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Virtual Personal Bookshelf System (VPS) is a Document Management System developed to provide individual level of user document management. This project develops VPS to overcome user problems and fulfill user requirements in document management. The modules achieved in this project are document Categorization, Recommendation, Search and Sharing. The application utilizes document processing, calculation algorithms and cloud computing system storage to achieve its modules. The system was innovated by reviewing benchmarked system development and designed by integrating benchmarked algorithm. The system structure consists of Client side application and Server side application where both sides requires connection to system database and file system storage. Client side application functions to provide user connectivity with the system, user side document categorization, display processed recommendation from the server side application, user document searching and document sharing through cloud system. Server side application works as a system database management application, performs entire system document categorization and processing and process recommendation for every system users. The system is implemented and tested to gain user evaluation compared to the closest commonly used personal document management system, Windows Explorer. Lastly, the project was concluded based on the system testing result and stated for its future improvements.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

This project is innovated based on a few reviewed problem statements. The Problem Statements are listed below.

**Problem 1: Required Flexible storage options in enterprise organization (Top Five Requirements for Secure Enterprise File Sync and Sharing, Citrix 2015)**

Need to meet compliance requirements and to simplify management in enterprise organization or for personal usage. In current advancing society, sharing and file synchronization is required to ease user activities. This is because users require instant file storage and file transfer in interdependent society activities among users.

**Problem 2: Need to index and organize large amount of documents of users (Why Document Management: a White Paper 2008)**

Users, especially in enterprises possess huge amount of documents. These documents are required to be organized and managed to ease organization transactions. Besides that, organizations are able to save costs and reduce expenses to categorize documents.

**Problem 3: Required to increase productivity and efficiency in daily activities with efficient document management. (Document Management Overview 2007)**

Users are required to increase productivity and efficiency in daily activities. Therefore with efficient documentation management included recommendation functionalities and filtering index document management is required to improve user productivity.
1.2 Background and Motivation

Text Documents represents the basic structure of information and data storage. Since the beginning of human civilization, text document had been utilized for information sharing and storage. However over few decades, Physical text document had been digitized into virtually stored data information. With the help of computer systems, mankind had been transforming classical written text documents into digitized documents. According to a reviewed report, an average amount of 250 megabytes of data per person per year is produced and only 0.003 percent of the total amount is printed form. (From Measurement to Management Using Data 2004). Furthermore, these numbers are predicted to increase drastically (Cutting the clutter tackling information overload at the source 2009).

This phenomenon triggers a problem to manage these increasing amounts of digital documents. Therefore systems to manage digitized documents are required to solve these problems. This project proposes a solution by developing a system application with problem solving algorithms in handling the mentioned problems.

1.3 Objectives

This project objective proposes a solution by developing a document management system specifically in managing users personal documents and provide feasibility to users of the system. The objectives of the project are as follows:
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Objective 1: To provide file system sharing between users and instant document storage

The development of document management system attempts to provide document allocation between users of the system while facilitating instant document storage beyond user’s personal local disk drive.

Objective 2: To facilitate document organization among amounts of document owned by users

The Categorization functionality of this developed application contributes basic document organization. The solution strives to help users save time and expenses to reorganize important document in their local machines.

Objective 3: To assist user in improving daily productivity by minimizing hectic processes of document managing

This project aims to handle this matter by providing documents to users using recommendation and document searching algorithms. Improving methods to bring document to users is one of main goal of this project.

1.4 Proposed Approach

The Proposed Solution illustrates a System Application named as Virtual Personal Book Shelf (VPS). The system consists of client side application and server side application as shown in Figure 1.1.

The overall system flow requires the two sides of application. On the Client side application determines documents held or provided by the user. If changes detected by the system, temporary categorization will occur. After that, the system
will determine if there are new recommended documents or notifications from other users. On the Server side application, the administrator of the system detects changes on the database and performs Categorization and Recommendations upon detected changes. The brief descriptions of the system flow are as follows:

**Client Side Application**

1. **Client Application Login**
   This process determines the client user who starts the system and determines the document possessed by them.

2. **Local Document Categorization**
   If some new documents detected on a client user computer, categorization will occur based on the newly acquired documents. The system will temporary determine categories for the new documents and update database for the new changes.

3. **Determine Recommendations**
   System determines recommendation to the user by retrieving database information.

4. **Determine Notifications**
   System determines notifications from other users updated sometime before the current user starts the system. These notifications consists of shred documents or updated user network.

5. **Document Search**
   The system provides search functionalities to users to ease document retrieval on the local machine side.
6. Share Document
Users are able to share documents among themselves using the system.

Server Side Application

1. Whole Database Document Categorization
Server side application determines changes and performs overall document categorization. This procedure collects the documents on the whole system and process to determine the actual categories of each documents.

2. Process Acquired Recommendation
After collecting categories for each documents, the system will calculate and produce document allocation for every concerning users.

3. Manage Database
Server side application provides database management to the administrator user. The admin is able to forcefully make changes to the database if required.

4. Update Stopwords, Stemwords and Synonyms
On the server side application, admins of the system are able to update Stopwords, Stemwords and Synonyms changed on the world dictionary. This is to facilitate accuracy to the system on processing categorization and recommendation.
Figure 1.1: VPS Flow Chart
1.5 Project Achievement

The achievement of this project consists of a few modules of the system. Each module utilizes studied algorithms and innovated solutions. The modules are as follows,

**Module 1: Categorization**

This module applies the algorithm of clustering. The steps and methods working with this module are as follows.

1. Eliminate stop words
2. Eliminate stem words
3. Form matrix for frequent words of each document
4. Compare similar frequent words between documents
5. Find the words with maximum frequency of pair of documents
6. Group documents into cluster
7. Identify topics and label them with topic cluster.

**Module 2: Recommendation**

Recommendation module applies of Collaborative Filtering algorithm. The following shows the brief procedure and formula for this algorithm.

1. Determine number of documents in each user’s document categories
2. Calculate similarities \( \text{sim}(i,j) \) between each user pairs \( (i \text{ and } j) \). Formula below shows the calculation for similarities and average similarities between users

\[
S = \frac{\text{User A Doc}_i}{\text{User B Doc}_i} \times 100\%
\]

User J Doc\( _i = \text{Number of User J's document in category } i \)

\( S = \text{Similarity percentage between users} \)

Figure 1.2 Similarity percentage formula

\[
\text{Avg}S = \frac{\text{Total}S}{\text{Total No. of Categories}}
\]

\( \text{Avg}S = \text{average similarity} \)

Figure 1.3 Average similarity formula

3. Obtain total list of pairs of similarities

4. Group users in cluster and perform recommendation using average % similarity to do recommendation. Numbers of documents to be recommended are as follows.

\[
\text{No of Doc to recommend} = \text{Round}(\text{Avg}S \times \text{No. of Doc in a category})
\]

Figure 1.4 Number of Documents to recommend formula
Module 3: Search

Search module of the system consists of 2 integrated algorithms namely, Indexing algorithm and Search algorithm. The system requires document indexing with the indexing algorithm before performing Search algorithm. The procedure summarized for the both algorithms are as follows,

Indexing Algorithm

Indexing algorithm is the composite of a few sub algorithms. The algorithm takes in the conditions to perform word indexing. The conditions are listed as follows.

1. **Word count algorithm**
   Calculate maximum number of terms in a document (top 3 most frequent words are used in this project)

2. **Unique Word Algorithm**
   Word which appears least documents among all documents. This algorithm does not applies only for a document each but considers a collection of document before performing the procedure.

3. **Bold algorithms**
   Take in considerations of bold words within documents. (Applies only for documents that possess bold words properties)

4. **Italics algorithms**
   Take in considerations of all italic words within documents. (Applies only for documents that possess italic words properties)

5. **Heading or subheading algorithms**
   Take in consideration of words of headings within documents. (Applies only for documents that possess heading and subheading properties)
Search Algorithm

Search algorithm applies 2 sub-algorithms in performing query search. The algorithms are described as follows:

1. **Exact Query Search algorithm**
   Search documents based on exact word of user query. This algorithm is the basic compare and match words for each document indexed before producing search result.

2. **Interpreted Query Search algorithm**
   Search on synonym of the word of user query. Interpreted query matched user query words with similar meaning of indexed words. Besides the exact word the user queries, the words that is in the synonym list will be selected.

Module 4: Sharing

Sharing module of this system utilizes cloud storage and file transfer features among users. Client users are able to share documents through internet connection within the system application. For this project, Dropbox api was used as server file storage and file transfer for client users.
1.6 Report Organization

This report is organized as follows, on the current chapter, **Chapter 1: Introduction** describes the problem statements, motivation of the project study, objectives and proposed approach. The rest of the report organizations are **Chapter 2: Literature Review**, **Chapter 3: System Design**, **Chapter 4: Methodology and tools**, **Chapter 5: Requirement**.

Chapter 2 reveals the benchmark studies and previous system reviews compare to the proposed system. The chapter also describes the algorithms used in to develop the software. On chapter 3, the system design is described. The chapter consists of diagrams and figures to demonstrate the detail explanation of the system structure and data flows.

Meanwhile, the rest of the software development methodology and tools are described at chapter 4 and chapter 5 states the requirement to deploy and execute the system application.
Chapter 2: Literature Review

The article review for this project consists of a few similar system application reviews and algorithm reviews. System review provides reference and comparisons to proposed system while algorithm reviews provide guidance in the system development.

2.1 System Review

Article 1: Generation and Maintenance of Semantic Metadata for Personal Multimedia

The article presents the K-IMM system, an joined approach for ontology-based personal multimedia document management. The system manages documents by obtaining document metadata. Document properties like date stored in the hard drive, source of the documents were obtained from, title for the document, type of document stored and etcetera are used in this system to form organization of documents. The system is efficient for managing documents in personal computers, details of user’s documents are effectively available however the system does not analyse document’s contents or hidden information. Therefore the system is unable to organize documents correctly if the document does not contain sufficient specified information.
Article 2: Semantic Based Personal Computer Resource Management System

The benchmarked model proposed in this literature review is the Ontobook system. The model utilises semantic approach to manage user’s personal document where user’s daily behaviour on the computer are being considered. The advantage of this system is that it actively tracks user’s action on the computer and able to perform query search based on user’s input. In other words, searching document with the system provides more accuracy with the system considers user preference. The disadvantage of this model however it is limited with management of user documents on a specific computer. No document sharing and the system do not cope well with new irregular added documents to the system.

Benchmarked System and Proposed System Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>K-IMM system</th>
<th>Ontobook system</th>
<th>VPS system (Proposed Solution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Categorization</td>
<td>Document metadata management based</td>
<td>User behaviour on document management based</td>
<td>Document content and user preference categorization management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Based on user behaviour to generate user preference for document filtration</td>
<td>Collaborative filtering among users’ behaviours on the system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.2 Algorithm Review

There are a few algorithms reviewed to provide inspiration and guidance for the development of this project. However, a number of the reviewed algorithm are selected to facilitate the system development. The article reviews are as follows

**Article 1: A Frequent Term Based Text Clustering Approach Using Novel Similarity Measure**

The benchmarked algorithm proposed clustering method in document categorization. The categorization process begin by eliminating stop words and stem words of documents, then form matrix for frequent words of each documents and compare similar frequent words between documents. The documents are then paired up with maximum words frequency and group into cluster, thus identified topics with topic cluster. The algorithm is analysed and proposed to implement in the project system’s document categorization module.
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Article 2: Collaborative Filtering Recommendation Algorithm Based on Cluster

This article proposed collaborative filtering method in conducting recommendation. The process involves determining number of documents in each user’s document categories, calculate similarities between users of number of documents and obtain total list of pairs of similar users. Finally the users are grouped into clusters and perform recommendation. This algorithm is implemented to support the recommendation module of this project.

Article 3: Intelligent Search Engine Algorithms on Indexing and Searching of Text Documents using Text Representation

The document search module consists of algorithm proposed by this article. The algorithm consists of indexing and search query section. In indexing section, the algorithms are:

1. Word count algorithms
   Calculate maximum number of terms in a document in determining document index. In this article the maximum word count 15 obtained is the top 3 most frequent words in a document.

2. Unique word algorithms
   This algorithm considers words which appears in the least documents among all documents. The words are considered unique for document indexing.

3. Bold algorithms
   Bold words are taken for document indexing in this algorithms
4. Italic algorithms
   Italic words are taken for document indexing in this algorithm.

5. Heading or subheading algorithm
   All headings in a document are taken in documents indexing.

Indexing section algorithm integrates the above algorithms and when user perform search, search query section comes in. Search query section algorithm of this article consists of:

1. Exact query search algorithm
   This algorithm conducts search on exact word of user query

2. Interpreted query search algorithm
   Synonym of user query`s word is search to match documents index in this algorithm.
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VPS system is composed of client and server application interconnected with each other through database and cloud file storage system. To further elaborate the system design, the following diagrams and descriptions tends to furnish detail overview of the system.

3.1 Use Case Diagram

Figure 3.1: VPS Use Case Diagram

Figure 3.1 shows the use case diagram for VPS system. The system consists of 2 actors, the client user and admin user. Both actors of the system interact using
various different use cases and join interactions with a single use case involving system database. Client user and admin use cases are further describe as follows,

**Client Use Cases**

1. **Login (include)**
   Client enters credentials for the system to determine individual users. This use case determines the data to retrieve and display to current interacting user.

2. **Determine New Documents (include)**
   In this use case, the system determine whether the current user had supplied new documents. Other than that, the system also determines the documents the user currently possesses and prepares data values for display.

3. **Local Categorization (extend)**
   New documents detected will be processed for indexing and categorization. The categorized and indexed documents is recorded in the system database for further usage.

4. **Information Display (include)**
   This use case brings users to the main page with the provided features and information displayed to them. This use case gathers all relevant information and provides ease visibility to the user.

5. **Recommendations & Notifications (extend)**
   Before displaying information to users, this use case determines if there is new recommendation or notifications for the user. Users are able to accept the notifications or download recommended or shared documents to them.
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6. Share Documents (extend)
   If required, users are able to share documents in this use case. The system updates database upon determining the targeted user and documents.

7. Update Database (include)
   This use case enables update, insert and delete on the system database. Whether the data produced from processes of categorizations, recommendations or sharing goes through this use case. This is also where the server side application and client side application meets on data transfer.

Admin Uses Cases

1. System Start up (include)
   Admin user start up this use case which allows the system to detect changes made on the system database. If changes detected, the user is prompt to perform categorizations.

2. Global Categorization (extend)
   This use case is invoked when there are changes on the system database. The system downloads all the documents managed and process the documents with indexing and categorization processes. After this use case, the system updates the database and notify client users on the changes.
3. Database Management (extend)
   Admin users are able to manage database using the system. Any database modification is permitted to authorized admin users. This is to facilitate management on client user’s data if required.

4. Data Update (extend)
   This use case enables admin users to update required fixed data in the system. The data required are Stop word list, Stem word list and synonym list. These data are the prerequisites for the system algorithms.

3.2 Data flow Diagram

3.2.1 Context Diagram

![Figure 3.2 VPS System Context Diagram](image)

The context diagram shows the brief data transfer and basic structure of VPS system. As shown in the diagram, the system consists of client side application and admin side application. Both system processes interacts join and interact with each other at the center system database.
3.2.2 Client Side Data Flow Diagram

Figure 3.3 Client Side DFD
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Client Side Data flow diagram shown at Figure 3.3 illustrates the data flow throughout the system structure of VPS. At **process 1: Login**, the system performs Login for client users. Client users provide login credentials and request for system login. The system then permit authentications if user credentials is valid. After the login process, there are 3 processes each performs different data transfers. **Process 2: Determine Documents** determines the documents owned by client users.

Process 2 retrieves defined user directories from recorded data in the database and performs document extracting on each obtained directories. At this point, the system will determine new documents included by the user at **Process 3: Determine New Documents**. These new documents is then processed and categorized (**Process 4: Categorize New Documents**). This process take in predefined data of stop words and stem words from database and produce arranged documents and categories. Other than that, the system also performs **Process 5: index new documents** after obtaining the processed documents. This process produce word index to the database for further usage.

After branching from Process 3, the system then displays the required documents on **Process 8: Display information**. This process is carried out even the system does not detect new documents. On the other hand, before the system displays the overall information to the client user, **Process 6: Determine Recommendations** is performed which obtains system generated recommendations to the current user and display to the user. Besides recommendations, the system process notifications at **Process 7: Determine Notifications**. This process is made up of processing friend request notifications and shared document recommendations. Upon available notification, client user shall be alert.
After reaching the main page of the system application, the system idles for user operation, **Process 9: Await user interaction**. If the user requires to share documents, **Process 10: Share Documents** handles user share request by populating the database before alerting the targeted users. **Process 11: Add Directories**, enables users to add new directories while **Process 12: Add Friends** let users to add new friends. The two processes achieve their procedures by retrieving and updating system database.
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3.2.3 Server Side Data Flow Diagram

Figure 3.4 Server Side DFD
Figure 3.4 portrays the server side data flow diagram. On the beginning of system start up, the system check for changes on the database. (Process 13: Database Checking). If detected changes in database, the system download required documents (Process 14: Download Files).

For the purpose of this project study, simple cloud storage API is used to provide file storage. On the downloading document process, documents are downloaded into server machine from the cloud storage api. Follow up with next process, Process 15: Categorization, categorizes the downloaded database and produces organized documents. The algorithms used in this process are similar to client side algorithm.

The next process that the system performs is the recommendation process. (Process 16: Perform Recommendation). This process resembles client side application performing calculations for user recommendations by updating database and invoking the client side for recommended documents.

Besides VPS automated processes, the system also equipped with database configurations. Process 18: Manage VPS database, let admin users modify and manage system database, while Process 19: Update Stopwords, Stemwords, and synonyms facilitate admin to add required fix data for the system.
3.3 Entity Relationship Diagram

VPS system requires database structure for data transactions in and out of the system. The major entities involves in this system are:

1. CATEGORY
2. DOCUMENT
3. USER
4. User_DOCUMENT
5. DIRECTORIES
6. FRIEND
7. RECOMMENDATION
8. ChangeLog
9. STOPWORDS
10. STEMWORDS
11. SYNONYMS
12. SYNONYM_PAIR
13. WINDEX
14. DOCUMENT_WINDEX
15. GROUPS
16. USER_GROUPS

Figure 3.5 Shows the Entity Relationship Diagram for the above mentioned entities.
Figure 3.5 VPS Database ERD
As shown in Figure 3.5, the entity table portrays the data elements required for the system. The description for the functionalities of each entities are,

1. CATEGORY
   This entity is used to record the document categories generated by the system. Any new generated document category is recorded in the table and reference with its primary key.

2. DOCUMENT
   Document table records all the required document properties by the system. Each row of the table represents a document identified by the system.

3. USER
   This table records all the users interacting with the system with each of the user details required by the system. The properties in this table are usually required by the login process to identify each unique user.

4. User_DOCUMENT
   User_Document keeps track of every user and document relationships. A user may own many documents whereas a document may belong to many users. Therefore this table is to keep track every ownership of the users and documents.

5. DIRECTORIES
   This is the directory entity need by the system to identify all the directories defined by the users of the system. The directories enable the system to look
for user’s documents in their local machines to be extract and process by the system.

6. FRIEND

This table records the friendship established by the users of the system. Whenever a user is connected to another user through the system, data is recorded for the system to perform further operations like sharing of documents.

7. RECOMMENDATION

This entity tracks every sharing of documents between users and recommendations generated by the system. Every time a user logs into the system, VPS will retrieve data from this table to look for required notification for the user. On the other hand when the user requests for a recommendations by the system, this is the table that the system look for information.

8. ChangeLog

ChangeLog is the table that records required changes to the documents, users or ownership between users and documents in the system. This is to indicate whether or not the system is required to do categorization. This table data is mainly required by the server side application of the system.

9. STOPWORDS

As mentioned in the entity title, this table records the stop word data required by the system. The data needed by the system to filter stop words when reading documents for categorization.
10. **STEMWORDS**

   Stem words table records the stem words supplied by admin users for the system document reading process for further categorization process. This table has the usage that resembles the STOPWORDS table.

11. **SYNONYMS**

   This table tracks the words in the dictionary that has potentials for similar meaning as another. Synonyms are required for indexing process of the Search module of the system.

12. **SYNONYM_PAIR**

   This entity records every pair of synonyms identified by the system. The data links every record in the synonym table to form relationship for similar meaning referred on the dictionary. The data of this table is usually facilitated by the admin users as well.

13. **WINDEX**

   This table is the conceptual definition of word index that is recorded to index every document in the system. This is to facilitate the Search functionality of the system.

14. **DOCUMENT_WINDEX**

   Associate with the table WINDEX, this table links the documents and index produced upon every indexing process. Every documents that is indexed with a word in the indexing process are recorded in this table.
15. GROUPS

GROUPS is the table of records of groups defined by users. The purpose of this table record is to ease users to share documents. Instead of sharing documents to many users one by one, users able to share documents to a number of users associated to the groups.

16. USER_GROUPS

The existence of this table in the database is to provide relationships of users to the groups defined. Every record indicates a user belong in the group.

3.4 Data Definitions

Data types of the table entities mentioned in the previous section is crucial for the system database to perform is purpose. The data definitions of each of the tables are as shows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: CATEGORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category_ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category_Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1 CATEGORY Table Definition
### Table 2: DOCUMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document_ID</td>
<td>Document ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document_Name</td>
<td>Document Name</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document_Path</td>
<td>Document Path</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category_ID</td>
<td>Category ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CATEGORY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date_Created</td>
<td>Document date created/modified</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document_Type</td>
<td>Document file type</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Short preview of document content</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: DOCUMENT Table Definition

### Table 3: USER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User_ID</td>
<td>User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User_Name</td>
<td>User Name</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Password</td>
<td>User Password</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3: USER Table Definition
### Table 4: User_DOCUMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User_DOCUMENT_ID</td>
<td>Identification of document and user relationship</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User_ID</td>
<td>User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>USER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document_ID</td>
<td>Document ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DOCUMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4: User_DOCUMENT Table Definition

### Table 5: DIRECTORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directory_ID</td>
<td>Directory ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User_ID</td>
<td>User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>USER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directory_Path</td>
<td>Directory Path</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directory_Name</td>
<td>Directory Name</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: DIRECTORIES Table Definition

### Table 6: FRIEND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friendship</td>
<td>Friendship ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.6: FRIEND Table Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User_id</td>
<td>User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend_id</td>
<td>Friend with User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved status</td>
<td>BIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.7: RECOMMENDATION Table Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation_ID</td>
<td>Recommendation ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>DOCUMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document_ID</td>
<td>Document ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DOCUMEN T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User_ID</td>
<td>User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>Shared Status</td>
<td>BIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend_ID</td>
<td>Target User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Date recommended</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Time recommended</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.8: ChangeLog

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ChangeLog_ID</td>
<td>Change Log ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTime</td>
<td>Date and Time</td>
<td>DATETIME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.8: ChangeLog Table Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stopwords_ID</td>
<td>Stop words ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopwords_Text</td>
<td>Stop words text</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.9: STOPWORDS Table Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stemwords_ID</td>
<td>Stem words ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stemwords_Text</td>
<td>Stem words Text</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.10: STEMWORDS Table Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synonyms_ID</td>
<td>Synonym ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synonyms_Text</td>
<td>Synonym Text</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.11: SYNONYMS Table Definition
### Table 12: SYNONYM_PAIR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synonym_Pair_ID</td>
<td>Synonym pair ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synonym1</td>
<td>1st Synonym to be paired</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SYNONYMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synonym2</td>
<td>2nd Synonym to be paired</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SYNONYMS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.12: SYNONYM_PAIR Table Definition

### Table 13: WINDEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Index_ID</td>
<td>Word Index ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index_Word</td>
<td>Word Index Text</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.13: WINDEX Table Definition

### Table 14: DOCUMENT_WINDEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOCUMENT_WINDEX_ID</td>
<td>Document word index ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.14: DOCUMENT_WINDEX Table Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document_ID</td>
<td>Document ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DOCUMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index_ID</td>
<td>Word Index ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>WINDEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 15: GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group_ID</td>
<td>Group ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group_Name</td>
<td>Group Name</td>
<td>NVARCHAR</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group_Admin</td>
<td>Group Admin User</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>USER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.15: GROUPS Table Definition

### Table 16: USER_GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Foreign Key</th>
<th>FK reference table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USER_GROUPS_ID</td>
<td>User Group ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group_ID</td>
<td>Group ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>GROUPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User_ID</td>
<td>User ID</td>
<td>INT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>USER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.16: USER_GROUPS Table Definition
Chapter 4: Methodology and Tools

4.1 Methodology

Software development methodology implemented in this project development is the Evolutionary Methodology. Evolutionary methodology procedure involves improving software development stages by iterative and incremental approach to software development. The meaning of iterative and incremental development refers to the combinations of both iterative method and incremental build model for software development. The model is made up of essential process of modified traditional waterfall model.

Figure 4.1 Iterative Development Model

Figure 4.1 is the iterative development model where the model portrays repetitive process of planning, requirement gathering, analysis and design, implementation,
deployment, testing and evaluation. Each of the software development conducted within the same phase and iterates until software deployment.

Evolutionary methodology implies that other than forming a comprehensive artefact like requirements reviewed and accepts before creating comprehensive design model, the methodology allows evolutionary critical development over period in a repetitive manner.

Figure 4.2 Evolutionary Model on Agile Project
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Figure 4.2 displays the evolutionary methodology model. The phases of the software development methodology are as follows:

1. **Object and Data Schema Modelling**
   Usually involves class and data normalization techniques. The object and data modelling layouts the classes and data needed for the structure of the software.

2. **Map objects to data**
   Methods to solve impedance mismatch between objects and data is conducted at this stage. In evolutionary methodology, mapping is evolved over time and difficulties in mapping may motivate changes on objects and data.

3. **Test-Driven Development**
   This is the stage where software programs are tested and modified iteratively. This process continues until the program meets requirements.

4. **Refactoring**
   The stage where small improvements to the system in design without modifying the major module functionalities of the system are conducted. This process helps in evolve software design to achieve project objectives.

5. **Performance tuning**
   This is the phase where programmers fine tune the program to meet required performance. Other than that, this is the stage that the software program is adjust to a level compatible with the modern technologies.
Using the mentioned software methodology process, this project follows the iterative process as defined. Therefore the project realizations compatible with the development process are as follows.

1. **Object and Data Modelling**
   Classes of objects involving the project are designed on this stage. Object entities involved in this project are Documents and Users. Other than that, other classes required for program staging are also defined in this stage.

2. **Maps objects to Data**
   This is the software development process where layouts of the relationships and data are designed. For example for the user class, a user possess a number of documents and the document belongs in respective document categories.

3. **Test Driven Development**
   Programs are written in this phase and tested repetitively until the system meets analysis requirements with minimum error occurrence.

4. **Refactoring**
   Refactoring phase for this project development refers to the improvements of small functionalities to the software application. For example, a document contents preview functionality was added to provide users with ease of use of the system.
5. Performance Tuning

This stage of the software development involves improving software performance. One of the improvements done is that adding multithreading to document processing algorithm to speed up document reading process.

4.2 Tools

Tools used in this project are mostly software development tools and data management tools. The following briefly describe the major tools used in each part the software development process.

1. Software program

The software program written in this project is written in c# programming language. The program tools include packages provided by Visual Studio 2013 compatible for c# programming language. For example File IO system package, Data Adapter packages and so on.

2. Database Structure language

The database structure constructed for this software application are using MySQL and Transaction SQL database languages. For this project, online database are deployed. The database provider subscribed for this project is from the website www.db4free.net. The website uses MySQL language for database management and for Visual Studio to be able to connect MySQL database requires program reference package that is MySql.data package.
3. **Cloud File Storage and Transfer**

The software application file storage and file transfer used is the *Dropbox Api* provided by Dropbox cloud storage. To connect to the dropbox api, Visual Studio includes package reference of *Nemiro.OAuth*.

4. **Text File Management**

This project software application involves text document process. The reference package and file document types implemented in this software application are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Type</th>
<th>Program Reference Package</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Text Document File (.txt)</td>
<td>System.IO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Words Document (.doc or .docx)</td>
<td>Microsoft.Office.Interop.Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Acrobat Reader (.pdf)</td>
<td>itextsharp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: VPS Handling File Types and Reference Packages
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The software developed for this project includes requirements for the software to execute accordingly. The types of requirements are as follows.

1. Hardware Requirements
   For the hardware requirement, this software is developed under desktop application platform. Therefore it is required for the software to run on desktop computers or laptops. Other than that, any devices that compatibles with the application execution is required to run this program.

2. System Requirements
   System requirements for this software application specifically defined as Microsoft Windows operating system. The Windows OS version required are windows 7 and above, installed with .Net Framework of version 4 and above.

3. Other Requirements
   Other crucial requirements of this application are internet connection. The software is required to connect to the world wide web in order to connect to the database provider and cloud storage api.
Chapter 6: Implementation and Testing

To implement and test the proposed system, a session of system application test and survey question and answering has been conducted. A number of 20 users with various age and gender were gathered to use the system and answer some simple questions on the system.

The survey question was constructed by two major parts, Scenario Testing and User Acceptance Testing. The scenario testing was formed to test the system’s categorization module or document display clarity and search module by testing the speed and accuracy to perform searching of documents compared with commonly used personal document management system, Windows Explorer. On the second part, User Acceptance testing requires users to answer questions based on their perception on the software application. The main modules tested in this part are the Recommendation and Sharing module.

6.1 Testing Procedure and Description

The following describes the testing and evaluation process carried out.

I. Scenario Testing

To test the document display clarity (Categorization module for VPS) of both VPS and Windows Explorer, a set of documents was provided to users. The Documents are made up of 2 categories, a general category of Turtles and another specific family of turtles called “Macrochelys”. This is to create an illusion to testing users of the given document categories as every document provided in the set contains...
Chapter 6: Implementations and Testing

the document name of “turtle”. Figure 6.1 shows the list of documents given to users for testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Name</th>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African Sideneck Turtle.docx</td>
<td>14/8/2015 9:17 AM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>1,397 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alligator Snapping Turtle.docx</td>
<td>14/8/2015 9:18 AM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>116 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Snapping Turtle.docx</td>
<td>14/8/2015 12:08 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>263 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Turtle.docx</td>
<td>14/8/2015 12:09 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>185 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamondback Terrapin.docx</td>
<td>14/8/2015 11:05 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>53 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Tent Turtle.docx</td>
<td>16/8/2015 12:57 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>2,077 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud Turtles.docx</td>
<td>16/8/2015 12:58 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>149 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musk Turtle.docx</td>
<td>15/8/2015 4:49 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>260 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Ear Slider Turtle.docx</td>
<td>16/8/2015 12:56 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>48 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Turtles.pdf</td>
<td>25/8/2015 2:11 PM</td>
<td>PDFPlusReader</td>
<td>1,852 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted Turtle.docx</td>
<td>28/8/2015 3:13 PM</td>
<td>Microsoft Word</td>
<td>49 KB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.1: Scenario Test Documents (Categorization)

The users are required to view the documents in Windows Explorer and VPS and provide answers according to the categories they found.

The other test, which tests the search capability of VPS was again compared with Windows Explorer. This time the users are given another set of documents which consists of undefined documents and categories. The documents are shown at Figure 6.2.
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Figure 6.2: Scenario Test Documents (Search)

The text documents given contain the word “double” in the content text. However there is only one document contains the word “single” in the content. Users are required to use the search bar provided in both Windows Explorer and VPS to find and locate the document specified and provide feedback of how they feel on the speed of both systems search functionality. This is to test the system’s content based search functionality where whether or not VPS’s search functionality works better than of Windows Explorer’s.
II. **User Acceptance Testing**

In User Acceptance Testing, users are introduced with some hidden functionalities available in VPS. Users are encouraged to try the Recommendation page where the system generates recommended documents automatically and the sharing function where users are able to drag and drop documents to be shared to friends they had included in the system. After users had satisfied with the try an error session with the system, the users are required to rate the accuracy and efficiency of the Recommendation and Sharing functionality of VPS.

At the end of the question section, the users are also required to rate and elaborate on whether or not the system is easy to use, the attractiveness of the system’s graphical user interface and the most favourable functionality or controls of VPS. Finally, the users are asked a question of whether they accept the system as a market software application.

6.2 **Data Collection and Survey Results**

After the testing session, a number of results in data form had been collected. The results of every part of the test are as shows.

I. **Scenario Testing**

The first part of this system test, tests the **Categorization module**. The question and answers provided by users are shown at Figure 6.3 and Figure 6.4.
In Figure 6.3, answers obtained from users varied in number of document categories. Whereas the results obtain in Q2 clearly stated one single number by all users which matched the correct answer. Based on this result obtained, a conclusion can be deduced is that users are unable to identify how many categories of document handled by Windows Explorer as Windows Explorer does not show categories of documents without user indications. On the other hand, Users are able to find categories in VPS which are provided by the displayed application GUI.

On the search testing, the results obtained are interpreted in bar graph as shows.
Figure 6.5: Search Evaluation Result (VPS)

Results obtained in Figure 6.5 shows that there are more users who rate VPS search functionality slow than of Windows Explorer’s. However the maximum rate at VPS is at the rate fast while Windows Explorer is at the neutral between fast and slow. Therefore, it can be concluded that the speed of VPS search functionality are moderately equal with the speed of Windows Explorer. This is because VPS requires
internet connection to perform the function while Windows Explorer requires random access memory (RAM).

II. **User Acceptance Testing**

![Figure 6.7: Recommendation Evaluation Result](image)

![Figure 6.8: Sharing Evaluation Result](image)
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Based on Figure 6.7, most users rate VPS’s recommendation function as usefulness of rate 4 which is 60% of the whole test users. At Figure 6.8, users also rate 4 as usefulness for the Sharing functionalities. The result continues with Figure 6.9 ease of use of the system and attractiveness of the GUI at Figure 6.10.
The last two questions are to determine the functionality or controls that users prefer and whether or not they accept VPS as a market software application. The results are as shown.

![VPS Functions Users Prefer](image)

Figure 6.11: VPS Functions Users Prefer
Figure 6.11 shows that Users prefers the Sharing capabilities most of 56% of the entire number of users and 22% for Preview functionality which comes second of the obtained result. For the last evaluation, 90% of the users accepts VPS as a market software as shown in Figure 6.12. Therefore as a conclusion from the result obtained, VPS is a publically acceptable software application.
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7.1 Project Review

This project develops a Document Management System, VPS with the objectives of to provide file system sharing between users and instant document storage, to facilitate document organization among amounts of document owned by users and to assist user in improving daily productivity by minimizing hectic processes of document managing. The modules and functionalities achieved are documents Categorization, Recommendation, Search and Sharing.

The Sharing module enables users to have a document sharing platform. This module is to achieve the first objective which provides users a file system sharing between users. The Categorization module strives to achieve the second objective which provides document organization to users. The last two module of Recommendation and Search aims to achieve the third objective which is to improve user`s daily productivity.

Based on the results obtained from the system testing, the project development is concluded a success as it archives most of the project objectives. The system contains all the minimum required functionalities. However there exists some limitations and weakness of the software application. The first limitation of the system is the document processing. VPS requires a large amount of fix data like Stop word lists and Stem word list to have accurate content processing of documents. Another limitation of the system is that the system requires stable internet connection to the cloud and database servers to utilize its functionalities. The limitation occurs
during the system testing where users encountered system error when the application experienced low internet connectivity.

7.2 Future Work

The future developments of this system application contain various improvements to the software application itself. The first improvement that can be done is to alter document processing method of the system. The system can be programmed to learn words that can be included as a valid English term on the system. This is to handle the limitation of the system to require large fix data as mentioned. Besides, the system can be improved to implement more multithreaded work on document processing and image processing on images in documents.

Other than that, the system can be improved to embed with local database as backup to overcome low internet connectivity. The system application can be programmed to deal with local database on user interaction which does not require other user or system application connections. Finally, the system can be improved to have multiple cloud systems where users can have unlimited variety of cloud storage providers to maximize storage space.
Bibliography


Appendices

Please refer to “VPS Testing Survey Form.pdf”