DETECTING HEAD IN PILLOW DEFECT (HIP) BY USING DEEP LEARNING AND IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNIQUE

BY TAN WEI JIN SUPERVISED BY DR. AUN YICHIET

A REPORT

SUBMITTED TO

Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman

in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

BACHELOR OF COMPUTER SCIENCE (HONOURS)

Faculty of Information and Communication Technology

(Kampar Campus)

JAN 2021

UNIVERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN

REPORT STATUS DECLARATION FORM

Title: DETECTING HEAD IN PILLOW DEFECT (HIP) BY USING DEEP LEARNING AND IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNIQUE

Academic Session: JAN 2021

I

TAN WEI JIN

(CAPITAL LETTER)

declare that I allow this Final Year Project Report to be kept in

Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman Library subject to the regulations as follows:

- 1. The dissertation is a property of the Library.
- 2. The Library is allowed to make copies of this dissertation for academic purposes.



(Author's signature)

Address:

57, Taman Nyaman Indah,

Lorong Ponduk Upih 3, 11000,

Balik Pulau, Pulau Pinang

Date: _____16 April 2021

Verified by,

(Supervisor's signature)

Aun Yichiet

Supervisor's name

Date: _____16 April 2021

DETECTING HEAD IN PILLOW DEFECT (HIP) BY USING DEEP LEARNING AND IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNIQUE

BY TAN WEI JIN SUPERVISED BY DR. AUN YICHIET

A REPORT

SUBMITTED TO Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of BACHELOR OF COMPUTER SCIENCE (HONOURS) Faculty of Information and Communication Technology (Kampar Campus)

JAN 2021

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY

I declare that this report entitled "DETECTING HEAD IN PILLOW DEFECT (HIP) BY USING DEEP LEARNING AND IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNIQUE" is

my own work except as cited in the references. The report has not been accepted for any degree and is not being submitted concurrently in candidature for any degree or other award.

Signature	:	剧陳			
Name	:	TAN WEI JIN			
Date	:	16 April 2021			

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Aun Yicheit who has given me this bright opportuning to engage in an AI development project with the collaboration of ViTrox Technologies Sdn. Bhd. A million thanks to you for all the support and advice to make this project possible.

Besides that, I would like to thank my Vitrox supervisor, Mrs Lim Lay Ngor, my mentor Ms. Ooi Yi Fong in sharing their experience on dealing with the HIP defects which gave me some insight in dealing with the issues I faced in this project. Also would like to thank Mr Lim Seng Yew for his approval and final review in this FYP collaboration with ViTrox.

Last but not least, I would also like to thank my friends and family for their support and everlasting encouragement throughout the entire project. I would also like to thank Vitrox Technologies Shd. Bhd. for providing all the datasets and equipment used in this project. This project is under Memorandum of Argreement with Vitrox Technologies Sdn. Bhd.

ABSTRACT

Deep learning is an Artificial Intelligence (AI) method that mimics the ways human brain processing data and recognizes the data or objects. It is a subset of machine learning which utilizes the hierarchical level of artificial neural networks (ANN) to perform the process of machine learning (Hargrave, 2019). Deep learning has very great potential of wide adoption in various industries. In fact, deep learning has already been used by corporations and start-ups such as Google, Facebook, Amazon, Tesla etc for several different tasks such as filtering fake news, analysing shopping trends and developing self-driving cars. In the manufacturing sectors, deep learning techniques were usually used to aid the engineers or inspectors in making decisions in the production line or the quality inspection phase. However, there are still various reasons why deep learning was not largely implemented in the manufacturing sector especially in the detection of Head in Pillow (HIP) defects that occurred in the Ball Grid Array (BGA) of a printed circuit board (PCB). This project aims to design a robust deep learning model that could be implemented to speed up and ease the process of detecting the HIP defects. The 3 Dimensional (3D) Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) will be the foundation of the deep learning model which will deal with the grayscale BGA slice images that were stacked together. The outcome of the project will be a robust deep learning model that could classify the HIP defects on BGA joints in greyscale which have not more than 9 slices. Over 200 of 3D CNN models with different hyperparameters and architecture are created in this project to achieve the objectives of the project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE

REPORT STATUS DECLARATION FORM

TITLE PAGE	i
DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	х
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Statement and Motivation	1
1.2 Project Scope	2
1.3 Project Objectives	2
1.4 Impact, significance, and contribution	2
1.5 Background information	3
1.5.1 Introduction to image processing	3
1.5.2 Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)	3
1.5.3 Deep Neural Network (DNN)	6
1.5.4 Ball Grid Array (BGA) Head-In-Pillow (HIP) Defects	7
1.6 Proposed approach	8
1.7 Highlight of what has been achieved.	8
1.8 Report Organization	9
Chapter 2 Literature Review	10
2.1 Channel-Wise Pre-Processing method	10

2.2 Residual Neural Network (ResNet)	11
2.3 Visual Geometry Group Neural Network (VGGNet)	12
2.4 Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks	15
2.5 Types of Convolutions	16
Chapter 3 Proposed Method/ Approach	18
3.1 Methodology and General Work Procedures	18
3.2 Tools and technologies used	19
3.3 System Design / Overview	20
3.4 Implementation Issues and Challenges	22
3.5 Dataset	23
3.6 Timeline	27
Chapter 4 Preliminary Work	29
4.1 Preliminary Work Experimental Setup	29
4.2 Training, Validation and Testing dataset	32
4.3 Performance evaluation	33
Chapter 5 Experiments and Evaluation.	37
5.1 Experimental setup	37
5.2 Result evaluation	39
Chapter 6 Conclusion	41
6.1 Project Review	41
6.2 Future work	41
BIBLIOGRAPHY	42
APPENDIX A: Poster	A-1
APPENDIX B: Final Year Project Weekly Report	B-1
APPENDIX C: Plagiarism Check Result	C-1
APPENDIX D: Models' Performance	D-1

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.5.2.1	Overview of a convolution neural network on classifying	4
	an image	
Figure 1.5.2.2	Image matrix multiplies with kernel to obtain the output	4
	matrix	
Figure 1.5.2.3	Fully Connected (FC) Layer	5
Figure 1.5.3.1	Example of layers in Convolutional Neural Network	6
	(CNN)	
Figure 1.5.4.1	Grayscale HIP defects in 3D	7
Figure 1.5.4.2	Grayscale HIP defects slices	8
Figure 2.1.1	Channel-wise pre-processing output	10
Figure 2.2.1	A residual block of ResNet	11
Figure 2.2.2	Variants of residual blocks	12
Figure 2.3.1	Error Rate of models in ILSVRC 2014	13
Figure 2.3.2	Different VGG Layer Structures using single scale (256)	14
	Evaluation	
Figure 2.3.3	VGGNet During Testing	15
Figure 2.4.1	Underlying concepts of LSTMs' memory cells	16
Figure 2.5.1	1D convolutional filtering	17
Figure 2.5.2	3D convolutional demonstration	17
Figure 3.1.1	Research Methodology	18
Figure 3.3.1	Proposed 3D CNN Architecture	20
Figure 3.3.2	Model summary	21
Figure 3.5.1	BGA details	26
Figure 3.6.1	Gantt Chart	28
Figure 4.3.1	Model Q Training/Validation Loss	34
Figure 4.3.2	Model M Training/Validation Loss	35
Figure 4.3.3	Model R Training/Validation Loss	35
Figure 5.1.1	Model Architecture	37

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
Table 3.2.1	Hardware details	20
Table 3.2.2	Python library version	20
Table 3.5.1	Datasets	23
Table 3.5.2	Combinations	25
Table 3.6.1	Timeline	27
Table 4.1.1	3DCNN Architecture 1	29
Table 4.1.2	3DCNN Architecture 2	29
Table 4.1.3	3DCNN Architecture 3	30
Table 4.1.4	3DCNN Architecture 4	30
Table 4.1.5	3DCNN Architecture 5	31
Table 4.1.6	3DCNN Architecture 6	31
Table 4.2.1	Datasets for preliminary training and testing	32
Table 4.3.1	Model Performance	33
Table 4.3.2	Model Architecture to Model	34
Table 5.2.1	Result obtained with 3D CNN models	39
Table 5.2.2	Result obtained with Manufacturer 2D CNN models	39
Table 5.2.3	Performance comparison	40

LIST OF SYMBOLS

MB	Megabyte
ms	millisecond
Tg	Glass transition temperature

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	1 Dimensional
2D	2 Dimensional
3D	3 Dimensional
AXI	Automated X-ray Inspection
BGA	Ball Grid Array
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network
CPU	Central Processing Unit
DNN	Deep Neural Network
e.g.	Exempli gratia
et al	And others
etc	Et cetera
FC	Fully Connected
FYP	Final Year Project
GPU	Graphics Processing Unit
HIP	Head-In-Pillow
HOP	Head-On-Pillow
IDE	Integrated Development Environment
ILSVRC	ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge
LSTM	Long Term Short Memory
n.d.	No date
NLP	Natural Language Processing
OS	Operating System
p./pp.	Page/Pages
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
RAM	Random-Access Memory
ReLU	Rectified Linear Unit
ResNet	Residual Neural Network
RNN	Recurrent Neural Network
ROI	Region of Interest
SGD	Stochastic Gradient Descent
VGGNet	Visual Geometry Group Neural Network

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement and Motivation

With the developing use of leadless soldering and reduction of size and pitch of solder joints in gadgets producing, the Head-in-Pillow (HIP) defects which also known as the ball-and-socket is a solder joint defect had become a common issue during the Ball Grid Array (BGA) assembly. The HIP defects could be caused by several issues such as the oxidation of BGA ball or the oxygen barrier in solder pastes individually/ jointly, warpage, misalignment of the components, et cetera. (Chen et al., 2014). A printed circuit board (PCB) with HIP defects will result in joints with sufficient association of electrical integrity yet missing the adequate machinal strength. This conceivably expensive imperfection isn't generally distinguished in practical testing and would just appear as a disappointment in the field after the components are exposed to some physical or thermal stress as they could barely withstand the stress strength due to insufficient mechanical strength (Seelig, 2008).

Despite various ways or precaution steps such as verified and measure the print definition and the print height consistency before the solder paste are applied onto the solder bump with the print definition, using a square or rounding opening aperture with excessive print volume reducing time above the glass transition temperature (Tg) and ensuring minimum delta temperature difference between BGA components and the rest of the components on the PCB which suggested by Alpha Assembly Solutions (n.d., p.2) to reduce the occurrence of the HIP defects, the inspection for HIP defects in BGA is still unavoidable even though the defects ratio is 0 for almost all of the time as it would be a loss to the company if there are defects board produced.

Moreover, the time required for HIP defects inspection could range from seconds to half an hour depending on the PCB board size, the components size, and the number of joints. Speed is a factor that could affect the overall productivity and the efficiency in manufacturing. Thus, the HIP defects inspection speed should be fast enough so that the following inspections are not delayed. Furthermore, the accuracy on detecting the HIP defects with the Automated X-ray Inspection (AXI) machine currently is not ideal/ perfect as many defects and good joints were classified wrongly by the machine. Therefore, the inspectors would have to manually inspect the false calls from the machine again with the help of a real-time X-ray viewing machine. This process is

necessarily as by viewing the 2D images captured from the AXI machine is insufficient for decision making. Thus, the BGA HIP defects inspection process would be decelerated which would cause a delay to the following inspections.

1.2 Project Scope

The aim of the project is to build a 3D neural network that could receive BGA images and separate or classify to two classes which are HIP defects or non-defects and an image stacking technique. The model should be able to receive up BGA joints with not more than 9 slices that are in grayscale.

1.3 Project Objectives

The objectives of this project are:

- i. To design a 3D CNN for BGA HIP defect detection
- ii. To design an image stacking technique using salient layers based on domain heuristics
- iii. To retrain BGA dataset on the proposed 3D CNN model to improve upon existing Manufacturer 2D CNN architecture
- iv. To optimize a 3D CNN model that could inference the BGA HIP defects in less than 200ms per inference.

1.4 Impact, significance, and contribution

With the help of the 3D CNN model, the time required for the HIP defects inspection could be reduced significantly. In fact, the inference speed is expected to be at least 200ms or below per inference. Since the 3D CNN is expected to have an accuracy of 99%, the false calls from the AXI machines are expected to reduce drastically too. With a lesser false calls rate, the manual inspection process is expected to be sped up and the workload of the inspector could be reduced as the use of the real-time X-ray viewing machine could be reduced. Assuming there are 1, 000 BGA joints per inspections, one single inspection could be done in just under 5 mins with 10 false calls leftover at max which required manual inspection with the help of the real-time X-ray viewing machine. The result obtained is significant, as this feat had not been able to be achieved by any previous reviewed literature. Besides that, the image stacking technique could help

understand which slices are more important in detecting the HIP defects for this particular dataset.

1.5 Background information

1.5.1 Introduction to image processing

Image processing usually consists of several stages, image importation, image analysis, image manipulation and image output. There are two methods of image processing which is digital image processing and analogue image processing. In analogue image processing, the processing is done on the two-dimensional (2D) analogue signals. Generally, the images such as television images which are manipulated by electricity are used in this image processing method. On the contrary, digital image processing usually deals with digital images which are matrices of small pixels and elements. The images are manipulated through software and algorithms to solve different tasks such as image detection, image reconstruction, image restoration, compression, enhancement, and etc. Since digital image processing has a wider range of applications, digital image processing has dominated over analogue image processing as the time goes by. There are a few major techniques of digital image processing such as image editing which altered the image through graphic software tools, independent component analysis which separates the multivariate signal into additive subcomponents, pixilation which refers to turning printed images into digitized images such as GIF, and etc. (Adoriasoft, 2017)

1.5.2 Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)

According to 'Understanding of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) – Deep Learning written by Prabhu (2018):

Convolutional neural network (CNN) is one the deep neural networks which are widely used for image recognition, image classification, objects detections, face recognition, etc. The concept of the convolutional networks was inspired by the connectivity pattern between neurons that assemble the organization of animal visual cortex. The cortical neurons are triggered by different stimuli and only a restricted region of the visual field known as the receptive field will respond to it. When there is a presence of a collection of reception fields overlapping each other that cover the entire visual area, a vision will be formed.

When CNN model is trained/ tested, the input images will have to pass through a series of convolution layers with filters (Kernels), Pooling, fully connected layers (FC) and a SoftMax function that normalized the output of the network to a probability distribution with probabilistic values between 0 and 1 as shown in Figure 1.5.2.1.



Figure 1.5.2.1 Overview of a convolution neural network on classifying an image

The convolution layers refer to layers that extract the features of the input images which is actually a mathematical operation that requires two inputs, input matrix and a filter/kernel as shown in Figure 1.5.2.2. The relationship between the pixels of the images are preserved as convolution learns the image features with the help of small squares of input data. Operations such as edge detection, blurring, sharpening could be done with convolution by applying different filters/ 'Feature Map' on the images.

- An image matrix (volume) of dimension (h x w x d)
- A filter (f_h x f_w x d)
- Outputs a volume dimension (h f_h + 1) x (w f_w + 1) x 1



Figure 1.5.2.2 Image matrix multiplies with kernel to obtain the output matrix

Padding will be done on the input matrix when the filter does not fit the input images perfectly. There are two type of padding which is the zero-padding and also the valid padding. Whenever zero-padding is applied, zeros will be padded to the image until the filter could fit the image perfect. On the other hand, valid padding will cause any part

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

of the images that the filter could not fit in to be dropped and only valid parts of the image remain. In addition, Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) will also be introduced at this stage of the model to pump in some non-negative linear values to the matrix. ReLU is chosen instead of other non-linear functions such as tanh or sigmoid and is prefered by most of the data scientists as ReLU outperforms most of the non-linear functions.

After the input matrix goes through iterations of convolutions, it will be arrived at the pooling layer and the input matrix parameters will be cut down if the matrix is too large. Pooling is referred to a sample-based discretization process where the matrix will be subsampled or down sampled without discarding the important information in the matrix. There are a few different types of pooling such as max or min pooling, average pooling and also sum pooling. In max pooling, the largest element in the rectified feature map will be chosen while in min pooling, the smallest element in that particular rectified feature map will be chosen.



Figure 1.5.2.3 Fully Connected (FC) Layer

Finally, the pooled matrix will enter the fully connected (FC) layer where it would be flattened into a vector and being fed into a neural like network. The filter matrix will be converted as a vector (e.g. x1) as shown in Figure 1.5.2.3 and the features will be combined to form a model. The SoftMax function will then classify the output into specific classes.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.5.3 Deep Neural Network (DNN)

The name neural network is a derivation from the neural connections of the human brain. The basic building blocks of a neural network are known as neurons which is similar to the biology term neuron which is the basic working unit of the brain which is a specialized cell that was designed to transmit information from a nerve cell to the other nerve cells, muscle cells or the gland cells. The neurons in neural networks can be said as a mathematical function or method which takes in inputs and produces a specific number of outputs. These functions which are contained within the neurons are generally referred as activation functions.

When these artificial neurons are arranged in together in a specific way, a layer is formed. These layers could then be stacked together in desired ways to form a neural network. The output from the previous layer of neurons would act as the inputs and will be fed into the following or next layer for further processing. This process forms a complex chain which impersonates the inner workings of a human brain. A neural network with more layers is usually more complex and more powerful to solve a more complex problem. However, the number of the layers should be determined based on the complexity of the problem.



Figure 1.5.3.1 Example of layers in Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Based on Figure 1.5.3.1, the input layer, L1 which is located at the leftmost layer will be receiving input from the previous layer or the raw input. These data will be passed along the neural network and the rightmost layer will be outputting the prediction. The layers between the output layers and the input layers are known as the hidden layer as it computes those intermediate values that are invisible throughout the training phase. A Deep Neural Network (DNN) could be formed by having multiple or more than one hidden layer.

1.5.4 Ball Grid Array (BGA) Head-In-Pillow (HIP) Defects

The Ball Grid Array (BGA) Head-In-Pillow (HIP) or Head-On-Pillow (HOP) defects is a solder joint defects that refers to a phenomenon which occurred when pre-deposited solder ball on the chip and the solder paste applied to the circuit board does not join together even though they are melted. The name HIP derived from the distinct boundary between the solder ball on the chip and the solder paste on the circuit board which is somewhat similar to a head resting on a pillow.



Figure 1.5.4.1 Grayscale HIP defects in 3D

Figure 1.5.4.1 shows the image of the BGA and the solder joint with HIP defects that will normally be seen in the real-time X-ray machine. This machine is usually used by manufacturers for board inspection after the AXI machines classified the joints. The difference between this machine and the AXI machines is that AXI machine can do auto inspection while manual inspection had to be done by the inspector when the real time machine is used. However, the real-time X-ray machine could generate images of the board in different angels much faster compare to the AXI machines as the AXI machines are required to inspect all the components that are present on the PCB. Despite the image generation process being much faster for the real-time X-ray machine,

inspection had to be done manually and usually this machine would not be used if defects inspection could be done with the help of images generated by the AXI machines.



AB15 (escaped)

L13 (detected)



Figure 1.5.4.2 Grayscale HIP defects slices

The slice image of the BGA based on the offsets that are predefined by the user before the machine starts to inspect the board. Based on Figure 1.5.4.1, it is very hard for the inspectors to classify the defects from the good BGA joints. This is because there are various environmental issues that might cause the slice images to be blurred or unclear.

1.6 Proposed approach

The models with the best result obtained are built with 2 3D convolution layers, 2 3D batch normalization layers, 2 3D max pooling layers, 1 1D batch normalization layer, 1 dropout layer with 2 fully connected layers. Leaky ReLU activation function is used in this model instead of the common ReLU activation function. Dummy images are padded to those BGA images that have slices less than 9 to create an input volume of 9x224x224.

1.7 Highlight of what has been achieved.

A total of 244 models are trained in this project and the highest accuracy achieved on 3 different combinations of datasets are 86.90%, 95.41% and 93.41%. These models are able to classify BGA images with a number of slices of 5,6 and 9. However, the models are able to accept BGA images with different number of slices which are not more than 9 as those images will be padded with dummy images to 9 slices before the input enters the model for classification. A special padding technique where the dummy images are padded in front of the BGA slice images instead of padding it after the slice images are used in this project. Moreover, the models could also inference a BGA joint in less than 200ms. Significant improvement could also be seen as compared to the 2D CNN model produced by Manufacturer.

1.8 Report Organization

The research of this project will be discussed in the following chapter. Channel-Wise Pre-processing method, some model architectures and review on convolution layers could be expected in the following chapter. The proposed model architecture, tools and technologies used in this project, details on the datasets used in this project and the project timeline is located in chapter 3. Hyperparameter used, model summary, result of the preliminary models and also the evaluation of the preliminary models could be found in chapter 4. Experiment and Evaluation of the models on 3 different combinations of datasets could be seen in Chapter 5. The comparison of the proposed 3D CNN models and the Manufacturer 2D CNN models could also be observed in the same chapter. Chapter 6 of the report will be in charge of concluding the whole report. Steps and ideas for improving the model will also be stated in Chapter 6.

Chapter 2 Literature Review



2.1 Channel-Wise Pre-Processing method

Figure 2.1.1 Channel-wise pre-processing output

The channel-wise pre-processing method proposed by Zhang et al. (2020) is similar to a pipeline process where the accumulated instructions are executed in an expected order. According to Zhang et al. (2020), the channel-wise pre-processing method is able to process raw solder joint X-ray images and output them into six channels as shown in Figure 2.1.1. Due to the inconsistent number of BGAs' X-ray imaging slices and the fact that the region of interests (ROIs) for the BGAs might not be as accurate as expected when the values are applied on the images, the channel-wise pre-processing method could help in addressing such problems. The channel-wise pre-processing method will deal with the inconsistency of BGA's X-ray imaging slices first by applying both deep and shallow depth of the imaging or either of them. Subsequently, the zero-slices will be used to pad those joints that do not have 6 slice images to the maximum number of slices which is six. A ROI based cropping will then be implemented to the image to segment the joints out from the image that might consist of other surrounding solders or components. The cropped images should only be focused on one single solder joint instead of having additional noise in it. Each individual slice of the solder will then be sent to 6 different channels accordingly for training the model.

2.2 Residual Neural Network (ResNet)

The residual neural network (ResNet) is one of the variants of deep convolutional neural networks proposed by He et al. (2015) that won the 1st place of the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) 2015 organized by ImageNet. The Resnet is proposed by the authors as another method in addressing the degradation problem that occurred when more layers are used in the neural network. Although the problem were largely addressed before the emergence of ResNet through normalized initialization and intermediate normalization layers which enable the networks with an additional of tens of layers to converge the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) with backward-propagation, ResNet is able to surpass the other methods in term of optimization and accuracy from considerably increased depth.



Figure 2.2.1 A residual block of ResNet

According to "An Overview of ResNet and its Variants" by Fung (2017), the core idea of the ResNet is the introduction of "identity shortcut connection" that enables the skipping of multiple layers as shown in Figure 2.2.1. Thus, instead of hoping the stacked layers directly fit into a desired underlying mapping, the stacked layers will be fitted into a residual mapping with the help of residual blocks. However, the Highway Network proposed by Srivastava et al. (2015) cited in Fung (2017) which introduce the concept of gated shortcut connections which control the volume of information that are allowed to flow across the shortcut which is similar to the Long Term Short Memory (LSTM) cell proposed by Hochreiter (1997) in terms of concept where the parameterized forget gate is used to controls the flow could not performs as well as the

ResNet. This is something extraordinary as the solution space of the Highway Network contains ResNet, but it could not even perform as good as the ResNet could.



Figure 2.2.2 Variants of residual blocks

A pre-activation variant of residual block is then introduced by He et al. (2017) where the gradients could now flow through the shortcut connections to any other previous layers unimpededly. With the help of the pre-activation variant residual block, the Resnet-110 which contains only 110 of pre-activation variant residual layers is able to outperform a ResNet-1202 that has more than 10 times that of the layers in Resnet-110. However, the residual layers in ResNet-1202 are non-pre-activation variant residual layers as in the Resnet-110. He et al. (2017) also further demonstrated that a Resnet-1001 with 1001 of pre-activation variant residual layers is able to outperform its counterpart which have lesser layers. This proof that stacking of residual layers will further improve the performance of the neural networks and ResNet is further escalated as one of the widely implemented deep learning architectures in various computer vision projects.

2.3 Visual Geometry Group Neural Network (VGGNet)

In 2014, Simonyan and Zisserman (2015) from the Visual Geometry Group, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford proposed the VGGNet which is one of the most remarkable CNNs of that year. Even though VGGNet is the 1st runner-up instead of the winner of the ILSVRC 2014 in classification task, VGGNet is still able to defeat GoogLeNet the winner of ILSVRC 2014 on the localization task. The VGGNet not only had a significant improvement over the ZFNet which is the winner of the ILSVRC 2013 and the winner of the ILSVRC 2012, AlexNet, VGGNet

is one of the deep learning models that is able to obtained an error rate of under 10% in 2014.



Figure 2.3.1 Error Rate of models in ILSVRC 2014

Instead of directly addressing the vanishing gradient problem faced by CNNs as convolution layers in the neural network increased, Simonyan and Zisserman (2015) proposed VGGNet to address it in another way. Instead of using larger filter matrices, multiple layers of 3 x 3 filter matrices could help in converging the neural network faster and reducing the overfitting problem. Multiple layers of small filters are able to reduce the number of parameters which is better for faster convergence and reduce overfitting problems of the models. For example, 3 layers of 3 x 3 filters are able to reduce 45% of the parameters of the input matrix with a similar effective area of a 7 x 7 filter (Tsang, 2018).



Figure 2.3.2 Different VGG Layer Structures using single scale (256) Evaluation

With the concept of multiple smaller filters are better than one big filter, an ablation study is done by the author on VGGNet (Tsang, 2018). Based on Figure 2.3.2, we can see that the performance of the model is increasing as convolution layers increase. However, the result obtained from VGG-19 is contradicted with the statement stated above, as the model had started to converge when 16 convolution layers were added to 19 convolution layers. This is where multi-scale training and testing come into play.

The phrase multi-scale is referring to multiple sizes / dimensions of the images. When the neural network is trained with images with the same scale/ size, the model's performance might seem very good in classifying images with the same scale as the training data. However, when an image with a larger scale as compared to the training images, the model might be biased towards the training data and classify that larger scale images wrongly. Thus, training a neural network with multi-scale images is necessary. During a multi-scale training or testing, an image is scaled with smaller-size equal to a range from 256 to 512 and then the image will be cropped to 224 x 224 before it is used for training or testing. The results obtained by Simonyan and Zisserman showed that VGGNets with multi-scale training or/ and multi-scale training are performing much better than networks with single-scale training and testing.

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW



Figure 2.3.3 VGGNet During Testing

AlexNet introduced us to the concept of multi-cropping during testing which could increase the accuracy of the models. The idea of multi-cropping is referred to multiple cropping of corners, centre and the horizontal flips of the images. The cropped images will then be outputted as a probability vector which will be added or averaged and act as additional features to the models to obtain a better result. In VGGNet testing the concept of replacing the first fully connected layer (FC) with a 7x7 convolutional layer while replacing the second and third FC layers with 1 x 1 convolutional layers is known as convolutionalized/ dense testing. With the concept of multi-cropping and dense testing, the performance of the VGGNets are improved. In order to further improve the VGGNets, fusion of concepts as mentioned in the past few paragraphs is involved. By combining multi-scale training, multi-scale testing, multi-cropping dense as well as VGG-16/VGG-19, an accuracy of 93.2% could be achieved.

2.4 Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks

Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) are one of the neural networks that are able to recognize previous state sequences and utilize them to get a better result. Yet, RNNs are also facing the vanishing gradients problems during back-propagation (Wenninger et al. 2015) which is similar to the problems faced by CNNs. Long Short-Term Memory networks which are usually known as LSTMs are variants of the RNNs which have the capability of learning long-term dependencies are implemented to solve the vanishing gradients problem faced by RNNs. This concept of LSTM network was first introduced by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber (1997) and was further refined and popularized by other people who are in the computer vision field.



Figure 2.4.1 Underlying concepts of LSTMs' memory cells

According to Olah (2015):

By replacing the hidden nodes of RNNs with memory cells containing input, out and forget gates that have the ability to control the flows of the information of the cells, LSTMs are able to alleviate the vanishing gradients problem faced by RNNs. In addition, the memory cells are able to modify the data stored within them. The gradient of value 1 is recurred in the connections between the memory cells helps to prevent the gradient from vanishing even though the model is backward propagated from time to time.

2.5 Types of Convolutions

There are many different types of convolutions including 1D convolutions, 2D convolutions, 3D convolutions, dilated convolutions, transposed convolutions etc. 2D and 3D convolutions are the most common convolutions types that were applied in various Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for image or object recognition.

1D convolutions are the most simplistic convolutions that are usually used on sequential datasets. Extracting the 1D subsequence from the input sequences and identifying the local patterns within the window of convolution is one of the major usages of the 1D convolutions. 1D convolutions are usually used in Natural Language Processing (NLP) where each sentence is represented as a sequence of words. Figure 2.5.1 shows how a 1D convolution filter is applied to a sequence of data to obtain new features from it.



Figure 2.5.1 1D convolutional filtering

2D Convolutions are the most common type of convolutions as it had been widely used in CNN architectures on image datasets. In the 2D convolutions, the filter moves in a 2-directions (x, y) to compute the features from the spatial dimensions. The output shape of a 2D convolutions layer will be a 2-Dimensional matrix.

In 3D CNNs, convolutions are applied on a 3-dimensional filter that moves 3-direction (x, y, z) instead of 2-dimensional filter that moves 2-direction (x, y) to compute the low-level feature representations. The output shape of the 3D convolution layers is a 3-dimensional volume space such as cube or cuboid. 3D convolutions are useful in event detection in videos, 3D medical images, etc and they are not limited to 3D space only, 3D convolution could also be applied to 2D space inputs such as images.



Figure 2.5.2 3D convolutions demonstration

Chapter 3 Proposed Method/ Approach

3.1 Methodology and General Work Procedures



Figure 3.1.1 Research Methodology

During the early phase of the project methodology, datasets were received, and preprocessing is done on the image sets. The pre-processing of datasets includes, splitting the image sets into training and testing set, ensuring that each slice images of the joint are available and stacking the slice images together in various combinations.

Once the datasets are split and stacking combinations are planned, the 3DCNN model will be designed based on existing literature as references with some modification to fit the datasets. During the preliminary phase, only part of the datasets will be trained with the model. For instance, the datasets with 9 slice images per joint will be prioritized

first in the preliminary phase. The reason 9 slice BGA images are prioritized first is because the amount of 9 slices BGA images are larger compared to 5 slices or 11 slices BGA images. The models will be trained with the training data that were prepared during the pre-processing phase, 20% of the training data will be used to validate the model performance first before testing them on the testing data. Amendments such as hyperparameter tuning and stacking combination were made to get a more desirable result or outcome.

Once the results for 9 slice BGA images are acceptable, the models are trained with different slices of BGA images at once. Some modifications were done on the models for it to accept input with different numbers of slices. When dealing with input that doesn't have a total of 9 slices, dummy images were padded to the slices so that the input could fit the model. The models were trained with a combination of training data on stressing the model's limit. This particular step is used to ensure the model is not overfitting the training data which will cause the test accuracy to drop when facing new data. In the final phases of the project methodology, amendments such as hyperparameter tuning and stacking combination were made to get a more desirable result or outcome in order to achieve the objective of the project.

3.2 Tools and technologies used

Python is selected as the programming language of choice for the proposed deep learning model in this project. Pytorch which is a Python-based scientific computing package that targeted audiences who wish to get a replacement for Numpy to use the power of GPUs and a deep learning research platform that provides maximum flexibility and speed will be used in this project. The Pytorch library is used for building the 3DCNN while the matplotlib library is used for plotting the graphs and also creating the confusion matrix for model evaluation. Other libraries such as the os, Numpy and Pandas libraries will be used as well in this project. Jupyter Notebook which is generally used for developing open-source software, open-standards, and services for interactive computing across multiple programming languages will be the Integrated development environment (IDE) for this project. Hardware details:

OS	Windows 10 Pro 64-bit
СРИ	Intel®Xeon®Silver 4210
RAM	128.0 GB
Storage	1.0 TB

Table 3.2.1 Hardware details

Python library version:

Pandas	1.1.1
Numpy	1.19.1
PIL	7.2.0
Pytorch	1.2.0
Torchvision	0.4.0
Sklearn	0.23.2

Table 3.2.2 Python library version

3.3 System Design / Overview



Figure 3.3.1 Proposed 3D CNN Architecture

Figure 3.3.1 provides the general overview of the proposed 3D CNN. This CNN consists of 2 3D convolution layers, 2 3D Batch Normalization layers, 2 Max Pooling layers, 4 LeakyRelu layers, 1 1D Batch Normalization layer, 1 Dropout layer and 2 fully connected layers. During the training phase, augmentation such as random flipping and random rotating would be done on the data with the help of torch vision transforms library. Data augmentation was done on the training data to increase the features captured by the model. Besides augmentation, the training data would also be shuffled thus the sequence of training data during the forward propagation of the model for each batch would be different. No data augmentation would be done during the validation phase where the validation data are actually part of the training data that were sampled for validating the model. During the testing phase, the testing data will be used to test the model's performance. All three data used in this project are individual BGA joints that have no repeated occurrence in three of the data. Fine tuning such as adding a fully connected layer, changing the number of neurons in the fully connected layer, changing the number of filters in the convolution layers, using a different optimizer, adding initialization to the fully connected layers, etc. were done to improve the model's performance.

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #		
Conv3d-1	[-1, 32, 10, 225, 225]	288		
BatchNorm3d-2	[-1, 32, 10, 225, 225]	64		
LeakyReLU-3	[-1, 32, 10, 225, 225]	0		
MaxPool3d-4	[-1, 32, 5, 112, 112]	0		
Conv3d-5	[-1, 64, 6, 113, 113]	16,448		
BatchNorm3d-6	[-1, 64, 6, 113, 113]	128		
LeakyReLU-7	[-1, 64, 6, 113, 113]	0		
MaxPool3d-8	[-1, 64, 3, 56, 56]	0		
Linear-9	[-1, 128]	77,070,464		
LeakyReLU-10	[-1, 128]	0		
BatchNorm1d-11	[-1, 128]	256		
Dropout-12	[-1, 128]	0		
Linear-13	[-1, 2]	258		
Total params: 77,087,906 Trainable params: 77,087,906 Non-trainable params: 0				
Input size (MB): 1.72 Forward/backward pass size (MB): 502.93 Params size (MB): 294.07 Estimated Total Size (MB): 798.72				

Figure 3.3.2 Model summary

Models that performed best on three different combinations of datasets respectively are created with the same model architecture. The model summary of the models is shown

in Figure 3.3.2. Even though three of the models have the same architecture but the hyperparameters used in each of the models are slightly different to each other.

3.4 Implementation Issues and Challenges

There are several issues that arise during the preliminary phase of the project. Due to the slicing feature provided to the AXI machines, there is a chance that HIP defects are not present in any of the slices for the particular joint. The accuracy of the model might be influenced by this issue as the label for that particular joint is incorrect based on the interpretation of the slice images.

Moreover, the size of the model is one of the factors that would influence the inference speed. The larger the size of the model, the longer the inference speed will be. Thus, the number of layers and the number of neurons in the fully connected layers must be controlled even though models with more layers are usually more suitable for such complicated project. Besides that, more resources will be allocated to the model when the size of the model is huge. This would cause lesser resources being allocated to the datasets which might cause error due to insufficient memory during the training phase.

Furthermore, due to various environmental issues, the BGA slice images might be blur, unclear or even shady. Additional pre-processing might be required to be done on the datasets before they were used for training and testing the model. Besides that, more time are required for standardizing the datasets which would reduce the time available for model training and testing.

Besides that, the models could only receive BGA images with slices ranging from 1 to 9. This is because the input layer of the model is fixed to receive 9 slices only. BGA images with slices less than 9 will be padded to 9 slices with dummy images. This limits the ability of the model to classify BGA joints with slices more than 9. Padding is the main issue that causes this problem as the expected number of slices had to be configured first before the number of dummy images required to be padded could be determined.

Moreover, the resolution of BGA images is limited to 224 x 224 only because images with different resolutions will result in different activation volumes produced by the convolution layer. A different activation volume would not fit the fully connected layer as the volumes are hardcoded and should be consistent. In order to enable the model to received images with different resolution, a global pooling could be used to replace the

BCS (Honours) Computer Science

Faculty of Information and Communication Technology (Kampar Campus), UTAR

first fully connected layer. However, the results produced by the models with global pooling layer are not as good as the models with 2 fully connected layers. Therefore, the models are created with 2 fully connected layers instead of 1 global pooling layer and 1 fully connected layer.

Lastly, due to the variance in the number of BGA slices, experiments must be done on the various stacking combinations in order to optimize the output of the model. Time allocation for each of the sections is very crucial or extremely important for this project as there are various factors that would delay the progression of the project.

Recipes	Good Joint	Defects	Number of Slices	Slice Sequences
Α	84	68	5	Pad
				s2
				Mid slice
				s1
				Chip
В	368	319	9	s6
				Pad
				s5
				s4
				s3
				Mid slice
				s2
				s1
				Chip
С	329	239	6	s3
				Pad
				s2
				s1
				Mid slice
				Chip
D	12	2	9	s6
				s4
				Chip
				s3
				Mid slice
				s1
				Pad
				s2
				s5
E	62	49	9	s6
				s4
				Chip
				s3
				Mid slice
				s1
				Pad
				s2
				s5

3.5 Dataset

F	185	159	9	s6
				s4
				Chip
				s3
				Mid slice
				s1
				Pad
				s2
				s5
G	166	159	9	s6
				s4
				Chip
				s3
				Mid slice
				s1
				Pad
				s2
				s5
Н	381	264	9	s6
				s4
				Chip
				s3
				Mid slice
				s1
				Pad
				s2
				s5
I	419	334	9	s6
				Pad
				s5
				s4
				s3
				Mid slice
				s2
				s1
				Chip
J	175	239	6	s3
				Pad
				s2
				s1
				Mid slice
				Chip
K	282	126	9	s6
				s4
				Chip
				s3
				Mid slice
				s1
				Pad
				s2
		. :		s5
L	124	14	9	s6
				s4
				Chip
				s3
				Mid slice
				s1
				Pad
				s2
				s5
Total	2587	1972		
-------	------	----------------------	--	
		Table 3.5.1 Datasets		

There is a total amount of 12 datasets that will be used for training and testing in this project. These datasets are directly collected from the AXI machines. The total number of BGA joints in this dataset is 4,559 joints with 2,587 good joints and 1,972 defect joints. There are 3 different slices settings which are 5, 6 and 9.

Combination	Recipes	Good Joint	Defects	Train	Validate	Test
Α	A, B, C, D, E, F, G	1206	995	1326	333	542
В	A, B, C	781	626	898	226	283
С	A, B, C, H	1162	890	1137	397	518
D	I, J, K, L	1000	713	1084	280	349

Table 3.5.2 Combinations

Table 3.5.2 shows the combination of data that will be used to train, test and validate the models. Combination B is created because data in Recipe D, E, F and G are having shading issues that would affect the result of the model. The statement is proven as the model trained with combination B has a significant improvement in terms of accuracy. Recipe H is actually the recaptured and processed version of Recipe D, E, F and G. Since the setting of the AXI machine is different, result return by the machine will be different thus the total amount of data in combination A and B are different. Therefore, combination C is created. Model trained, validated and tested with combination C are slightly better than results obtained with combination A however, the accuracy couldn't surpass the results obtained with combination B. This is quite odd as the images in Recipe H are better than those in Recipe D, E, F, G. In order to investigate this issue, a decision to standardize the capturing method and processing method is done on the other Recipes too. This result in the production of combination D. Recipe I is the recaptured and processed version of Recipe B, Recipe J is the recaptured and processed version of Recipe C, Recipe K is the recaptured and processed version of Recipe D and E while Recipe L is the recaptured and processed version of Recipe F and G. In other words, combination D was the recapture and processed version of combination A with the exclusion of Recipe A. The processing method used in Recipe I, J, K and L are

Faculty of Information and Communication Technology (Kampar Campus), UTAR

similar to Recipe H so that this would not be a factor that will affect the result obtained by the models trained, validated and tested with this combination. Results show that the accuracy did improve using combination D however it does not exceed the accuracy obtained with combination B. Due to time constraint, the project had to be put to and end thus no more combinations and investigations are produced and done to solve the issue. Combination A, B, D will be the main focus in this project as the images in combination C are not standardized.



Figure 3.5.1 BGA details

Figure 3.4.1 shows the possible slicing location of the BGA. The sequence of the pad, Mid slice and chip is based on the location of the BGA. The pad slice will come first if the BGA is located on top of the chip. On the contrary, the chip slice will come first if the BGA is located below the chip. The default slicing setting will be pad, Mid slice and chip as HIP occurred more frequently in these few locations. The slicing setting is not fixed to the settings shown in the datasets. Users of the AXI machine could increase the number of slices that would be produced per BGA joint to increase the chances of detecting the HIP defects.

3.6 Timeline

TASK NAME	START DATE	END DATE	DAYS COMPLETE
Literature Review			
Research on 3D Convolution Neural Network	26-Oct-20	22-Nov-20	28
Dataset Preparation			
Dataset pre-processing	23-Nov-20	25-Nov-20	3
Design 3D Convolution Model			
Preliminary training	25-Nov-20	29-Nov-20	5
Preliminary testing	26-Nov-20	29-Nov-20	4
Output analysis visualization	27-Nov-20	29-Nov-20	3
Model training and testing	18-Jan-21	28-Feb-21	42
Hyperparameter tuning	25-Jan-21	28-Feb-21	35
Performance evaluation	1-Feb-21	28-Feb-21	28
Miscellaneous			
Bug-fixing	1-Mar-21	28-Mar-21	28
Documentation restructuring	29-Mar-21	11-Apr-21	14
Report Writing			
FYP Report 1	30-Nov-20	6-Dec-20	7
FYP Report 2	12-Apr-21	16-Apr-21	5

Table 3.6.1 Timeline

Research on the 3D convolution neural network (CNN) had been done this semester before receiving the datasets. After receiving the dataset, some analysis had been done to understand the datasets. Pre-processing steps such as labelling, splitting, and stacking had been done to split the datasets to training and testing sets. After a preliminary 3DCNN model is designed and built based on existing literature as references, the model is trained and tested with a portion of the datasets. Evaluation is done based on the training loss and the accuracy of the model on predicting the testing sets. This pretty much wrapped up the progression of the project in phase 1.

In the second phase, more hyperparameter tuning is done on the models to produce a better result before it has any contact with other portions of the data. After the data are combined with the combination as mentioned in Table 3.5.2, the models are trained, validated and tested. Results are recorded and more hyperparameter tunings are done to the models. Some time was spent for bug-fixing during the hyperparameter tuning and model architecture redesigning phase. The project is halted as restructuring and editing are required to be done on the FYP report 1 to fit it into the format specified for the FYP report 2.



Figure 3.6.1 Gantt Chart

Chapter 4 Preliminary Work

4.1 Preliminary Work Experimental Setup

Layer Name	Output shape	Parameters
Input	[224 x 224 x 9 x 1]	-
Conv3D – 1	[224 x 224 x 9 x 32]	896
BatchNorm3D - 2	[224 x 224 x 9 x 32]	128
LeakyRelu – 3	[224 x 224 x 9 x 32]	0
MaxPool3d - 4	[56 x 56 x 9 x 32]	0
Conv3D – 5	[56 x 56 x 9 x 64]	55,360
BatchNorm3D-6	[56 x 56 x 9 x 64]	256
LeakyRelu – 7	[56 x 56 x 9 x 64]	0
MaxPool3d – 8	[14 x 14 x 9 x 64]	0
Linear – 9	[128 x 1]	14,450,816
LeakyRelu – 10	[128 x 1]	0
BatchNorm1D – 11	[128 x 1]	512
Dropout – 12	[128 x 1]	0
Linear – 13	[2 x 1]	258
	Table 4.1.1 3DCNN Architec	ture 1
Layer Name	Output shape	Parameters
Input	[224 x 224 x 6 x 1]	-
Conv3D – 1	[224 x 224 x 6 x 32]	896
BatchNorm3D - 2	[224 x 224 x 6 x 32]	128
LeakyRelu – 3	[224 x 224 x 6 x 32]	0
MaxPool3d - 4	[56 x 56 x 6 x 32]	0
Conv3D – 5	[56 x 56 x 6 x 64]	55,360
BatchNorm3D – 6	[56 x 56 x 6 x 64]	256
LeakyRelu – 7	[56 x 56 x 6 x 64]	0
MaxPool3d – 8	[14 x 14 x 6 x 64]	0
Linear – 9	[128 x 1]	9,633,920
LeakyRelu – 10	[128 x 1]	0
BatchNorm1D – 11	[128 x 1]	512
Dropout – 12	[128 x 1]	0

Linear – 13	[2 x 1]	258				
Table 4.1.2 3DCNN Architecture 2						
Layer Name	Output shape	Parameters				
Input	[224 x 224 x 3 x 1]	-				
Conv3D – 1	[224 x 224 x 3 x 32]	896				
BatchNorm3D – 2	[224 x 224 x 3 x 32]	128				
LeakyRelu – 3	[224 x 224 x 3 x 32]	0				
MaxPool3d - 4	[56 x 56 x 3 x 32]	0				
Conv3D – 5	[56 x 56 x 3 x 64]	55,360				
BatchNorm3D – 6	[56 x 56 x 3 x 64]	256				
LeakyRelu – 7	[56 x 56 x 3 x 64]	0				
MaxPool3d – 8	[14 x 14 x 3 x 64]	0				
Linear – 9	[128 x 1]	4,817,024				
LeakyRelu – 10	[128 x 1]	0				
BatchNorm1D – 11	[128 x 1]	512				
Dropout – 12	[128 x 1]	0				
Linear – 13	[2 x 1]	258				
	Table 4.1.3 3DCNN Architecture	3				
Layer Name	Output shape	Parameters				
Input	[224 x 224 x 9 x 1]	-				
Conv3D – 1	[224 x 224 x 9 x 32]	896				
BatchNorm3D – 2	[224 x 224 x 9 x 32]	128				
LeakyRelu – 3	[224 x 224 x 9 x 32]	0				
MaxPool3d - 4	[112 x 112 x 4 x 32]	0				
Conv3D – 5	[112 x 112 x 4 x 64]	55,360				
BatchNorm3D – 6	[112 x 112 x 4 x 64]	256				
LeakyRelu – 7	[112 x 112 x 4 x 64]	0				
MaxPool3d – 8	[56 x 56 x 2 x 64]	0				
Linear – 9	[128 x 1]	51,380,352				
LeakyRelu – 10	[128 x 1]	0				
BatchNorm1D – 11	[128 x 1]	512				
Dropout – 12	[128 x 1]	0				

Linear – 13	[2 x 1]	258					
Table 4.1.4 3DCNN Architecture 4							
Layer Name	Output shape	Parameters					
Input	[224 x 224 x 6 x 1]	-					
Conv3D – 1	[224 x 224 x 6 x 32]	896					
BatchNorm3D – 2	[224 x 224 x 6 x 32]	128					
LeakyRelu – 3	[224 x 224 x 6 x 32]	0					
MaxPool3d - 4	[112 x 112 x 3 x 32]	0					
Conv3D – 5	[112 x 112 x 3 x 64]	55,360					
BatchNorm3D – 6	[112 x 112 x 3 x 64]	256					
LeakyRelu – 7	[112 x 112 x 3 x 64]	0					
MaxPool3d – 8	[56 x 56 x 1 x 64]	0					
Linear – 9	[128 x 1]	25,690,240					
LeakyRelu – 10	[128 x 1]	0					
BatchNorm1D – 11	[128 x 1]	512					
Dropout – 12	[128 x 1]	0					
Linear – 13	[2 x 1]	258					
	Table 4.1.5 3DCNN Architecture	5					
Layer Name	Output shape	Parameters					
Input	[224 x 224 x 3 x 1]	-					
Conv3D – 1	[224 x 224 x 3 x 32]	896					
BatchNorm3D – 2	[224 x 224 x 3 x 32]	128					
LeakyRelu – 3	[224 x 224 x 3 x 32]	0					
MaxPool3d-4	[112 x 112 x 3 x 32]	0					
Conv3D – 5	[112 x 112 x 3 x 64]	55,360					
BatchNorm3D – 6	[112 x 112 x 3 x 64]	256					
LeakyRelu – 7	[112 x 112 x 3 x 64]	0					
MaxPool3d-8	[56 x 56 x 3 x 64]	0					
Linear – 9	[128 x 1]	77,070,464					
LeakyRelu – 10	[128 x 1]	0					
BatchNorm1D-11	[128 x 1]	512					
Dropout – 12	[128 x 1]	0					

Linear – 13

[2 x 1]

258

Table 4.1.6 3DCNN Architecture 6

There is a total of 6 3DCNN model architectures that are designed and tested during the preliminary phase. Table 3.3.1 to Table 3.3.3 are having a 1 x 4 x 4 kernel size in the 3D Max Pooling layers while the architectures shown in Table 3.3.4 to Table 3.3.6 are having a 4 x 4 x 4 kernel size in the 3D Max Pooling layers. The filter size in the 3D Max Pooling layers control the depth of the image, with the first three architectures the depth of the images are not reduced before it reaches the Fully Connected (FC) layers while the depth of the images in the architecture 4 and 5 will be reduced. The input 3DCNN architecture 6 will have constant depth before reaching the FC layers, however the width and the height of the input will be increased as the size of the kernel has decreased. In other words, the input of the first FC layer for the model architecture 4, 5 and 6 will be bigger than the remaining 3 architectures.

The hyperparameter used for the models:

- i. Number of epochs: 100
- ii. Batch size: 16
- iii. Optimizer: Adam / SGD, weight_decay: 0.0005
- iv. Learning rate: 0.01
- v. Scheduler: ReduceLROnPlateau, factor: 0.1, patience: 5, eps: 1e⁻⁰⁶
- vi. Loss Function: CrossEntropyLoss

Data Augmentation on training data:

- i. RandomHorizontalFlip: 0.5
- ii. RandomVerticalFlip: 0.5
- iii. RandomRotation: 0.5

4.2 Training, Validation and Testing dataset

	Training data (B)	Validation data (B)	Testing data (F)
Good Joints	382	66	185
Defect Joints	247	72	159

Table 4.2.1 Datasets for preliminary training and testing

The train_test_split from sklearn.model_selection library is used to split the training and validation data. The training and validation data were split from the dataset B with

the ratio of 80:20. Dataset F was chosen to be the testing data for this phase as the number of the data in dataset F is the highest among the remaining 9 slices dataset. Dataset B and F are the best preliminary training and testing candidates as the slicing sequence and the location of the BGA is different for both datasets.

Model	Slices	Sequence	Optimizer	Training Time	Testing Time	Accuracy	Confusio	n Matrix
Δ	9	PMPS1S6	Adam	2075.9	63	50 29%	1	158
		1 101 5150	7 touin	2075.9	0.5	50.2770	13	172
В	9	PMPS1S6	SGD	1960.7	5.4	60.47%	131	28
	-	1	~ ~ ~				108	77
C	9	Ori	Adam	1978.5	5.7	62.50%	130	29
							100	85
D	9	Ori	SGD	2013.9	6.2	62.79%		48
			1				80	105
E	3	Ori	Adam	722.1	2	45.93%	24	152
							24	151
F	3	Ori	SGD	698.2	2.2	46.22%	28	157
		[[158	137
G	3	PadMP	Adam	704	2.2	50.87%	168	17
							158	1
H	3	PadMP	SGD	647.4	2	53.78%	158	27
т	2	Devleyen	A .1	(50.5	1.0	40 400/	159	0
1	3	Раскадемир	Adam	650.5	1.9	49.42%	174	11
т	3	DoologoMD	SCD	680.3	2.1	57 2704	149	10
J		r ackagelvir	200	089.3	2.1	37.2770	137	48
к	6	\$1\$6	Adam	1372 3	4.5	47 38%	55	104
	0	5150	nuum	1572.5		47.3070	77	108
L	6	S1S6	SGD	1342	4.4	60.76%	125	34
		5150		13.2			101	84
М	6	Ori	Adam	1357.5	4.4	66.57%	121	38
							77	108
Ν	6	Ori	SGD	1368	5	60.76%	141	18
							05	68
0	9	Ori	Adam	2363.8	6	55.52%	85	/4
							19	62
Р	9	Ori	SGD	2208.6	10.1	59.01%	90 78	107
		1		1			125	34
Q	6	Ori	Adam	1642.7	5	68.60%	74	111
							127	32
R	6	6 Ori	SGD	1514.2	3.7	63.66%	93	92
C	2	0.1		1100.5	2.1	50 2004	2	157
S	3	Ori	Adam			50.29%	14	171
т	2	Orri	SCD	1022	76	47.070/	40	119
1	- 3	Off	200	1025	7.0	47.97%	60	125

4.3	Performance	evaluation

Table 4.3.1 Model Performance

Model Architecture	Model
1	A, B, C, D
2	K, L, M, N
3	E, F, G, H, I, J
4	O, P
5	Q, R
6	S, T

Table 4.3.2 Model Architecture to Model

Based on Table 4.3.1, the top 3 highest accuracy is 68.6% by model Q, 66.57 by model M and 63.66% by model R. The statistic also shows that the model trained with original stacking sequence could produce a better accuracy compared to those with random sequence. Moreover, the statistic also shows that the SGD optimizer is dealing better with BGA stacks with random sequence, but it is outperformed by the Adam optimizer when dealing with original BGA stacking sequence. Despite having a better training time, models with SGD optimizer required more time for making predictions. Besides that, model trained with only 3 slices often misclassified the defect joints when compared to model trained with 6 or 9 slices. Based on this statistic, it is strongly believed that the model proposed in this project should be trained with the original stacking sequence with Adam optimizer.



Figure 4.3.1 Model Q Training/Validation Loss



Figure 4.3.2 Model M Training/Validation Loss



Figure 4.3.3 Model R Training/Validation Loss

Despite having a higher loss in the first 20 to 40 epochs, model Q and M still outperformed model R in the long run. Based on the three figures above, none of the models can achieve 0 loss in the training and validation process. However, the lowest loss value for model R is still significantly higher when compared to model Q and M. This results in a poorer performance when model R is used to predict the testing data. Since none of the models can achieve 100% accuracy in predicting validation data, it is strongly believed that all of them are still slightly underfitting the training data. The

result is expected to be better when a deeper model or model with a different hyperparameter or different number of neurons in the FC layers is used

Chapter 5 Experiments and Evaluation.



5.1 Experimental setup

Figure 5.1.1 Model Architecture

Figure 5.1.1 shows the model architecture that is used to build the models that obtained the best result in 3 different combinations of datasets in this project. Layers, filter size, number of neurons and activation function used are specified in the figure to help others to replicate the model. The input volume will have a shape of (1, 9, 224, 224) where 1 represents the channel of the image, 9 represents the number of slices after padding, followed by the resolution of the image. The models are trained with different hyperparameters to suit different combinations of datasets as shown below.

Hyperparameters for best model in combination A:

- i. Number of epochs: 100
- ii. Batch size: 16
- iii. Optimizer: Adam, weight_decay: 0.0005
- iv. Learning rate: 0.01
- v. Scheduler: ReduceLROnPlateau, factor: 0.1, patience: 5, eps: 1e⁻⁰⁶
- vi. Loss Function: CrossEntropyLoss
- vii. Initialization on fully connected layer: Xavier Normal initialization for weight, zero for bias.
- viii. Image stacking method used: Dummy images first followed with BGA images stacked with original sequence.

There are two models that could achieve the same accuracy in classifying BGA images in combination B, however the model with the least number of false positives is selected here.

Hyperparameters for best model in combination B:

- i. Number of epochs: 100
- ii. Batch size: 16
- iii. Optimizer: Adam, weight_decay: 1e⁻⁰⁶
- iv. Learning rate: 0.01
- v. Scheduler: ReduceLROnPlateau, factor: 0.1, patience: 5, eps: 1e⁻⁰⁶
- vi. Loss Function: CrossEntropyLoss
- vii. Image stacking method used: Dummy images first followed with BGA images stacked with original sequence.

There are multiple models that could achieve the same accuracy in classifying BGA images in combination D, however the model with the least number of false positives is selected here.

Hyperparameters for best model in combination D:

- i. Number of epochs: 100
- ii. Batch size: 16
- iii. Optimizer: Adam, weight_decay: 0.0005
- iv. Learning rate: 0.01
- v. Scheduler: ReduceLROnPlateau, factor: 0.1, patience: 5, eps: 1e⁻⁰⁶
- vi. Loss Function: CrossEntropyLoss
- vii. Initialization on fully connected layer: Xavier Uniform initialization for weight, zero for bias.
- viii. Image stacking method used: Dummy images first followed with BGA images stacked with original sequence. (Normalized version)

The data augmentation used in this phase remains unchanged as in the preliminary stage. Only training data will be augmented while testing and validating data will not.

Combination	Validation Confusion Matrix		Validation Accuracy	Tes Conf Ma	ting usion trix	Testing Accuracy	Inference Speed per Joint (ms)
٨	129	15	80.60	214	45	86.00	167
А	18	158	89.09	26	257	80.90	10.7
В	94	8	95.13	137	5	95.41	19.5
	3	121		8	133		
D	104	7	96.69	143	9	93.41	01.2
D	2	159		14	183		21.3

5.2 Result evaluation

Table 5.2.1 Result obtained with 3D CNN models

Combination	Testing Conf	usion Matrix	Testing Accuracy
Α	191	68	70.15
	45	238	79.15
В	125	17	02.22
	5	136	92.23
D	0	152	EC AE
	0	197	

Table 5.2.2 Result obtained with Manufacturer 2D CNN models

Table 5.2.1 shows the result obtained by the 3D CNN models created in this project while Table 5.2.2 shows the performance of the manufacturer 2D CNN models on 3 of the datasets. The tables show that the performance of the 3D CNN models is must better when compared to the 2D CNN models. Both of the 2D CNN models and 3D CNN models are trained, validated and tested with the similar training, validating and testing data. However, since the hyperparameters in the 2D CNN are not tuned to fit each of the dataset while in the 3DCNN each models' hyperparameters are tuned to fit the datasets. Therefore, to make it fair, the average accuracy score is used compared with the 2D CNN models instead of the best results obtained by the 3D CNN models in each dataset.

Combination	Average 3DCNN Models Accuracy	Manufacturer's 2D CNN models Accuracy
Α	82.63	79.15
В	82.11	92.23
D	91.43	56.45

Table 5.2.3 Performance comparison

As can be seen in Table 5.2.3, the 3D CNN models are outperforming the 2DCNN models in combination A and combination D. The average accuracy for 3D CNN models in combination B doesn't exceed the accuracy obtained with the 2D CNN as there are a few models that are very underfitting the datasets which causes the average to be low. When those outliers are excluded, an average accuracy score of 92.58% could be obtained by the 3D CNN models in combination B. Besides that, the models are able to classify a BGA joint within 200ms as shown in Table 5.2.1 More details regarding the performance, the setting used and the inference speed for the models could be seen in the appendix.

Chapter 6 Conclusion

6.1 Project Review

HIP defect is always a pain for the inspector when inspecting the PCB as HIP defects are sometimes misclassified by the AXI machine. This led to the need of manual inspection with the help of a real-time X-ray machine which had a lower efficiency rate when compared to the AXI machine. Despite having a longer processing time, manual inspection is having a higher accuracy rate when compared to machine inspection. Hence, a more efficient way to classify the HIP defects is required. With the help of the 3D CNN model that achieved all the objectives in this project, it is strongly believed that the model would cause a huge impact in the manufacturing sector. The model is able to classify one BGA joint in less than 200ms with a better performance when compared to the 2D CNN.

6.2 Future work

More experiments are expected to be done with different BGA slices images as the current models could only accept BGA joints with slices less than or equal to 9. A specific stacking technique together with the solution for different input size are expected to be proposed in the coming future. In addition, the size of the best models at the moment is approximately 210 MB which is considered quite huge when compared to models trained with 2D-squeezenet or 2D-mobilenet which is only about 20 - 40 MB. Therefore, a solution for reducing the model size is required as deploying a huge model would require a better and powerful machine which would increase the cost for the manufacturing organizations who wish to have this model. Moreover, there is still room for improvement as the models are not able to achieve 99% in terms of accuracy. This is crucial as the HIP defects would cause a huge damage to humans and the PCB manufacturer when the defects are not being detected.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adoriasoft (2017). *Image Recognition and Image Processing Techniques*. [Online] Available from: <u>https://medium.com/@Adoriasoft/image-recognition-and-image-processing-techniques-fe3d35d58919</u> (Accessed 1 December 2020).
- Alpha Assembly Solutions (n.d., pp. 1-2). How to Avoid BGA Head-in-Pillow Defects.[Online]Availablefrom: https://www.alphaassembly.com/-/media/Files/CooksonElectronics/Tech-Tips/Solder-Paste/TechTip---How-to-Avoid-BGA-Head-in-Pillow-Defects.pdf (Accessed 10 September 2020).
- Bansal, S. (2018). 3D Convolutions: Understanding + Use Case. [Online] Available from: <u>https://www.kaggle.com/shivamb/3d-convolutions-understanding-usecase</u> (Accessed 1 December 2020).
- Castellanos, A., Gutierrez, A., Martin, G., Vandiver, M., Dematampitiya, R., Le, H., Le, E., Chau, P., Cui, H., Zhao, A.Q. and Qian, W.B., 2019. Head in Pillow X-ray Inspection at Flextronics.
- Chen, C., Cai, J., Wang, Q., Wang, Y., Zou, G., Zhao, Z. and Park, C.Y. (2014) Characterization of after-reflow misalignment on Head-in-Pillow defect in BGA assembly. In 2014 15th international conference on electronic packaging technology (pp. 1177-1180). IEEE.
- Farooq, U. (2018). Model summary in PyTorch. [Online] Available from: <u>https://medium.com/@umerfarooq_26378/model-summary-in-pytorch-b5a1e4b64d25</u> (Accessed 1 December 2020).
- Fung, V. (2017). An Overview of ResNet and its Variants. [Online] Available from: https://towardsdatascience.com/an-overview-of-resnet-and-its-variants-5281e2f56035 (Accessed 10 September 2020).
- Hargrave, M. (2019). *Deep Learning*. [Online] Available from: <u>https://www.investopedia.com/terms/d/deep-</u> <u>learning.asp#:~:text=Deep%20learning%20is%20an%20AI,is%20both%20un</u> <u>structured%20and%20unlabeled</u> (Accessed 1 December 2020).

- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S. and Sun, J., 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 770-778).
- Hochreiter, S. and Schmidhuber, J., 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, *9*(8), pp.1735-1780.
- Ji, S., Xu, W., Yang, M. and Yu, K., 2012. 3D convolutional neural networks for human action recognition. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 35(1), pp.221-231.
- Koczera, B. and Zhao, A. (2012). Using Automated 3D X-Ray Inspection to Detect BTC Defects. [Online] Available from: https://smtnet.com/library/files/upload/Detect-BTC-Defects.pdf (Accessed 10 September 2020).
- Mallick, S. and Nayak, S. (2018). Number of Parameters and Tensor Sizes in a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) [Online] Available from: <u>https://www.learnopencv.com/number-of-parameters-and-tensor-sizes-in-</u> convolutional-neural-network/ (Accessed 1 December 2020).
- Olah, C.,2015. Understanding LSTMs. [Online] Available from: https://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/ (Accessed 10 September 2020).
- Prabhu (2018). Understanding of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Deep Learning. [Online] Available from: https://medium.com/@RaghavPrabhu/understanding-of-convolutional-neuralnetwork-cnn-deep-learning-99760835f148 (Accessed 10 September 2020).
- Prove, P. (2017). An Introduction to different Types of Convolutions in Deep Learning.
 [Online] Available from: <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/types-of-</u> convolutions-in-deep-learning-717013397f4d (Accessed 1 December 2020).
- Seelig, K., 2008. Head-in-Pillow BGA Defects. AIM Solder, Montreal, Canada, http://www.aimsolder.com/sites/default/files/head-in-pillow_bga_defects.pdf.
- Simonyan, K. and Zisserman, A., 2014. Very deep convolutional networks for largescale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*.

- Tsang, S. (2018). Review: VGGNet 1st Runner-Up (Image Classification), Winner (Localization) in ILSVRC 2014. [Online] Available from: https://medium.com/coinmonks/paper-review-of-vggnet-1st-runner-up-ofilsvlc-2014-image-classification-d02355543a11 (Accessed 10 September 2020).
- Zhang, Q., Zhang, M., Gamanayake, C., Yuen, C., Geng, Z., Jayasekaraand, H., Zhang, X., Woo, C.W., Low, J. and Liu, X., 2020. Deep Learning Based Defect Detection for Solder Joints on Industrial X-Ray Circuit Board Images. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2008.02604.

APPENDIX A: Poster



UNIVERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN Faculty of Information and Communication Technology

Detecting Head-In-Pillow Defect (HIP) By Using Deep Learning and Image Processing Technique

PROFILE

Project Developer Tan Wei Jin

Programme Bachelor Of Computer Science

Project Supervisor Dr. Aun Yichiet

MODEL HIGHLIGHTS

ROBUST	$\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet$
ACCURACY	
INFERENCE SPEED	•••••
MODEL SIZE	••••

INTRODUCTION

The Head-in-Pillow (HIP) defects had always been an issue during the Ball Grid Array (BGA) assembly. This conceivably expensive imperfection isn't generally distinguished in practical testing, and would just appears as a disappointment in the field after the components are exposed to some physical or thermal stress. Hence, a 3-Dimensional Convolutional Neural Network model is proposed to ease the HIP defects inspection process.



PERFORMANCE COMPARISION

Combination	Average 3DCNN Models Accuracy	Vitrox 2D CNN models Accuracy						
A	82.63	79.15						
В	82.11	92.23						
D	91.43	56.45						

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- To design a 3D CNN for BGA HIP defects detection
- To design an image stacking technique using salient layers based on domain heuristics
- To retrain BGA dataset on the proposed 3D CNN model to improve upon existing Vitrox 2D CNN architecture
- To optimize a 3D CNN model that could inference the BGA HIP defects in less than 200ms per inference.

MODEL ARCHITECTURE



APPENDIX B: Final Year Project Weekly Report FINAL YEAR PROJECT WEEKLY REPORT

Project II

Trimester, Year: Y3S3	Study week no.: 2								
Student Name & ID: Tan Wei Jin 17ACB02302									
Supervisor: Dr. Aun Yichiet									
Project Title: Detecting Head-In-Pillow Defect (HIP) By Using Deep Learning									
and Image Processing Technique									

1. WORK DONE

[Please write the details of the work done in the last fortnight.]

- i. Reversing the image stacking sequence
- ii. Retrain the model with the stacking method

2. WORK TO BE DONE

- i. Hyperparameter tuning for the models
- ii. Try to test the model with a different dataset.

3. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

i. Accuracy is still fairly low for the current models

4. SELF EVALUATION OF THE PROGRESS

Self-assigned tasks are completed within expected timeframe.



Supervisor's signature

Student's signature

Project II

Trimester, Year: Y3S3	Study week no.: 4								
Student Name & ID: Tan Wei Jin 17ACB02302									
Supervisor: Dr. Aun Yichiet									
Project Title: Detecting Head-In-Pillow Defect (HIP) By Using Deep Learning									
and Image Processing Technique									

1. WORK DONE

[Please write the details of the work done in the last fortnight.]

- i. Hyperparameter tuning and new stacking method did improve the accuracy of the model.
- ii. The model is tested with new datasets from the similar PCB board. 90% accuracy in classifying is achieved for the first time.

2. WORK TO BE DONE

- i. Try to add more neurons in the fully connected layers
- ii. Try to add a fully connected layers to increase the complexity of the model

3. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

 Models couldn't classify the defects correctly even if its from the same PCB board.

4. SELF EVALUATION OF THE PROGRESS

Self-assigned tasks are completed within expected timeframe.



Supervisor's signature

Project II

Trimester, Year: Y3S3	Study week no.: 6							
Student Name & ID: Tan Wei Jin 17ACB02302								
Supervisor: Dr. Aun Yichiet								
Project Title: Detecting Head-In-Pillow Defect (HIP) By Using Deep Learning								
and Image Processing Technique								

1. WORK DONE

[Please write the details of the work done in the last fortnight.]

- i. More neurons are added to the fully connected layer, not much improvement in term of accuracy.
- ii. An additional fully connected layer is added to the model, not much improvement in term of accuracy.

2. WORK TO BE DONE

i. Hyperparameter tuning on model with new architecture.

3. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

i. Models could only classify BGA images with 9 slices.

4. SELF EVALUATION OF THE PROGRESS

Self-assigned tasks are completed within expected timeframe.



Supervisor's signature

Student's signature

Project II

Trimester, Year: Y3S3	Study week no.: 8								
Student Name & ID: Tan Wei Jin 17ACB02302									
Supervisor: Dr. Aun Yichiet									
Project Title: Detecting Head-In-Pillow Defect (HIP) By Using Deep Learning									
and Image Processing Technique									

1. WORK DONE

[Please write the details of the work done in the last fortnight.]

- i. Hyperparameter tuning on new model architecture didn't have much improvement in terms of accuracy.
- ii. Model is able to classify BGA defects with 5,6 and 9 slices through dummy image padding method.
- iii. Model's accuracy is stable at 80%.

2. WORK TO BE DONE

- i. Perform hyperparameter tuning on the models.
- ii. Train the model by excluding some of the datasets that might cause the accuracy to be low.
- iii. Train the model on new datasets received from Vitrox

3. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

i. Have to allocate some time on other assignments,

4. SELF EVALUATION OF THE PROGRESS

Self-assigned tasks are completed within expected timeframe.

Supervisor's signature



Project II

Trimester, Year: Y3S3	Study week no.: 10							
Student Name & ID: Tan Wei Jin 17ACB02302								
Supervisor: Dr. Aun Yichiet								
Project Title: Detecting Head-In-Pillow Defect (HIP) By Using Deep Learning								
and Image Processing Technique								

1. WORK DONE

[Please write the details of the work done in the last fortnight.]

- i. Models' accuracy improved slight with hyperparameter tuning.
- ii. Model train without certain datasets could achieved 95% accuracy.
- iii. Model train with dataset received by Vitrox could only achieved about 89% accuracy.

2. WORK TO BE DONE

- i. Fully connected layer initialization.
- ii. Try to pad the dummy images in front of the slice images.
- iii. More hyperparameter tuning.
- iv. Train model with newly collected data by Vitrox.

3. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

- i. Model accuracy is currently cap at 95% and few of the dataset for a particular PCB is excluded.
- ii. Model accuracy is cap at 85% if all data from 4 different PCB boards are used.

4. SELF EVALUATION OF THE PROGRESS

Self-assigned tasks are completed within expected timeframe.



Supervisor's signature

Project II

Trimester, Year: Y3S3	Study week no.: 12								
Student Name & ID: Tan Wei Jin 17ACB02302									
Supervisor: Dr. Aun Yichiet									
Project Title: Detecting Head-In-Pillow Defect (HIP) By Using Deep Learning									
and Image Processing Technique									

1. WORK DONE

[Please write the details of the work done in the last fortnight.]

- i. Padding dummy images in front of slice image could improve the accuracy of the model.
- Normalization and initialization in fully connected layer are able to help the model trained with new data collected by Vitrox to achieved 93% accuracy.
- iii. Finalization meeting to freeze the project with Vitrox.

2. WORK TO BE DONE

- i. Start to prepare FYP report 2.
- ii. Finalizing all the results obtained through out the process.

3. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

- i. Had to spend more time in assignments that due by this week.
- ii. Accuracy of model is not as high as expectation.

4. SELF EVALUATION OF THE PROGRESS

Self-assigned tasks are completed within expected timeframe.



Supervisor's signature

aback studio	Tan Wei Jin FYP 2				
			۲	Match Overv	view
				10%	0
				K Match 1 of 3	
	23 Chanter 1 Introduction		* 10	1 medium.com Internet Source	
	Chapter 1 Introduction		FI.	2 Roberts, Gordon. "An In	I
	With the developing use of leadless soldering and reduction of size and nitch of solder		Y	 Publication 	
	ioints in gadgets producing the Head-in-Pillow (HIP) defects which also known as the			3 www.kaggle.com Internet Source	
	ball-and-socket is a solder joint defect had become a common issue during the Ball Grid		*	▲ Submitted to Universiti	
	Array (BGA) assembly. The HIP defects could be caused by several issues such as the		(i)	Student Paper	
	oxidation of BGA ball or the oxygen barrier in solder pastes individually/ jointly,			5 "Medical Image Unders Publication	i
	warpage, misalignment of the components, et cetera. (Chen et al., 2014). A printed			6 towardsdatascience.co)_ <
	circuit board (PCB) with HIP defects will result in joints with sufficient association of			Internet Source	
	electrical integrity yet missing the adequate machinal strength. This conceivably			7 en.wikipedia.org Internet Source	<
	expensive imperfection isn't generally distinguished in practical testing and would just			8 Intelligent Computing	- <
	appear as a disappointment in the field after the components are exposed to some			Publication	
	physical or inermal stress as they could barely withstand the stress strength due to			9 Submitted to Study Gro Student Paper	- <
of 41 Word Co	insufficiant machanical stranoth (Saalia: 2009) Junt: 9310 Text- Document Viewer	only Report	High Resolut	tion On Q	•
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr	incufficiant machanical stranoth (Saalia, 2008) Junt 9310 Text- Document Viewer -2021 10:14 +08	only Report	High Resolut	tion On Q	•
f 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310	incufficiant machanical stranoth (Saalia, 2008) punt 9310 Text- Document Viewer -2021 10:14 +08	only Report	High Resolut	tion On Q Q	
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2	incufficiant machanical stranoth (Saalia, 2008) Junt 9310 Text- iginality Report -2021 10:14 +08	Similarity	High Resolut 7 Index %	tion On Q Q	6% 6%
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan	incufficiant machanical stranoth (Saalia, 2008) punt 9310 Text- iginality Report -2021 10:14 +08 Wei Jin	Similarity	High Resolut Y Index %	tion On Q Similarity by Source Internet Sources: Publications: Student Papers:	6% 6% 3%
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr 1D: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan include guoted	incutificiant machanical stranoth (Saalia 2009) punt 9310 Text- Document Viewer 2021 10:14+08 Wei Jin Include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words mode: [quickview (classic) report v] Change mode	Similarity 10	High Resolut Y Index %	tion On Q Q	6% 6% 3%
f 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apri Dr: 1559923141 Word Court: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan include guoted 1% match (publik Roberts, Gordon,	incutificiant machanical streamth (Saalia 2009) Document Viewer iginality Report -2021 10:14 +08 Wei Jin include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words	Similarity 10 ⁴ print dow	High Resolut / Index %	tion On Q Q	6% 6% 3%
of 41 Word Cc Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan Include guoted 1% match (publi Roberts, Gordon, 1% match (linter https://www.kag	incutFiciant machanical strength (Saalia 2009) Decument Viewer iginality Report 2021 10:14 +08 Wei Jin Include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words mode: [quickview (classic) report v] Change mode cations) "An Introduction to Mixed-Signal IC Test and Measurement". Oxford University Press net from 14-Dec-2020) gle.com/shivamb/3d-convolutions-understanding-use-case	Similarity 10 Drint dow	High Resolut / Index %	tion On Q	6% 6% 3%
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan include guoted 1% match (publi Roberts, Gordon, 1% match (Inter https://www.kag 1% match (Inter https://medium.	incutificiant machanical streamth (Saalia 2009) Document Viewer iginality Report 2021 10:14 +08 Wei Jin include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words	Similarity 10 Drint dow	High Resolut	tion On Q	696 696 396
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan include guoted 1% match (publik Roberts, Gordon, 1% match (Inter https://www.kag 1% match (Inter https://medium.a	Incutificiant machanical stranoth (Saalia 2009) Text Document Viewer Igginality Report 2021 10:14 +08 Wei Jin Incude bibliography excluding matches < 8 words mode: guickview (classic) report v Change mode cations) "An Introduction to Mixed-Signal IC Test and Measurement". Oxford University Press net from 14-Dec-2020) gle.com/shivamb/3d-convolutions-understanding-use-case net from 03-Dec-2020) com/@Adoriasoft/image-recognition-and-image-processing-techniques-fe3d35d58919 ent papers from 21-Nov-2019) versiti Tunku Abdul Rahman on 2019-11-21	Similarity 10 Drint dow	High Resolut	tion On Q	6% 6% 3%
of 41 Word Cc Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan include guoted 1% match (publi Roberts, Gordon, 1% match (Inter https://www.kag 1% match (Inter https://www.kag 1% match (stude Submitted to Uni 1% match (publi "Medical Image L	Incutificiant machanical stranoth (Saalia 2009) Text Document Viewer Iginality Report 2021 10:14 +08 Wei Jin Include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words mode: guickview (classic) report Change mode cations) An Introduction to Mixed-Signal IC Test and Measurement*. Oxford University Press net from 14-Dec-2020) gle.com/shivamb/3d-convolutions-understanding-use-case net from 03-Dec-2020) gle.com/@Adorlasoft/image-recognition-and-image-processing-techniques-fe3d35d58919 ent papers from 21-Nov-2019) versiti Tunku Abdul Rahman on 2019-11-21 cations) Inderstanding and Analysis*, Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017	Similarity 100	High Resolut	tion Cn Q	6% 6% 3%
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Count: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan include auoted 1% match (publi Roberts, Gordon, 1% match (Inter https://www.kag 1% match (Inter https://medium 1% match (gubli "Medical Image II % match () https://en.wikipg	incutificiant machanical stranoth (Saalia 2009) Document Viewer iginality Report -2021 10:14+08 Wei Jin Include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words mode: [quickview (classic) report v] Change mode cations) "An Introduction to Mixed-Signal IC Test and Measurement". Oxford University Press net from 14-Dec-2020) gle.com/shivamb/3d-convolutions-understanding-use-case net from 03-Dec-2020) com/@Adoriasoft/image-recognition-and-image-processing-techniques-fe3d35d58919 ant papers from 21-Nov-2019) versiti Tunku Abdul Rahman on 2019-11-21. cations) Inderstanding and Analysis", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 xdia.org/wiki?curid=43597028	Similarity 10 ⁰ print dow	High Resolut / Index %	tion Cn Q	696 696 396
of 41 Word Co Turnitin Ori Processed on: 16-Apr ID: 1559923141 Word Coun: 9310 Submitted: 2 FYP 2 By Tan include auoted 1% match (publi Roberts, Gordon, 1% match (Inter https://www.kag 1% match (Inter https://medium 1% match (publi "Medical Image I <1% match () https://en.wikips <1% match (Inter https://en.wikips	incutificiant machanical stranoth (Saalia 2009) Document Viewer igjinality Report 2021 10:14+08 Wei Jin Include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words mode: [quickview (dassic) report 2021 10:14+08 Wei Jin Include bibliography excluding matches < 8 words mode: [quickview (dassic) report 2021 Change mode Cations) "An Introduction to Mixed-Signal IC Test and Measurement". Oxford University Press net from 14-Dec-2020) gle.com/shivamb/3d-convolutions-understanding-use-case net from 03-Dec-2020) com/@Adoriasoft/image-recognition-and-image-processing-techniques-fe3d35d58919 ent papers from 21-Nov-2019) versiti Tunku Abdul Rahman on 2019-11-21 cations) Inderstanding and Analysis", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 edia.org/wiki?curid=43597028 ernet from 12-Nov-2020) com/@RaphayPrabhu/understanding-of-convolutional-neural-network-cnn-deep-learning-99760835f148±1:2	similarity 10! print dow	High Resolut Y Index % nload	tion Cn Q Q	696

APPENDIX C: Plagiarism Check Result

APPENDIX D: Models' Performance

Model	Recipe	Slices	Sequence	Normalization	MaxPool Shape	FC Layers / Global Pooling	Initialization	Dropout	Activation Function	Optimizer	Weight_Decay	Patience	Valida	tion CM	Validation Accuracy	Testi	ng CM	Testing Accuracy	Testing Time	Testing Time per Joint
	Training: B,	0	DMCRIRC		Terratur	[100 01		0.15	LudeDelli	Alex	5.00E 0.1	,	67	5	04.20200055	1	158	50 200 (07/7	(20005504	0.010517/20
1	Validating: B, Testino: F	9	PMC5150	•	Irregular	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyKeLU	Adam	5.00E-04	3	3	63	94.20289800	13	172	50.29069767	0.309993394	0.01851/429
	Training: B,												71	1		131	28			
2	Validating: B, Tectine: F	9	PMCS1S6	•	Irregular	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	3	63	97.10144928	108	77	60.46511628	5.418999434	0.015752905
	Training: B,												72	0		130	29			
3	Validating: B, Testing: F	9	Original	•	Irregular	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	5	61	96.37681159	100	85	62.5	5.711996078	0.01660464
	Training: B,												69	3		111	48			
4	Validating: B,	9	Original	•	Irregular	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	2	64	96.37681159	80	105	62.79069767	6.235998869	0.018127904
	Testing: F Training: B,												70	2		1	152			
5	Validating: B,	3	Original		Irregular	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	5	61	94.92753623	24	151	45.93023256	2.002996445	0.005822664
	Testing: F Training: B,												68	4		37	157			
6	Validating: B,	3	Original		Irregular	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	4	6	94.20289855	2	157	46.22093023	2.229994774	0.006482543
	Testing: F Training: B,												70	1		150	157			
1	Validating: B,	3	PMC		Irregular	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	/0	0	95.65217391	100	17	50.87209302	2.237996578	0.006505804
	Testing: F Training: B.												4	02		100	1/			
8	Validating: B,	3	PMC		Irregular	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	09	3	94.92753623	158	1	53.77906977	1.986996412	0.005776152
	Testing: F Training: R												4	62		158	2/			
9	Validating: B,	3	CMP		Irregular	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	69	3	94.92753623	159	0	49.41860465	1.896998405	0.00551453
	Testing: F				-								4	62		174	11			
10	Validating: B,	3	CMP		Irregular	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	68	4	94.20289855	149	10	57.26744186	2.071990967	0.00602323
	Testing: F				Ŭ								4	62		137	48			
11	Training: B, Validating: B	6	\$156		Irregular	[128 2]		0.15	LeackyReLII	Adam	500F-04	5	71	1	96 37681159	55	104	47 38372093	4 54199338	0.013203469
	Testing: F	0	0100		nreguta	[120,2]		0.15	Luckynebo	roun	0.000 04	5	4	62	70.57001157	77	108	41.50512075	4.54177550	0.013203407
12	Training: B, Volidation D	6	\$1\$6		Irramlar	[120.2]		0.15	LandwDal II	(CD	5 00E 0.1	5	71	1	06 27691150	125	34	60 75591205	4 282005205	0.012744172
12	Testing: F	0	3130		nicgua	[120, 2]		0.15	LEGUNYNELU	200	J.00E-04	5	4	62	70.37001137	101	84	00.73361373	4.J0J77J27J	0.012/441/2
	Training: B,										5 00 T 01		71	1		121	38			
13	Validating: B, Tectine: F	6	Orginal	•	Irregular	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	3	4	62	96.37681159	77	108	66.369/6/44	4.40600419	0.012808152
	Training: B,												70	2		141	18			
14	Validating: B, Testing: F	6	Original	•	Irregular	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	4	62	95.65217391	117	68	60.75581395	5.028992176	0.014619163
	Training: B,												68	4		85	74			
15	Validating: B,	9	Original	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	3	63	94.92753623	79	106	55.52325581	5.951997519	0.017302318
	Testing: F Training: B,												68	4		96	63			
16	Validating: B,	9	Original	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	5	61	93.47826087	78	107	59.01162791	4.983996868	0.014488363
	Testing: F Training: B,												ส	5		125	2/			
17	Validating: B,	6	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	2	62	94.20289855	74	111	68.60465116	2.110995293	0.006136614
	Testing: F Training: B.												3	05		/4	- 111			
18	Validating: B,	6	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	08	4	94.20289855	12/	32	63.6627907	7.609423876	0.022120418
	Testing: F Training: R											-	4	62		93	92			
19	Validating: B,	3	Original		Irregular	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	68	4	94.92753623	2	15/	50.29069767	10.06244397	0.029251291
	Testing: F												3	63		14	171			
20	Validating: B,	3	Original		Irregular	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	69	3	94.20289855	40	119	47.96511628	3.719548941	0.010812642
	Testing: F		Ť		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i								5	61		60	125			
21	Validating: B,	6	Reverse Train		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	69	3	94.92753623	25	134	60.1744186	8.953121424	0.026026516
	Testing: F												4	62		3	182			
22	Training: B, Validating: B	6	Reverse Train		Cube	[128 2]		0.15	LesckuRel II	SGD	5 00F.04	5	68	4	94 97753673	44	115	65 11627907	4 10931015	0.011945669
	Testing: F	0	neverse man		cute	[0.15	LunijuLo	505	51002 01		3	63	71172703025	5	180	0.1102/707		0011/1000/
12	Training: B, Volidation D	6	Davaroa Tart		Cuba	[120.2]		0.15	LandwDal II	Adam	5 00E 0.1	5	68	4	04 20290955	30	129	60.46511670	4 521240762	0.01217228
25	Testing: F	U	REVEISE TEST		Cube	[120, 2]		0.15	LEAUNYNELU	Audili	J.00EP04	J	4	62	74.20207000	7	178	00.40011028	4.JJ1247702	0.013172230
	Training: B,	,	n			[100 01		0.15	LIDIU	000	5 00E 04	,	71	1	07 101 11020	75	84	(0.(0.1/511))	5 5 (250) (2)	0.01/1700/7
24	Validating: B, Testing: F	6	Reverse Test	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	3	3	63	97.10144928	24	161	68.60465116	5.562501431	0.016170062
	Training: B,												69	3		158	1			
25	Validating: B, Touting: E	9	Reverse Train	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	5	61	94.20289855	132	53	61.3372093	12.1093235	0.035201522
	Training: B,												68	4		121	38			
26	Validating: B,	9	Reverse Train	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	5	61	93.47826087	66	119	69.76744186	6.562499523	0.019077033
	Training: B,												68	4		98	61			
27	Validating: B,	9	Reverse Test		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	2	62	94.92753623	22	152	72.96511628	6.609327078	0.01921316
	Testing: F Training: B.												70	1		100	51			
28	Validating: B,	9	Reverse Test		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	/0	1	95.65217391	108	л 1/2	79.06976744	6.640563488	0.019303964
	Testing: F Training: R					<u> </u>							4	62		21	164			
29	Validating: B,	9	Original		Cube	[256, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	69	3	94.92753623	15	144	56.68604651	5.187435389	0.015079754
	Testing: F		-			· ·					<u> </u>		4	62		5	180		<u> </u>	
30	1 ranning: B, Validatino: R	9	Original		Cube	[256.2]		0.15	LeackvReLI	SGD	5.00E-04	5	68	4	94.92753623	81	78	69.47674419	5.109373093	0.014852829
	Testing: F		9			1 ° 1			1 1				3	63		27	158			

31	Training: B, Validating: B, Tacting: F	9	Reverse Both		Cube	[256,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	69 4	3 62	94.92753623	25 8	134 177	58.72093023	4.453072309	0.012944978
32	Training B, Validating B,	9	Reverse Both		Cube	[256, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	69	3	94.92753623	133	26	63.08139535	4.921866894	0.014307753
33	Testing: F Training: B, Validating: B	9	Reverset Train		Cube	[256.2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	+ 70	2	95.65217391	37	84	62.20930233	5.078069687	0.01476183
	Testing: F Training: B,	,				[]							4	62 4		8 58	177 101			
34	Validating B, Testing: F Training: B	9	Reverse Train	-	Cube	[256,2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	3	63	94.92753623	18	167	65.40697674	4.843690872	0.014080497
35	Validating: B, Testing: F	9	Reverse Test	-	Cube	[256,2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	6	60	94.20289855	3	14/	56.39534884	4.609367371	0.013399324
36	Training: B, Validating: B, Testing: F	9	Reverse Test		Cube	[256,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	69	3	94.92753623	52	107 173	65.40697674	4.781251907	0.013898988
37	Training: B, Validating: B,	9	Original		Cube	[256, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	69	3	94.92753623	3	156	50.58139535	5.662103891	0.016459604
38	Testing: F Training: B, Validating: B,	9	Original		Cube	[256, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	68	4	94.92753623	89	70	56.97674419	6.5	0.018895349
20	Testing: F Training: B,	0	Derver Teria		04	[35(3)]		0.15	Levels Del II	Alm	5 00E 04		3	63 4	02.47924097	78 159	107 0	50 20222550	5 10/210/07	0.0150/270
39	Validating: B, Testing: F Training: B,	9	keverse Irain		Cube	[200, 2]	•	0.15	LeackykeLU	Adam	5.00E-04	,	5	61	95.47820087	140	45 24	39.30232338	3.48431908/	0.01594279
40	Validating B, Testing: F	9	Reverse Train		Cube	[256,2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	6	60	94.20289855	79	106	70.05813953	6.453063726	0.018758906
41	Validating: B, Testing: F	9	Reverse Test		Cube	[256,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	68	4	94.92753623	101 58	58 127	66.27906977	5.656187296	0.016442405
42	Training: B, Validating: B,	9	Reverse Test	-	Cube	[256, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	67 2	5	94.92753623	114	45	75.87209302	6.249943495	0.01816844
43	Testing: F Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	24	9	76.8115942	138	21	75.38461538	5.234585285	0.016106416
	Testing: G Training: F,	0	01		01	(100.01)		0.15	. I DIU	000	5005.04	,	7 30	29	0/ 05/50124	59 148	107	00.1520.4/15	5 40101 JE27	0.01//02014
44	Validating: F, Testing: G Training: F,	9	Uriginal		Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackykeLU	SGD	5.00E-04	,	6	30 9	80.93032174	21	145	90.13384013	5.421914577	0.010082814
45	Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Original	•	Cube	[256,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	9	27	73.91304348	32	134	79.38461538	8.40400362	0.025858473
46	Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Original		Cube	[256,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	26 8	7 28	78.26086957	133 32	26 134	82.15384615	8.209001852	0.02525539
47	Training: F, Validating: F, Tacting: G	9	Reverse Both		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	24	9 31	79.71014493	113 9	46 157	83.07692308	8.712999821	0.02680923
48	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Reverse Both		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	30 3	3 33	91.30434783	131 5	28 161	89.84615385	8.29500103	0.02552308
49	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[256, 128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	21	12	73.91304348	126	33 95	- 68	8.711999655	0.026806153
50	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[256, 128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	22	11	76.8115942	127	32	82.46153846	8.472999573	0.026070768
51	Testing: G Training: B, Validation: B	0	Roverce Tect		Cuba	[256 128 2]		0.15	LeschuRel II	Adam	500E.01	5	5 69	31 3	0/ 07753673	25 131	141 28	63 37200302	15 57500788	0.045133715
	Testing: F Training: B,	,	norabe rest		Cube	[200, 120, 2]		0.15	Luxayuete		5.002 01		4	62	74,72133623	98 143	87 16	0.57207502	15.52577100	0010100110
52	Validating: B, Testing: F Training: F.	9	Reverse Test		Cube	[256, 128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	8	58	92.02898551	61	124	77.61627907	8.858999968	0.025752907
53	Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Original		Cube	[256, 128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	10	4	4 32	88.4057971	139	154	90.15384615	15.74899793	0.048458455
54	Training: F, Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Original		Cube	[256, 128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	10	29 3	4	89.85507246	129 3	30 163	89.84615385	8.294002295	0.025520007
55	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]			LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	10	30 3	3 33	91.30434783	134 4	25 162	91.07692308	8.238997221	0.025350761
56	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]			LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	10	24	9 34	84.05797101	111 26	48 140	77.23076923	7.084997416	0.021799992
57	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]			LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	5	29	4	89.85507246	120	39	86.76923077	12.57199264	0.038683054
58	Testing: G Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]			LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	22	10	78.26086957	120	39	83.38461538	5.991999149	0.01843692
59	Testing: G Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]			LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	27	6	85.50724638	133	26	- 88	202.6725807	0.623607941
10	Testing: G Training: F,	^	0			[100 03			Luibir	0.015	1000 05		4 24	32 9	70 0/00/075	13 126	153 33	01 230 // 12	222 - 222-22	0.00576405
60	Validating: F, Testine: G	9	Ungmal		Cube	[128, 2]		·	LeackyReLU	SUD	1.00E-05)	6	30	18.26086957	27	139	81.35846154	525.6539989	0.99579692

61	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]	-		LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	26	7 34	86.95652174	120	39 162	86.76923077	162.6448882	0.50044581
62	Testing: G Training: F, Validating: F	9	Original		Cube	[128.2]			[eackvRe].[]	SGD	1.00E-06	5	27	6	85,50724638	132	27	84.61538462	5,650994778	0.017387676
	Testing: G	,	onginai		Cabe	[120,2]			Luxkyhulo	502	1.002.00		4	32	0.50121000	23	143	01.01000102	2020774110	0.011301010
63	Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	5	28	5	86.95652174	127	32	89.23076923	7.366995096	0.022667677
	Testing: G Training: F,												4	32		3 119	40			
64	Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	14	22	69.56521739	58	108	69.84615385	6.213994265	0.019119982
	Training: F,										1007.04	_	29	4		133	26			
65	Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Orginal	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	3	2	34	91.30434783	26	140	84	6.266002178	0.01928000/
66	Training: F, Validating: F	9	Original		Cube	[128 2]		0.15	LeackyReLLI	SGD	1.00E-05	5	24	9	75 36231884	135	24	85 53846154	6.403991699	0.01970459
	Testing: G	,				[]							8	28		23	143			
67	Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	27	6	86.95652174	120	39	87.07692308	6.425001383	0.019769235
	Testing: G Training: F,												3	35		3	103			
68	Validating: F,	9	Original	•	Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	5	31	86.95652174	17	149	90.46153846	6.344992399	0.019523054
	Training: F,												28	5		119	40			
69	Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Original	•	Cube	[128, 2]		0.1	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	3	33	88.4057971	4	162	86.46153846	6.136000633	0.018880002
70	Training: F, Validating: F	9	Original		Cube	[128 2]		01	LeackyReIII	SGD	500F.04	5	31	2	84.05797101	149	10	85 84615385	6.04394771	0.018750747
	Testing: G	,	0		cut	[.20,2]			LinijiiLo	565	0.002.01		9	27	0100177101	36	130	0.01010000		
71	Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.2	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	30	3	88.4057971	139	20	90.15384615	6.685991526	0.020572282
	Testing: G Training: F.												5	31		12	154			
72	Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.2	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	8	0 27	79.41176471	46	12	82.15384615	6.266992092	0.019283053
	Testing: G Training: F,												26	1		121	38			
73	Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Original	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.3	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	3	33	85.50724638	10	156	85.23076923	6.926992178	0.021313822
74	Training: F, Volidating: F	0	Original		Cuba	[128-2]		0.3	LaselmDal II	SCD	5.00E.04	5	23	10	76 8115042	136	23	96.46153846	5 977006760	0.019093009
14	Testing: G	,	original		Cube	[120, 2]	-	0.5	LEALNYNELU	300	J.00E-04	5	6	30	70.0113742	21	145	00.40133040	3.811000107	0.010083078
75	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.4	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	28	5	86.95652174	126	33	88.30769231	6.030950308	0.01855677
	Testing: G Training: F.												4	32		5	161			
76	Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.4	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	13	9 73	68.11594203	125	30 179	77.53846154	6.817998648	0.020978457
	Testing: G Training: F,												30	3		127	32			
77	Validating: F, Testing: G	9	Original	•	Cube	[128, 2]		0.5	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	4	32	89.85507246	3	163	89.23076923	6.420997143	0.019756914
79	Training: F, Volidating: F	0	Original		Cuba	[128 2]		0.5	LaselmDaLI	SCD	5.00E.04	5	25	8	76 8115042	134	25	92 28/61528	6 106000239	0.010005386
10	Testing: G	,	original		Cube	[120, 2]	-	0.5	LEALNYNELU	300	3.00E-04	5	8	28	70.0113742	29	137	0.00401000	0.20000028	0.017073300
79	Training: F, Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	22	11	78.26086957	51	268	45.99708879	17.84374213	0.025973424
	Testing: B Training: F.												4	32		103	265			
80	Validating: F,	9	Original		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	8	28	75.36231884	50	209	50.80058224	42.20465183	0.061433263
	Testing: B Training: F,												23	10		56	263			
81	Validating: F, Testing: B	9	Reverse Train	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	9	27	72.46376812	68	300	51.81950509	101.5539534	0.147822348
82	Training: F, Validating: F	0	Reserve Train		Cube	[128 2]		0.15	LeselvReIII	SCD	500E-01	5	27	6	84.05707101	0	319	53 56677000	55 57138515	0.080880035
02	Testing: B	,	Neverse Ham		cuit	[120, 2]	-	0.15	Luckynelo	300	3.002-04	5	5	31	04.05777101	0	368	33.30022777	35.57156015	0.000007755
83	Validating: F,	9	Reverse Test		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	23	10	69.56521739	40	279	48.61717613	93.28233361	0.135782145
	Testing: B Training: F.												11	25		74	294			
84	Validating: F,	9	Reverse Test		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	6	8 30	79.71014493	0	319	53.56622999	107.2328646	0.156088595
	Testing: B		Original, Black										121	28		176	83			
85	Combination A	9	Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	15	169	87.08708709	29	254	79.33579336	39.10896444	0.072156761
86	Combination A	0	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		0.15	LeselvReIII	SCD	500E-01	5	138	11	80 78078070	206	53	85 //2/25/2/	9 100267/73	0.016806767
00	Compiliation A	,	Image Last		cuit	[120, 2]	-	0.15	Luckynelo	300	3.002-04	5	23	161	07.10710717	26	257	00.12100121	7.10/201413	0.010000107
87	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	10	125	24	87.38738739	184	75	80.07380074	25.3281281	0.046730864
			mitge Läsi										18	106		33 10/	250			
88	Combination A	9	Uriginal, Black Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	10	13/	12	90.99099099	204	256	84.87084871	9.89062953	0.018248394
			Original, Black										133	16		190	69			
89	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	5	22	162	88.58858859	28	255	82.10332103	12.93749762	0.023869922
QN	Combination A	Q	Original, Black		Cube	[178 2]		0.15	[parkuRal II	SGD	1.00E.04	5	139	10	80.180.180.10	200	59	83 76382761	10,89062217	0.020003300
70	Compiliaduli A	7	Image Last	-	Cuit	[120, 2]		0.13	LIGUNYNELU	300	1.00E-04	J	25	159	07.40740749	29	254	00.70303704	10.07002214	0.020073379

91	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	139 19	10	91.29129129	209 29	50 254	85.42435424	57.12505746	0.105396785
92	Combination A	9	Original, Black	-	Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	135	14	89.18918919	196	63	82.65682657	30.64056492	0.056532408
			Image Last										22	162		31	252			
93	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	133	16	89.18918919	194	65	83.2103321	49.34370971	0.091040055
			image Last										20	164		26	257			
94	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	131	18	88.28828829	188	71	81.73431734	30.18750143	0.055696497
			Image Last										21	163		28	255			
95	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128.2]		0.1	LeackvReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	125	24	83,78378378	199	60	83.02583026	10.23431778	0.018882505
		-	Image Last			1.91						-	30	154		32	251			
96	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		01	LeackvReLLI	SGD	5.00F-04	5	127	22	86 18618619	195	64	81 73431734	10 57806897	0.019516732
,,,	combination	,	Image Last		cuse	[-=0,=]			Linijinito	565	0.002 01		24	160	00.1001001)	35	248	01110101101	1007000077	
97	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		0.2	LeackvReLLI	Adam	5.00F-04	5	126	23	84 08408408	198	61	73 98523985	10 40624714	0.019199718
		,	Image Last			[, -]							30	154		80	203			
98	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		0.2	LeackyRel II	SGD	5.00F-04	5	134	15	87 38738739	197	62	81 73431734	8 999993563	0.016605154
,,,	combination	,	Image Last		case	[-=0,=]			Linijinite	565	0.002 01		27	157	0112012012)	37	246	0110101101	0.77772202	
99	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		03	LeackvReLLI	Adam	5.00F-04	5	133	16	87 08708709	193	66	81 91881919	10.01561952	0.018479003
		,	Image Last			[, -]							27	157		32	251			
100	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		03	LeackyRel II	SGD	5.00F-04	5	133	16	88 25301205	194	65	82 10332103	9 093698502	0.016778042
100	Compilation II		Image Last		case	[120,2]		0.5	Danajaceo	502	5.000 01	5	23	160	00.20301200	32	251	02.10002100	7.075070502	0.010110012
101	Combination A	0	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		0.4	LeschuRel II	Δdsm	5.00F-0/	5	115	34	86 18618610	154	105	78 04/28044	77 78110612	0 1/35077/2
101	Compilation II		Image Last		case	[120,2]		0.1	Danijado	ritalli	5.000 01		12	172	00.10010017	14	269	7007120011	11.1011/012	0.110007712
102	Combination A	0	Original, Black		Cuba	[128 2]		0.4	Laselr:Dal II	sch	5 00E 04	5	135	14	90 19019010	193	66	97 9/1279/1	25 25021692	0.016788/07
102	Compilianon A	,	Image Last		Cube	[120, 2]	-	0.4	LEarkyNELU	300	J.00E-04	J	22	162	07.10710717	27	256	02.0+1320+1	23.33731063	0.040700407
103	Combination A	0	Original, Black		Cuba	[128 2]		0.5	Laselr:Dal II	Adam	5.00E.04	5	122	27	97 09709700	179	80	91 72/2172/	0 500022725	0.017527725
105	Compilization A	,	Image Last		Cube	[120, 2]		0.5	LEACKYNELU	Adalli	J.00E-04	5	13	171	01.70170177	19	264	01./3431/34	9.300032423	0.011321133
104	0.11.6.1	0	Original, Black	-	01	[100 0 1		0.5	LINIU	000	5.00E.04	,	136	13	00 20020020	195	64	03 20202200	0.00071005	0.017730/73
104	Complination A	9	Image Last	•	Cupe	[128, 2]		0.5	Leackykellu	20D	3.00E-04	3	26	158	88.28828829	32	251	82.28182288	9.0093/4283	0.01/1294/5
105	0.11.6.1	0	Original, Black		01	[05(0]		0.15	LIDIU		5.00E.04	,	124	25	01 20120120	199	60	01 10001101	0.250250741	0.0170(0101
105	Complination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256, 2]		0.15	Leackykellu	Adam	5.00E-04	3	27	157	84.38438438	42	241	81.18081181	9.009009/41	0.01/268191
107	0.11.6.1	0	Original, Black		01	[05(0]		0.15	LIDIU	000	5.00E.04	,	133	16	00.00000000	196	63	80.05080077	0 (10 (10 0000	0.017207127
100	Complination A	9	Image Last	•	Cupe	[256, 2]		0.15	Leackykellu	20D	3.00E-04	3	21	163	88.88888889	31	252	82.03082037	9.040028099	0.01/18/15/
107	0.11.6.1	0	Original, Black		01	[05(0]		0.15	LINIU		1.005.04	,	129	20	07.00700700	201	58	02.20.402205	21 17107110	0.057510/77
10/	Complination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256, 2]		0.15	Leackykellu	Adam	1.00E-04	3	20	164	81.98198199	32	251	83.39483393	31.1/18/119	0.00/0120//
100			Original, Black			(05) 01		0.15	LINU	000	1.005.01		133	16	00 10010010	192	67	02.20202200	A 5155((11)	0.01755/005
108	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyKeLU	SGD	1.00E-04)	20	164	89.18918919	29	254	82.28182288	9.515566111	0.01/336393
100			Original, Black			(05) 01		0.15	LINU		1.000.05		126	23	00 10010010	190	69	02.00.002005	0.01000000	0.01//01/20
109	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyKeLU	Adam	1.00E-05)	13	171	89.18918919	21	262	85.39485395	9.046868086	0.010091059
			Original, Black										140	9		202	57			
110	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyKeLU	SGD	1.00E-05)	22	162	90.69069069	28	255	84.51/5451/	11.0/80000/	0.020439115
			Original, Black								1007.01		134	15		200	59			
111	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyKeLU	Adam	1.00E-05)	22	162	88.88888889	33	250	85.02585026	25.01562025	0.046154281
			Original, Black										137	12		202	57			
112	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	21	163	90.09009009	32	251	83.57933579	27.62500072	0.050968636
			Original, Black										131	18		198	61			
113	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256,128,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	3	29	155	80.88088089	39	244	81.5498155	25.18/495/1	0.0464/1394
			Original, Black									_	138	11		201	58			
114	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256,128,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	3	23	161	89.78978979	29	254	83.94853948	24.40619659	0.045029883
			Original, Black										125	24		189	70			
115	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256,128,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	3	18	166	87.38738739	24	259	82.63682657	11.0468/524	0.020581689
			Original, Black									_	136	13		201	58			
116	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256,128,2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	29	155	87.38738739	37	246	82.47232472	24.53124332	0.045260597
		_	Original, Black			(APC - 17)					1000	_	132	17	00.00007	190	69		A	
117	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256,128,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	20	164	88.88888889	28	255	82.10332103	24.57807469	0.045347001
			Original, Black									_	132	17		193	66			
118	Combination A	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[256, 128, 2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	26	158	87.08708709	34	249	81.5498155	10.51562047	0.019401514
			Original, Black									_	137	12		195	64			
119	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256,128,2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	23	161	89.48948949	29	254	82.84132841	24.29682755	0.044828095
			Original. Black		L .								136	13		191	68			
120	Combination A	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[256,128,2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	22	162	89.48948949	22	261	83.39483395	26.89056325	0.049613585
1	1	1	1	1	i	1	1	1	1	i	1	i	i	i	1		1	1	1	1

121	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First		Cube	[256,128,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	140	9	92.79279279	203	56	85.2398524	841.9843698	1.553476697
			Original, Black										13	107		197	62			
122	Combination A	9	Image First	•	Cube	[256,128,2]	•	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	18	166	91.29129129	28	255	83.39483395	9.45306325	0.017441076
123	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[256, 128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	5	138	11	88.28828829	198	61	81.36531365	11.56249642	0.021333019
		-	Image First										28	156		40	243			
124	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First	-	Cube	[256,128,2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	136	13	90.99099099	195	64	83.76383764	9.28125	0.017124077
			Original Direk										17	10/		24 19/	209			
125	Combination A	9	Image First		Cube	[256,128,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	23	161	89.18918919	24	259	83.57933579	9.843698502	0.018161805
			Original, Black			(100 0)		0.15			1005.05		140	9	01 20120120	200	59	04.0700.0071	0.710020100	0.017000017
126	Combination A	9	Image First	-	Cube	[256,128,2]	-	0.15	LeackyKeLU	SGD	1.00E-05	3	20	164	91.29129129	23	260	84.8/0848/1	9./49958488	0.01/988816
127	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[256, 128, 2]		0.15	LeackvReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	135	14	90.09009009	201	58	84.68634686	9.640572309	0.017787034
		-	Image First										19	165		25	258			
128	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First		Cube	[256,128,2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	140	9	89.78978979	207	52	84.31734317	9.984318256	0.018421251
			Original Direk										134	159		219	250			
129	Combination A	9	Image First		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	20	164	89.48948949	36	247	85.97785978	11.15626216	0.02058351
			Original, Black										142	1		202	57			
130	Combination A	9	Image First	-	Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyKeLU	SGD	5.00E-04	3	27	157	89.18918919	36	247	82.84152841	10.81250215	0.019949266
131	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		0.15	LeackyReLI	Adam	1.00E-04	5	139	10	90 99099099	197	62	83 2103321	10 51562786	0.019401527
	Companyation	,	Image First		cur	[,.]			Linnijinito		1002 01		20	164		29	254	002100021	1001002100	
132	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	137	12	90.69069069	198	61	84.13284133	10.46869659	0.019314938
			ininge ritst										19	165		25	258			
133	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	15/	12	89.78978979	207	32 255	85.2398524	9.312433958	0.017181612
			Original Black										136	13		196	63			
134	Combination A	9	Image First		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	21	163	89.78978979	27	256	83.39483395	10.49994278	0.019372588
125	Carlindard	0	Original, Black		04.	[100.0]		0.15	Levels Del II	Alen	1.00E.04	5	141	8	01 20120120	202	57	92 57022570	10.15(1002	0.010720224
155	Compination A	9	Image First		Cube	[126, 2]	•	0.15	LeackykeLU	Adam	1.00E-00	3	21	163	91.29129129	32	251	10.0190019	10.1301892	0.018/38330
136	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	138	11	91.59159159	196	63	83.94833948	10.65625048	0.019660979
			Image First										17	167		24	259			
137	Combination B	9	Original, Black Image First		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	96	6	96.01769912	135	125	95.40636042	5.406249523	0.019103355
			Original Disale										98	4		0 134	8			
138	Combination B	9	Image First		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	10	114	93.80530973	14	127	92.22614841	4.624946833	0.016342568
120	0 11 d D		Original, Black			[100.01		0.15			1005.01		97	5	01 (000(510	136	6	05 05000050	1.000000	0.01/02015/
139	Combination B	9	Image First	-	Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyKeLU	Adam	1.00E-04	3	7	117	94.69026049	8	133	95.05300353	4./60066111	0.016839436
140	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128.2]		0.15	LeackvReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	96	6	93.80530973	136	6	94,34628975	5,343693972	0.018882311
			Image First										8	116		10	131			
141	Combination B	9	Original, Black Image First	-	Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	95	7	94.24778761	137	5	94.69964664	4.828066349	0.017060305
			Original Disale										96	6		10	7			
142	Combination B	9	Image First		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	4	120	95.57522124	9	132	94.34628975	5.437446117	0.019213591
1/2	0 K 4 B	0	Original, Black			[100 0]		0.15	LINIU		1.00E.07		94	8	05 10071004	137	5	05 10/2/010	5 515/00553	0.010/00027
145	Compination B	9	Image First	•	Cupe	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackykeLU	Adam	1.00E-06)	3	121	90.132/4330	8	133	90.40636042	3.313680332	0.019490057
144	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128.2]		0.15	LeackvReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	98	4	93.36283186	138	4	93.63957597	4,703066587	0.01661861
			Image First			[11	113		14	127			
145	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	95	7	94.24778761	134	8	93.28621908	5.109318495	0.018054129
			Original Disch										0	8		11	130			
146	Combination B	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	1	117	93.36283186	11	2 130	92.93286219	4.968741894	0.017557392
			Original, Black										97	5		137	5			
14/	Combination B	9	Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	2	10	114	93.36283186	17	124	92.22614841	5.109319448	0.018054132
148	Combination R	g	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]		0.15	[eackvRel 1]	SGD	1.005.04	5	99	3	87,16814150	138	4	89,75265018	5,171867847	0.018275151
		Ĺ	Image Last			[26	98		25	116			
149	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	96	6	95.57522124	138	4	94.34628975	4.734321356	0.016729051
			Original Die 1										4	120		12	129			
150	Combination B	9	Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	11	113	93.80530973	16	125	93.28621908	5.203128099	0.018385612
	1		L		L				1		L	L			L			1	L	L

-																				
151	Combination B	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	-	0.15	LeackyReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	100	2	93.80530973	139 16	3	93.28621908	5.031195879	0.017778077
152	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128.2]		0.15	LeackyReLL	SGD	1.00E-06	5	98	4	92 92035398	136	6	97 93786719	4 843694448	0.017115528
	Contribution D	,	Image Last		cure	[,2]			Luni, inde		1002.00	, in the second	12	112	1.0.00070	14	127	/2//2/02//	1012071110	
153	Combination B	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	92	10	91.15044248	13	128	86.57243816	15.03124285	0.053113932
154	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128.2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	98	4	92,92035398	138	4	93.63957597	5.718503237	0.020206725
			Image Last			[-	12	112		14	127			
155	Combination B	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	5	11	113	86.28318584	105	123	79.85865724	5.781186342	0.02042822
156	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	98	4	93.36283186	138	4	92,5795053	5.859307051	0.020704265
			Image Last										11 07	113		17	124			
157	Combination B	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	13	111	92.03539823	17	124	92.5795053	5.390564919	0.019047933
158	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	100	2	93.36283186	138	4	92.93286219	5.74994421	0.020317824
			Image Last										13 96	6		16 137	125			
159	Combination B	9	Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	1	117	94.24778761	13	128	93.63957597	5.734313488	0.020262592
160	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	101	1	92.47787611	141	1	92.93286219	5.531188726	0.019544836
			Image Last										16 0	108		19	122			
161	Combination B	9	Image Last		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	0	124	54.86725664	0	141	49.82332155	5.749943256	0.020317821
162	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	0	102	54.86725664	0	142	49.82332155	5.499997139	0.019434619
			Image Last										0	124		0	141			
163	Combination B	9	Image Last		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	0	102	54.86725664	0	141	49.82332155	5.749942064	0.020317816
164	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	16	86	61.50442478	21	121	56.89045936	5.874995232	0.0207597
			Image Last										1	123		1	140			
165	Combination B	9	Image Last		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	0	102	54.86725664	0	141	49.82332155	5.578182459	0.019710892
166	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	0	102	54.86725664	0	142	49.82332155	5.484374046	0.019379414
			Image Last										0	124		0	141			
167	Combination B	9	Image Last		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	0	102	54.86725664	0	141	49.82332155	5.359372854	0.018937713
168	Combination B	9	Original, Black		Cube	GAP[2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	0	102	54.86725664	0	142	49.82332155	5.624940872	0.019876116
			Image Last										0	124		0	141 37			
169	Combination C	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	22	191	89.58333333	23	261	88.41698842	23.12499928	0.044642856
170	Combination C	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	162	9	92.70833333	206	28	90.92664093	10.60936713	0.020481404
			Intege Last										19	194 7		19 213	265			
171	Combination C	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	13	200	94.79166667	19	265	92.27799228	9.609373331	0.018550914
172	Combination C	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	166	5	92.44791667	210	24	89.96138996	10.39062333	0.020059118
			Intege Lass										155	189		28	206 33			
173	Combination C	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	5	23	190	89.84375	29	255	88.03088803	10.57811642	0.020421074
174	Combination C	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	163	8	93.22916667	208	26	91.31274131	10.90630364	0.02105464
			Illage Lass										18	195		19	265			
175	Combination C	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	14	199	93.22916667	19	265	91.31274131	8.843753576	0.017072883
176	Combination C	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	164	1	93.48958333	204	30	90.15444015	10.28124642	0.019847966
			Intege Lasi										18	195 8		21 214	263 20			
177	Combination C	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	21	192	92.44791667	22	262	91.89189189	10.82811928	0.020903705
178	Combination C	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	166	5	93.75	209	25	90.92664093	10.42181969	0.020119343
			marge Last Original Risele										19	194 9		22 208	262 26			
179	Combination C	9	Image Last	•	Cube	[128, 2]	•	0.15	ReLU	Adam	1.00E-04	5	23	190	91.66666667	21	263	90.92664093	8.781252384	0.016952225
180	Combination C	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	1.00E-04	5	164	1	92.70833333	210	24	90.73359073	24.04686403	0.046422517
			marge Last										21	192		24	260			

-																					
	181	Combination C	9	Original, Black Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	1.00E-05	5	160 15	11 198	93.22916667	199 17	35 267	89.96138996	9.687443733	0.018701629
	182	Combination C	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	1.00E-05	5	165 15	6 198	94.53125	210 18	24 266	91.89189189	9.140619755	0.017645984
	183	Combination C	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	Adam	1.00E-06	5	157	14	92.1875	195 17	39 267	89.18918919	9.359375715	0.018068293
	184	Combination C	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]		0.15	ReLU	SGD	1.00E-06	5	162	9	94.01041667	208	26	91.31274131	10.64062524	0.020541748
	185	Combination D	9	Original, Black	-	Cube	[128, 2]	KMN	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	14	199	93.75	19	210	90.25787966	30.73240042	0.088058454
	186	Combination D	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]	KMN	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	102	9	91.54411765	10	22	87.96561605	6.012312412	0.017227256
-	187	Combination D	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]	KMN	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	14 104	147	94.85294118	20 133	177 19	92.26361032	6.156127691	0.017639334
	188	Combination D	9	image Last Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]	KMN	0.15	LeakvReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	7 105	154 6	94,48529412	8 138	189 14	92,83667622	6.093354464	0.017459468
_	189	Combination D	9	Image Last Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128,2]		0.15	LeakyRelII	Adam	5 00F-04	5	9 102	152 9	95 22058824	11 139	186 13	92 26361032	7 763175011	0.077744054
_	100	Combination D	0	Image Last Original, Black	Norm	Cuba	[120,2]		0.15	LashuDal II	SCD	5.00E 01	5	4	157 7	05.05599725	14 138	183 14	01 07707726	7.06/00795	0.020006502
_	101		,	Image Last Original, Black	N	cue	[120, 2]	VIAI	0.15	Lakynelu	300	5.000-04		4	157 6	06.22222001	14 140	183 12	01.00054441	7.270400700	0.020700373
_	191	Combination D	9	Image Last Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	KMN	0.15	LeakykeLU	Adam	5.00E+04)	4	157 6	90.32332941	17 141	180 11	91.09004441	1.0/0200432	0.021995055
_	192	Combination D	9	Image Last Original Black	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	KMN	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	7 105	154 6	95.22058824	20 134	177	91.11747851	195.5606706	0.560345761
_	193	Combination D	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	11	150	93.75	13	184	91.11747851	6.15097332	0.017624565
_	194	Combination D	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	10	149	92.64705882	19	178	88.53868195	6.656793833	0.019073908
	195	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	5	156	94.85294118	145	182	93.12320917	7.734848976	0.022162891
	196	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	2	6 159	97.05882353	141	11	93.40974212	27.28977609	0.078194201
	197	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	104 10	7 151	93.75	137 8	15 189	93.40974212	6.041602373	0.017311182
	198	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	105 12	6 149	93.38235294	130 16	22 181	89.11174785	7.30421257	0.020928976
	199	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	103	8 150	93.01470588	139 13	13 184	92.55014327	6.516180992	0.018671006
	200	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last		Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	92 11	19 150	88.97058824	114 12	38 185	85.67335244	6.30916667	0.018077841
	201	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	104 2	7 159	96.69117647	143 14	9 183	93.40974212	7.444838285	0.021331915
	202	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	102	9 157	95.22058824	139 12	13 185	92.83667622	7.858870506	0.022518254
	203	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	105	6 155	95.58823529	143 17	9 180	92.55014327	7.576664209	0.02170964
	204	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	102	9	95.22058824	139	13	91.11747851	7.542482376	0.021611697
	205	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Normal	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	103	8	95.22058824	142	10	92.55014327	7.266129732	0.020819856
	206	Combination D	9	Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Normal	0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	104	7	94.48529412	10	101	92.26361032	7.27589798	0.020847845
-	207	Combination D	9	Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	8	155	95.58823529	10	181	91.11747851	8.30903101	0.023808112
$\left \right $	208	Combination D	9	original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	5	156 8	95.95588235	20 138	177 14	92.83667622	8.039522886	0.023035882
$\left \right $	209	Combination D	9	image Last Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128.2]	Kaiming	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	3	158 7	95.22058824	11 141	186	92,83667622	7.228046179	0.020710734
$\left \right $	210	Combination D	Q	Image Last Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[]28.21	Uniform Kaiming	0.15	Relli	SGD	5 00F-01	5	6 106	155 5	96 37357041	14 142	183 10	92 55014227	7 402848482	0.0212116
	210	Comoniduou D	7	Image Last	1 NOLIII	CUUC	[120, 2]	Uniform	0.13	ndLU	300	0.00EP04	J	5	156	70.32332741	16	181	120001402/	1.102010102	0.0212110

			Original, Black				Xavier						106	5		143	9			
211	Combination D	9	Image Last	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Unifrom	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	4	157	96.69117647	19	178	91.97707736	7.500495911	0.021491392
212	Combination D	Q	Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128.2]	Xavier	0.15	ReI II	SGD	5.00E-04	5	103	8	93.01//70588	143	9	90.83094556	7 905737638	0.072652543
212	Combination D		Image Last	roun	Cabe	[120, 2]	Unifrom	0.15	REEC	505	0.002 04		11	150	75.01470500	23	174	70.00074000	1.905151050	0.022002040
213	Combination D	9	Original, Black	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	103	8	94.11764706	136	16	92.55014327	6.178309441	0.017702892
			Image Last				Normal						8	153		10	187			
214	Combination D	9	Original, Black	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming	0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	103	8	92.64705882	128	24	89.11174785	6.754443169	0.019353705
			inage Lasi				Normai						12	149		14	183			
215	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	106	3	94.85294118	139	15	93.40974212	7.972138166	0.022842803
													9	0		10	18/			
216	Combination D	9	Original, Black Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	8	0	94.11764706	0	198	90.83094556	6.12070179	0.017537827
			Original Disale				Valarian						106	5		142	100			
217	Combination D	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	10	151	94.48529412	13	184	93.40974212	12.046875	0.034518266
			Original Risck				Kaiming						104	1		131	21			
218	Combination D	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	ReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	9	152	94.11764706	9	188	91.40401146	6.92181325	0.019833276
			Original, Black				Xavier					_	89	22		113	39			
219	Combination D	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	ReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	8	153	88.97058824	13	184	85.10028653	6.718693018	0.019251269
220	a		Original, Black			(100.01)	Xavier	0.15	D.U.	0.075	5005.01		102	9	0111561506	133	19	01 10 10 11 12	15 22000000	0.01000.0051
220	Combination D	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	KeLU	SGD	5.00E-04	3	7	154	94.11/64/06	11	186	91.40401146	15.52000089	0.045896851
221	Combination A	0	Original, Black		Cuba	[129, 2]	Kaiming	0.15	Lasla-Dal II	Adam	5 00E 04	5	126	18	00 175	192	67	91 01991010	10.0950.000	0.019609751
221	Combination A		Image Last	-	Cabi	[120, 2]	Normal	0.15	LIANYNELU	Avain	0.00E-04	5	20	156	00.125	31	252	01.71001717	10.00374278	0.010000751
222	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cuhe	[128.2]	Kaiming	0.15	LeakvReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	133	11	87.8125	199	60	81,91881919	9.719000101	0.017931735
			Image Last			1.2.1	Normal					-	28	148		38	245			
223	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	128	16	86.875	198	61	81.91881919	12.2159977	0.022538741
			Image Last										26	150		37	246			
224	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	132	12	90	199	60	83.39483395	13.0200007	0.024022142
			inage Lasi										20	156		30	253			
225	Combination A	9	Original, Black Imone Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	123	21	86.5625	186	73	80.44280443	11.90625191	0.021967254
			mige bist				Curronn .						122	104		33	250			
226	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	132	12	88.75	26	00	82.28782288	10.73431826	0.019805015
							v. ·						116	152		180	247			
227	Combination A	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	15	161	86.5625	25	258	80.81180812	47.97403121	0.088512973
			Original Risck				Ymier						127	17		191	68			
228	Combination A	9	Image Last	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	23	153	87.5	30	253	81.91881919	10.13406754	0.018697542
			Original, Black				Kaiming					_	133	11		202	57			
229	Combination A	9	Image First	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	18	158	90.9375	30	253	83.94833948	12.89062428	0.02378344
220	0.12.4	0	Original, Black		01	(100.01)	Kaiming	0.15	LIDIT	CCD	5.00F.04	,	136	8		197	62	02 10222102	10.00/24052	0.020122222
230	Combination A	9	Image First	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Normal	0.15	Leakykelu	SGD	5.00E-04	3	24	152	90	35	248	82.10552105	10.90624952	0.020122252
231	Combination A	Q	Original, Black		Cube	[128 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakvReIII	Δdam	5 00F-04	5	129	15	89.6875	214	45	86 900369	9.078061342	0.016749191
	Combination		Image First		case	[120,2]		0.15	Lunjiullo		0.002.01	5	18	158	0,0010	26	257	00.700507	y.070001312	
232	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	131	13	89.6875	193	66	82.84132841	10.54687595	0.019459181
			Image First										20	156		27	256			
233	Combination A	9	Original, Black		Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	133	11	90.9375	216	43	85.2398524	9.984374762	0.018421356
			muge rust				Curiotin						18	158		3/	246			
234	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First		Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	130	8	90	200	39	83.39483395	9.296819687	0.017152804
			0				v. :						1/1	3		207	57			
235	Combination A	9	Image First	-	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	26	150	90.9375	37	246	83.57933579	13.42187071	0.024763599
<u> </u>			Original Ris-4				Xavier						132	12		192	67			
236	Combination A	9	Image First	•	Cube	[128, 2]	Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	20	156	90	27	256	82.65682657	13.17188096	0.024302363
			Original, Black				Kaiming						133	11		196	63			
237	Combination A	9	Image First	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Normal	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	28	148	87.8125	38	245	81.36531365	29.9843/166	0.055321719
120	Combinetion A	0	Original, Black	N	0.1.	(120.21)	Kaiming	0.15	Lasla Dalli	CD	5.00E.04	£	122	22	075	182	77	01 72421724	14 000/275	0.07772770
238	Combination A	9	Image First	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Normal	0.15	Leakykelu	SGD	5.00E-04	3	18	158	87.5	22	261	81./3431/34	14.890625	0.02/4/54/8
230	Combinstion A	0	Original, Black	Norm	Cuba	[128.2]	Yaviar Normal	0.15	I ash:Dal II	Adam	5.00E.04	5	122	22	87.5	183	76	\$0.91190912	1/ 65625072	0.027041053
2.37	Combination A	,	Image First	Notin	Cabe	[120, 2]	Advict Ivotilui	0.15	LEGKYNELU	Audiii	J.00E-04	,	18	158	01.3	28	255	00.01100012	14.03023072	0.02/041033
240	Combination A	9	Original, Black	Norm	Cuhe	[128.2]	Xavier Normal	0.15	LeakvReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	116	28	84.375	170	89	79.33579336	15,79687595	0.029145528
			Image First			()						-	22	154		23	260			
241	Combination A	9	Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	118	26	85.3125	176	83	78.78228782	15.76555443	0.029087739
L			Image First				Uniform						21	155		32	251			
242	Combination A	9	Original, Black	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Kaiming	0.15	LeakyReLU	SGD	5.00E-04	5	119	25	88.4375	182	77	82.65682657	10.95311904	0.020208707
1		1	mage FIISt				CHIIOIM						12	164		17	266			
													100				77			
243	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	123	21	88.4375	184	75	82.47232472	11.42187524	0.021073571
243	Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First	Norm	Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU	Adam	5.00E-04	5	123	21 160 26	88.4375	184 20 177	75 263 87	82.47232472	11.42187524	0.021073571
243 244	Combination A Combination A	9	Original, Black Image First Original, Black Image First	Norm	Cube Cube	[128, 2]	Xavier Uniform Xavier Uniform	0.15	LeakyReLU LeakyReLU	Adam SGD	5.00E-04 5.00E-04	5	123 16 118	21 160 26	88.4375 86.875	184 20 177 16	75 263 82 267	82.47232472 81.91881919	11.42187524 14.53118992	0.021073571
Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman

Form Title: Supervisor's Comments on Originality Report Generated by Turnitin for Submission of Final Year Project Report (for Undergraduate Programmes)

Form Number: FM-IAD-005Rev No.: 0Effective Date: 01/10/2013Page No.: 1of 1

FACULTY OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY

Full Name(s) of Candidate(s)	Tan Wei Jin
ID Number(s)	17ACB02302
Programme / Course	BACHELOR OF COMPUTER SCIENCE (HONOURS)
Title of Final Year Project	DETECTING HEAD IN PILLOW DEFECT (HIP) BY USING DEEP LEARNING AND IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNIQUE

Similarity	Supervisor's Comments (Compulsory if parameters of originality exceeds the limits approved by UTAR)	
Overall similarity index: <u>10</u> %		
Similarity by source		
Internet Sources: <u>6</u> %		
Publications: <u>6</u> %		
Student Papers: <u>3</u> %		
Number of individual sources listed of more than 3% similarity:0		
Parameters of originality required, and limits approved by UTAR are as Follows: (i) Overall similarity index is 20% and below, and		

(ii) Matching of individual sources listed must be less than 3% each, and

(iii) Matching texts in continuous block must not exceed 8 words

Note: Parameters (i) – (ii) shall exclude quotes, bibliography and text matches which are less than 8 words.

<u>Note</u> Supervisor/Candidate(s) is/are required to provide softcopy of full set of the originality report to Faculty/Institute

Based on the above results, I hereby declare that I am satisfied with the originality of the Final Year Project Report submitted by my student(s) as named above.

Signature of Supervisor

Name: ____ Dr. Aun Yichiet

Signature of Co-Supervisor

Name: _____

Date: ____16 April 2021

Date: _____



UNIVERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN

FACULTY OF INFORMATION & COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (KAMPAR CAMPUS)

CHECKLIST FOR FYP2 THESIS SUBMISSION

Student Id	17ACB02302
Student Name	TAN WEI JIN
Supervisor Name	Dr. Aun Yichiet

TICK $()$	DOCUMENT ITEMS
	Your report must include all the items below. Put a tick on the left column after you
	have checked your report with respect to the corresponding item.
	Front Cover
	Signed Report Status Declaration Form
	Title Page
	Signed form of the Declaration of Originality
	Acknowledgement
	Abstract
\checkmark	Table of Contents
	List of Figures (if applicable)
	List of Tables (if applicable)
	List of Symbols (if applicable)
	List of Abbreviations (if applicable)
	Chapters / Content
	Bibliography (or References)
	All references in bibliography are cited in the thesis, especially in the
	chapter of literature review
	Appendices (if applicable)
	Poster
	Signed Turnitin Report (Plagiarism Check Result - Form Number:
	FM-IAD-005)

*Include this form (checklist) in the thesis (Bind together as the last page)

I, the author, have checked and	Supervisor verification. Report with
confirmed all the items listed in the	incorrect format can get 5 mark (1
table are included in my report.	grade) reduction.
射陳	-Chl-S
(Signature of Student)	(Signature of Supervisor)
Date: 16 April 2021	Date: 16 April 2021