. 44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. 44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. 45 3.10 Conclusion. 45	3.6.1 The purpose of using Questionnaire	
3.7.1 Data Scale of Measurement. 41 3.8 Data Processing. 42 3.8.1 Questionnaire Checking. 42 3.8.2 Data Editing. 43 3.8.3 Data Coding. 43 3.8.4 Data Transcribing. 43 3.8.5 Data Cleaning. 43 3.9 Data Analysis. 44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. 44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. 44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. 44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. 45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. 45	3.6.2 Questionnaire Design	
3.8 Data Processing. .42 3.8.1 Questionnaire Checking. .42 3.8.2 Data Editing. .43 3.8.3 Data Coding. .43 3.8.4 Data Transcribing. .43 3.8.5 Data Cleaning. .43 3.9 Data Analysis. .44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. .44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. .44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. .44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis .45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. .45	3.7 Construct Measurement	
3.8.1 Questionnaire Checking. .42 3.8.2 Data Editing. .43 3.8.3 Data Coding. .43 3.8.4 Data Transcribing. .43 3.8.5 Data Cleaning. .43 3.9 Data Analysis. .44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. .44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. .44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. .44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. .45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. .45	3.7.1 Data Scale of Measurement	41
3.8.2 Data Editing. 43 3.8.3 Data Coding. 43 3.8.3 Data Coding. 43 3.8.4 Data Transcribing. 43 3.8.5 Data Cleaning. 43 3.9 Data Analysis. 44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. 44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. 44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. 44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. 45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. 45	3.8 Data Processing	42
3.8.3 Data Coding.	3.8.1 Questionnaire Checking	42
3.8.4 Data Transcribing. 43 3.8.5 Data Cleaning. 43 3.9 Data Analysis. 44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. 44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. 44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. 44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. 45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. 45	3.8.2 Data Editing	43
3.8.5 Data Cleaning. .43 3.9 Data Analysis. .44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. .44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. .44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. .44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. .45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. .45	3.8.3 Data Coding	43
3.9 Data Analysis. .44 3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. .44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. .44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. .44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. .45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. .45	3.8.4 Data Transcribing	43
3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis. .44 3.9.2 Scale Measurement. .44 3.9.2.1 Reliability Test. .44 3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis. .45 3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression. .45	3.8.5 Data Cleaning	43
3.9.2 Scale Measurement	3.9 Data Analysis	44
3.9.2.1 Reliability Test	3.9.1 Descriptive Analysis	44
3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis.453.9.2.3 Logistic Regression.45	3.9.2 Scale Measurement	44
3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression45	3.9.2.1 Reliability Test	44
	3.9.2.2 Factor Analysis	45
3.10 Conclusion	3.9.2.3 Logistic Regression	45
	3.10 Conclusion	45

CHAPTER 4 RESEARCH RESULTS

4.0 Introduction	47
4.1 Descriptive Statistics	47
4.1.1 Respondent's Demographic Profile	48
4.2 Reliability Test	51
4.3 Factor Analysis	53
4.3.1 Factor Analysis showing the extraction of component	s for the
quality of education (QE) variable	54
4.3.2 Factor Analysis showing the extraction of component	s for the
preference in terms of choice of school – monetary an	nd
geographical location (PMG) variable	56
4.4.3 Factor Analysis showing the extraction of components	s for the
type of syllabus (TS) variable	
4.4 Logistic Regression	

4.4.1 Logistic regression for quality of education (QE)	59
4.4.2 Logistic regression for preference in terms of choice of	
school; monetary and geographical location (PMG)	62
4.43 Logistic regression for type of syllabus (TS)	65
4.5 Discussion on the hypothesis	67
4.6 Conclusion	71

CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

5.0 Int	roduction	.72
	5.1 Summary of descriptive analysis	72
	5.2 Summary of reliability test	.73
	5.3 Summary of factor analysis	74
	5.4 Limitations of the study	.74
	5.5 Recommendations	.75
	5.6 Suggestions for further study	.77
	5.7 Conclusion	. 78
Refere	nces	.79
Appen	dices	.85

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1: Quality of Education Construct and Measurement Items	38
Table 3.2: Preference of School Selection in terms of Monetary	
and Geographical Location Construct and Measurement Items	39
Table 3.3: Type of Syllabus Construct and Measurement Items	40
Table 3.4: Summary of Likert Scale Used to Measure Variables	41
Table 4.1: Respondent's Demographic Profile	48
Table 4.2: Interpretation of Cronbach's Alpha Test Result	51
Table 4.3: Reliability Test Result for Each Variable	51
Table 4.4: Reliability Statistics and Item-Total Statistics for preference	
of school selection in terms of monetary and geographical locatio	n
(PMG)	52
Table 4.5: Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy	53
Table 4.6: Results from factor analysis test – quality of education (QE)	54
Table 4.7: Results from factor analysis test – preference in terms of	
school choice (PMG)	56
Table 4.8: Results from factor analysis test – type of syllabus (TS)	57
Table 4.9: Classification	59
Table 4.10: Results from Block 1: Method = Enter	59
Table 4.11: Results from Block 1: Method = Enter (Variables in the Equatio	n)
and Block 1: Method =Forward Wald	60
Table 4.12: Classification	62
Table 4.13: Results from Block 1: Method = Enter	63
Table 4.14: Results from Block 1: Method = Enter (Variables in the Equatio	n)
and Block 1: Method = Forward: Wald	64
Table 4.15: Classification	65
Table 4.16: Results from Block 1: Method = Enter	65

Table 4.17: Results from Block 1: Method = Enter (Variables in the Equation)..69

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 3.1: Conceptual framework relating to the comparison of	
private and public school education	

PREFACE

The aim of this research was part of the requirement for every Masters of Business Administration student to fulfil in order to complete the program. The title for this research project is "Empirical study of factors influencing secondary school selection".

Over the years the education system in Malaysia have gone through many changes in terms of policies, syllabi and curriculum, and parents have had to constantly deal with these changes. The environment of public and private schools around Klang Valley and other parts of Malaysia has evolved. The overall education system in Malaysia has become so competitive that parents want to ensure that their children receive the best education that this country can provide.

This research will help parents to have a better understanding of the factors that may help them to choose between private and public school education for their children; namely quality of education, preference in terms of monetary and geographical location and type of syllabi.

ABSTRACT

This study attempted to investigate the possible factors that would affect parent's decision on choosing the right school for their children; being private or public school education. A total of 200 respondents around Klang Valley was selected to participate in the survey by answering questions pertaining to quality of education, preference of school choice in terms of monetary and geographical location and type or syllabus. Factor analysis and logistic regression was used to carry out the sampling. The results concluded that a well equipped school was an important factor in determining the quality of education and parents were sensitive towards the mode of transportation for reasons of safety and convenience while for syllabus, parents believed that the curriculum taught should not be for the sole purpose of academic achievement but also for character building.