BIODIESEL SYNTHESIS VIA SOLID ACID CATALYST BY USING PALM FATTY ACID DISTILLATE (PFAD) AS FEEDSTOCK

LIAW KAI JUN BACHELOR OF SCIENCE (HONS) CHEMISTRY

FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN

MAY 2013

BIODIESEL SYNTHESIS VIA SOLID ACID CATALYST BY USING PALM FATTY ACID DISTILLATE (PFAD) AS FEEDSTOCK

By

LIAW KAI JUN

A project report submitted to the Department of Chemical Science

Faculty of Science

Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman

In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

Bachelor of Science (Hons) Chemistry

May 2013

ABSTRACT

BIODIESEL SYNTHESIS VIA SOLID ACID CATALYST BY USING PALM FATTY ACID DISTILLATE (PFAD) AS FEEDSTOCK

Liaw Kai Jun

Sucrose was used to synthesis the catalyst for biodiesel production. SEM, XRD, FTIR and EDS were used to characterize the synthesized catalyst. PFAD was used as the feedstock for the biodiesel synthesis through esterification reaction with methanol by using the synthesized catalyst. The effect of reaction temperature, reaction time, agitation speed, molar ratio of methanol to feedstock and catalyst concentration towards the PFAD conversion was investigated. One-Variable-At-One-Time and RSM were used to optimize biodiesel synthesis. The conversion of PFAD to biodiesel reached 88.73% under the optimal condition of 140 min of reaction time, 10:1 of molar ratio of methanol to PFAD, 4 wt.% of catalyst concentration, 70°C of reaction time and 600 rpm of agitation speed. Reusability test was carried out and the conversion of PFAD was less than 26% when the catalyst was reused.

ABSTRAK

PENGGUNAAN PEMANGKIN ASID PEPEJAL UNTUK MENGHASILKAN BIODIESEL DARIPADA PALM FATTY ACID DISTILLATE (PFAD)

Liaw Kai Jun

Sukrosa telah diubah suai sebagai pemangkin untuk menghasilkan biodiesel daripada methanol dan PFAD sebagai bahan mentah. SEM, XRD, FTIR dan EDS telah digunakan untuk mengajikan ciri-ciri pemangkin disintesis. Kesan suhu reaksi, masa reaksi, kelajuan pergolakan, nisbah molar metanol kepada bahan mentah dan penumpuan pemangkin kepada penukaran PFAD telah dikaji. One-Variable-At-One-Time dan RSM telah digunakan sebagai cara untuk mengoptimumkan sintesis biodiesel. Penukaran PFAD kepada biodiesel adalah 88.73% dalam keadaan optimum dengan 140 min masa reaksi, 10:1 nisbah molar metanol kepada bahan mentah, 4 wt.% penumpuan pemangkin, 70 ° C masa reaksi dan 600 rpm kelajuan pergolakan. Penukaran PFAD adalah kurang daripada 26% apabila pemangkin telah digunakan semula.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, my deepest thanks to my supervisor, Mr. Theam Kok Leong, for his guidance, encouragement, advice and attention throughout my work. During my project work and thesis writing, I appreciate the valuable information and necessary correction given which has assisted me to complete my research.

I would like to send my gratitude to Assistant Laboratory Manager of Department of Chemical Science in Faculty of Science, especially Mr. Foong Jee Lip, Mr. Ooh Keng Fei and Mr. Surendran a/l Rama, who gave their helping hand and advice.

Besides, I sincerely thanks to Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR) for providing me an opportunity to do my project work on "**BIODIESEL SYNTHESISVIA SOLID ACID CATALYST BY USING PALM FATTY ACID DISTILLATE (PFAD) AS FEEDSTOCK**".

Lastly, I wish to thank to my family and lovely friends for their unconditional support and advice during my studies.

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the project report is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UTAR or other institutions.

Liaw Kai Jun

APPROVAL SHEET

This project report entitled "**BIODIESEL SYNTHESIS VIA SOLID ACID CATALYST BY USING PALM FATTY ACID DISTILLATE (PFAD) AS FEEDSTOCK**" was prepared by LIAW KAI JUN and submitted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons) Chemistry at Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman.

Approved by:

(Mr Theam Kok Leong)

Date: 27 May 2013

Supervisor

Department of Chemical Science

Faculty of Science

Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman

FACULTY OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN

Date: 27 May 2013

PERMISSION SHEET

It is hereby certified that <u>LIAW KAI JUN</u> (ID No: <u>09ADB04830</u>) has completed this final year project entitled "<u>BIODIESEL SYNTHESIS VIA</u> <u>SOLID ACID CATALYST BY USING PALM FATTY ACID</u> <u>DISTILLATE (PFAD) AS FEEDSTOCK</u>" supervised by Mr. Theam Kok Leong (Supervisor) from Department of Chemical Science, Faculty of Science. I hereby give permission to my supervisor to write and prepare manuscripts of theses research findings for publishing in any form, if I do not prepare it within six (6) months from this date, provided that my name is included as one of the authors for this article. The arrangement of the name depends on my supervisor.

Yours truly,

(LIAW KAI JUN)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
DECLARATION	V
APPROVAL SHEET	vi
PERMISSION SHEET	V11
	viii
TABLE OF CONTENT	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii

CH	IAPTER	1	
1.	INTRODUCTION	1	
	1.1. Current Issue		
	1.2. Biodiesel	1	
	1.2.1. Technical Properties of Biodiesel	2	
	1.2.2. Advantages of Biodiesel	3	
	1.2.3. Disadvantage of Biodiesel	4	
	1.3. Method to Synthesize Biodiesel	4	
	1.3.1. Transesterification / Esterification		
	1.4. Catalysts Used in Transesterification and Esterification		
	1.4.1. Basic Catalyst for Transesterification	5	
	1.4.1.1. Advantages of Homogeneous Basic Catalysts	6	
	1.4.1.2. Disadvantages of Homogeneous Basic Catalysts	6	
	1.4.1.3. Advantages of Heterogeneous Basic Catalysts	7	
	1.4.1.4. Disadvantages of Heterogeneous Basic Catalysts	7	
	1.4.2. Acidic Catalyst for Transesterification and	8	
	Esterification		
	1.4.2.1. Advantages of Homogeneous Acidic Catalysts	8	

viii

1.4	.2.2. I	Disadvantages of Homogeneous Acidic	9
		Catalysts	
1.4	.2.3.	Advantages of Heterogeneous Acidic Catalysts	9
1.4	.2.4. I	Disadvantages of Heterogeneous Acidic	10
		Catalysts	
1.5. Param	eters That	at Affect Biodiesel Production in	10
Transe	esterifica	tion and Esterification	
1.5.1.	Water as	nd Free Fatty Acid Content	10
1.5.2.	Reaction	n Temperature and Pressure	11
1.5.3.	Reaction	n Time	12
1.5.4.	Molar R	atio of Alcohol to Oil (or Feedstock)	12
1.5.5.	Types o	f Catalyst and Concentration	13
1.6. Feeds	tock Issu	e	13
1.6.1.	Palm Fa	tty Acid Distillate (PFAD) as Feedstock	14
1.7. Objec	tives		15
LITERAT	URE RE	VIEW	16
2.1. Biodie	esel Synt	hesis from PFAD or Other Feedstocks with	16
High l	FFA Lev	el	
2.2. Propo	sed Catal	yst Used in Biodiesel Synthesis from PFAD	18
2.3. Type	of Optim	ization Methods used	20
2.3.1.	One-Va	riable-At-A-Time	20
2.3	.1.1.	Application of One-Variable-At-A-Time in	20
		Biodiesel Synthesis	
2.3.2.	Factoria	l Design	21
2.3	.2.1.	Application of Factorial Design in Biodiesel	22
		Synthesis	
2.3.3.	Respons	e Surface Methodology	23
2.3	.3.1. I	Box-Behnken Design	24
	2.3.3.1.1	. Application of Box Behnken Design in	25
		Biodiesel Synthesis	

2.

	2.3	3.3.2.	Centr	al Composite Design (CCD)	26
		2.3.3.	2.1.	Application of CCD in Biodiesel	27
				Synthesis	
	2.3	3.3.3.	Taguc	chi Method	28
		2.3.3.	3.1.	Application of Taguchi's Method in	30
				Biodiesel Synthesis	
	2.4. Propo	sed Op	otimizat	ion Method for Biodiesel Synthesis	31
3.	MATERIA	ALS A	ND ME	THODS	32
	3.1. Mater	rials			32
	3.2. Chara	cteriza	tion of	Feedstock	32
	3.2.1.	Prepa	ration o	f Various Solutions and Sample for Acid	32
		Value	e Test		
	3.2	2.1.1.	Prepa	ration of Phenolphthalein	32
	3.2	2.1.2.	Prepa	ration of 0.1 N Potassium Hydroxide	33
			Solut	ion	
	3.2	2.1.3.	Prepa	ration of Solvent for Acid Value Test	33
	3.2	2.1.4.	Prepa	ration of Samples for Acid Value Test	33
	3.2.2.	Synth	esis of I	Biodiesel from Feedstock for Composite	34
		Analy	/sis		
	3.2	2.2.1.	Prepa	ration of Standard for Gas	35
			Chro	matography – Flame Ionization Detector	
			(GC-	FID)	
	3.2	2.2.2.	Prepa	ration of Sample for Gas Chromatography	35
			– Fla	me Ionization Detector (GC-FID)	
	3.3. Synth	esis of	Catalys	st	36
	3.3.1.	Prepa	ration o	f Partial Carbonized Sucrose Precursor,	36
		S4001	Р		
	3.3.2.	Prepa	ration o	f Partial Sulfonated Carbonized Sucrose,	36
		S400			
	3.4. Catal	yst Cha	racteriz	cation	36

х

3.4.1.	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	36
3.4.2.	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	37
3.4.3.	Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)	38
3.4.4.	Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy	38
3.4.5.	Temperature Programmed Desorption – Ammonia	38
	(TPD– NH ₃)	
3.5. Synth	nesis of Biodiesel	39
3.5.1.	Optimization via One-Variable-At-A-Time	39
3.5.2.	Optimization via Respond Surface Methodology	40
3.6. Prepa	ration of Various Solutions for Biodiesel Characteristics	41
3.6.1.	Preparation of Various Solutions for Acid Value Test	41
3.6.2.	Chromatography –Flame Ionization Detector.	41
3.6.3.	Preparation of Solvent for Thin Layer Chromatography	41
3.6.4.	Preparation of Dye for Thin Layer Chromatography	41
3.7. Prepa	ration of Samples for Biodiesel Characterization	42
3.7.1.	Acid Value Test	42
3.7.2.	Gas Chromatography – Flame Ionization Detector (GC-	42
	FID)	
3.7.3.	Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)	43
3.7	7.3.1. Preparation of Thin Layer Chromatography	43
	(TLC) Development Chamber	
3.7	7.3.2. Dilution for Sample and Feedstock	43
3.7	7.3.3. Preparation of the TLC Plate	43
3.7.4.	Viscosity Test	44
3.7.5.	Liquid Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy	44
3.7.6.	Water Contents	45
3.8. Reusa	ability Test of Catalyst	45
3.9. Chara	acterization of Biodiesel from Reusability Test	45
3.9.1.	Acid Value Test	45
3.9.2.	Sulfur Content	46
3.10.	Characterization of Catalyst from Reusability Test	46

	3.10.1. Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)	46
4.	RESULTS	47
	4.1. Characterization of Feedstock	47
	4.1.1. Acid Value Test	47
	4.1.2. Liquid Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy	47
	4.1.3. Fatty Acid Composition of PFAD	48
	4.2. Characterization of Catalyst	49
	4.2.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	49
	4.2.2. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	50
	4.2.3. Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)	52
	4.2.4. Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy	53
	4.2.5. Temperature Programmed Desorption – Ammonia	55
	$(TPD - NH_3)$	56
	4.3. Optimization of Biodiesel	56
	4.3.1. Optimization via One-Variable-At-A-Time	57
	4.3.1.1. Temperature	58
	4.3.1.2. Reaction Time	59
	4.3.1.3. Catalyst Concentration	60
	4.3.1.4. Molar Ratio of Methanol to Feedstock	61
	4.3.1.5. Agitation Speed	62
	4.3.2. Optimization via Respond Surface Methodology	67
	4.4. Characterization of Biodiesel	67
	4.4.1. Acid Value Test	67
	4.4.2. Gas Chromatography – Flame Ionization Detector (GC-	
	FID)	68
	4.4.3. Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)	69
	4.4.4. Viscosity Test	70
	4.4.5. Liquid Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy	71
	4.4.6. Water Contents	71

4.5. Reusability of Catalyst	71
4.5.1. Acid Value Test	72
4.5.2. Sulfur Contents	73
4.5.3. Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)	
	76
5. CONCLUSION	77
REFERENCE	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	EDS analysis on element composition of S400P and S400	52
4.2	ANOVA for the regression model and respective model term	65
4.3	EDS analysis on element composition of S400, S400-1 and	74
	S400-2	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Figure	
1.1	The structure of the FAME.	2
1.2	Transesterification reaction between triglycerides and	5
	methanol.	
1.3	Esterification reaction between fatty acid and methanol	5
2.1	Comparison of catalytic performance of different acidic	18
	catalyst	
2.2	The reaction of pyrolysis, carbonization and sulfonation of D-	19
	glucose and sucrose	
2.3	Box-Behnken design for three-variable optimization with its	24
	13 experimental points.	
2.4	The full central composite design for optimization of two (a)	26
	and three (b) variables respectively.	
4.1	FTIR spectrum of PFAD	48
4.2	XRD pattern of S400P and S400	49
4.3	SEM images of sulfonated catalyst, S400 with magnification	50
	X1,900 (a), X15,000 (b) and X40,000 (c)	
4.4	FTIR spectra of precursor, S400P	54
4.5	FTIR spectra of sulfonated catalyst, S400	54
4.6	TPD-NH ₃ of sulfonated catalyst, S400	56
4.7	The effect of reaction temperature towards the fatty acid	57
	conversion	
4.8	The effect of reaction time towards the fatty acid conversion	58
4.9	The effect of catalyst concentration towards the fatty acid	59
	conversion	
4.10	The effect of molar ratio of methanol to PFAD towards fatty	60

acid conversion

4.11	The effect of agitation speed toward fatty acid conversion	61
4.12	3D surface of Reaction Time and Catalyst Concentration	63
	towards Conversion of PFAD towards Conversion of PFAD	
4.13	3D surface of Reaction Time and Molar Ratio of Methanol to	63
	PFAD towards Conversion of PFAD	
4.14	3D surface of Catalyst Concentration and Molar Ratio of	64
	Methanol to PFAD towards Conversion of PFAD	
4.15	Graph of Predicted value verses Actual value of Conversion of	66
	PFAD	
4.16	TLC plate for the Biodiesel, PFAD and Methyl Oleate Ester	69
	standard	
4.17	FTIR spectrum of Biodiesel	70
4.18	The effect of cycle of catalyst reused towards fatty acid	72
	conversion	
4.19	The effect of cycle of catalyst reused toward the sulfur content	73
	of biodiesel	
4.20	Sulfur content in the different types of catalyst	76

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FAME	Fatty Acid Methyl Ester
FFA	Free Fatty Acid
PFAD	Palm Fatty Acid Distillate
Al(HSO ₄) ₃	Aluminum hydrogen sulfate
RSM	Respond Surface Methodology
CCD	Central Composite Design
ZrO ₂	Zirconium dioxide
GC-FID	Gas Chromatography – Flame Ionization Detector
S400P	Partial Carbonized Sucrose Precursor
S400	Sulfonated Partial Carbonized Sucrose
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
EDS	Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
$TPD - NH_3$	Temperature Programmed Desorption – Ammonia

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Current Issue

Energy resources are the resources that provide energy and it can be classified into renewable and non-renewable resource. The renewable resources are those that are replenished through biogeochemical and physical cycles. By contrast, non-renewable resources do not replenish themselves. However, fossil fuels are considered as non-renewable resource because they replenish at a very slow rate (Demirbas, 2008). The depleting of petroleum reserves and the environmental issue as the consequence by the exhaust gases from petroleum-fuelled engine increases the demand for the alternating fuels for the diesel engines (Vasudevan and Briggs, 2008).

1.2 Biodiesel

Biodiesel (Greek, bio, life + diesel from Rudolf Diesel) refers to a dieselequivalent fuel that derived from biological source (Demirbas, 2008; Demirbas, 2009). Chemically, biodiesel is defined as the mono-alkyl esters of fatty acids that derived from vegetable oil or animal fat and its chemical name is known as fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) (Gerpen, Shanks, Pruszko, Clements and Knothe, 2004). In addition to animal fat and edible vegetable oils, the raw material as source of biodiesel are included non-edible vegetable oils, used frying oil, trap grease and float grease (Demirbas, 2009; Gerpen et al., 2004; Lotero et al., 2005).



where R is the long chain fatty acid

Figure 1.1: The structure of the FAME.

1.2.1 Technical Properties of Biodiesel

Biodiesel is an ester comprise of alkyl fatty acid chain and short chain alcohols, where the chain length of fatty acid is C_{14} - C_{22} and the alcohols are primarily methanol and ethanol. Biodiesel is a clear amber yellow liquid with a viscosity range of 3.3-5.2 mm²/s at 313K and density range from 860-894 kg/m³ at 288K. The flash point and distillation range of biodiesel are 420-450K and 470-600K respectively. The boiling point range of biodiesel is higher than 475K and its vapor pressure is greater than 5 mmHg at 295K (Demirbas, 2008).

1.2.2 Advantages of Biodiesel

The existence of biodiesel has been concerned by scientist about the advantages and disadvantages of biodiesel. The advantages of biodiesel are included ready availability, renewability, lower sulfur and aromatic content (Ma and Hanna, 1999). This is because the raw material used to synthesis biodiesel are included edible and non-edible vegetable oils and animal fats, waste frying oil and other low-grade feedstock (Demirbas, 2009; Gerpen et al., 2004; Lotero et al., 2005). Biodiesel can be blended with diesel and run on conventional unmodified engines. Besides, biodiesel is safe to handle and transport due to its biodegrability (Knothe, 2005; Demirbas, 2007).

Biodiesel contains 11% oxygen by weight and it is also claimed by having higher combustion efficiency of biodiesel due to the oxygen-content of biodiesel improves the combustion process. Moreover, the use of biodiesel can extended the life of engines because biodiesel have better lubricant properties than petrodiesel. Biodiesel also have lower emissions with 90% reduction in total unburned hydrocarbons and reduction in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), reduction in particulates and carbon monoxide (Ma and Hanna, 1999).

1.2.3 Disadvantages of Biodiesel

Major disadvantages of biodiesel are higher viscosity, higher cloud point and pour point, higher nitrogen oxides (NO_X) emissions, expensive, lower energy content, injector coking and engine compatibility (Demirbas, 2008). The operating disadvantages of biodiesel is also included cold start problems, higher copper strip corrosion and fuel pumping difficulty from higher viscosity (Ma and Hanna, 1999).

1.3 Methods to Synthesize Biodiesel

1.3.1 Transesterification/ Esterification

Transesterification (can be called as alcholysis) is a reversible process that converting the fat or oil triglycerides with an alcohol to form esters and glycerol. It requires catalyst to improve the reaction rate and yield and excess alcohol to shift the equilibrium to the product side (Demirbas, 2009). All the feedstock that can use to synthesize biodiesel consists primarily of triglycerides molecules and during the transesterification process, the triglyceride molecule is heated with an excess of alcohol in the presence of a catalyst to produce the fatty esters and glycerol (Gerpen et al., 2004). The reaction of transesterification was illustrated in Figure 1.2.

CH_2OOCR_1		R ₁ COOCH ₃	CH ₂ OH
CHOOCR ₂ +	- 3CH ₃ OH ←	\rightarrow R ₂ COOCH ₃ +	- CHOH
CH ₂ OOCR ₃		R ₃ COOCH ₃	CH ₂ OH
Triglyceride	Methanol	Methyl ester	Glycerol

Figure 1.2: Transesterification reaction between triglycerides and methanol

Esterification is an acid-catalyzed chemical reaction between free fatty acid (FFA) and alcohol which yields fatty acid alkyl ester and water (Zhang et al., 2003). Esterification of fatty acid is faster than transesterification of triglycerides due to esterification is one step reaction while transesterification of triglycerides consists of three stepwise reactions (Warabi, Kusdiana and Saka, 2004). The reaction of esterification was illustrated in Figure 1.3.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} RCOOH &+ & CH_3OH &\longleftrightarrow & RCOOCH_3 &+ & H_2O\\ Fatty Acid & Methanol & Methyl ester & Water \end{array}$

Figure 1.3: Esterification reaction between fatty acid and methanol

1.4 Catalyst Used in Transesterification and Esterification

1.4.1 Basic Catalyst for Transesterification

There have two types of basic catalyst mainly homogeneous basic catalyst and heterogeneous basic catalyst. The examples of homogeneous alkali catalyst are included sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide and sodium methoxide (Leung and Guo, 2006). The examples of heterogeneous alkaline catalyst are basic Mg–Al–CO₃ hydrotalcite catalyst (Barakos et al., 2007), CaO, MgO and CaO/Al₂O₃ (Umdu et al., 2009).

1.4.1.1 Advantages of Homogeneous Basic Catalysts

Homogeneous basic catalyst is mainly used in biodiesel production via transesterification due to several advantages possessed by this catalyst. High yield of biodiesel (> 96%) can achieve under these optimum condition of reaction temperature of 70°C, reaction time of 1 h, catalyst concentration 1 wt% and molar ratio of methanol to oil of 6:1 (Agarwal et al., 2011). This result also have agreement with other researchers that homogeneous basic catalyst can produce biodiesel from neat oil with high yield in low temperature and pressure, short reaction time, low catalyst concentration and low molar ratio of methanol to oil (Ejikeme et al., 2009; Leung and Guo, 2006).

1.4.1.2 Disadvantages of Homogeneous Basic Catalysts

This process required anhydrous condition (Demirbas, 2006) and low content of FFA (Leung and Guo, 2006; Donato et al., 2008; Demirbas, 2006). This is due to presence of water will decrease the yield of the alkyl ester (Demirbas, 2006) while presence of FFA will react with the base catalyst and form saponification product and tend to emulsify the biodiesel and glycerol, resulting difficulty of separating these two products (Leung and Guo, 2006; Donato et al., 2008; Melero, Iglesias and Morales, 2009), consume catalyst and reduce effectiveness of catalyst (Demirbas, 2006; Leung and Guo, 2006).

1.4.1.3 Advantages of Heterogeneous Basic Catalysts

Heterogeneous basic catalyst was developed to overcome the limitation of homogeneous basic catalyst which mainly is separation of catalyst from reaction mixture, generation of waste water during washing stages (Sharma et al., 2010) and reusability of catalyst (Shahid and Jamal, 2011). Besides, the heterogeneous catalyst also preferred due to reusability of catalyst for continuous process. The biodiesel obtained from heterogeneous catalyst were better than those biodiesel synthesized from homogeneous catalyst in term of physical properties of pour and cloud point (Agarwal, 2011).

1.4.1.4 Disadvantages of Heterogeneous Basic Catalysts

However, the heterogeneous base catalyst only can use to covert neat vegetable oil into biodiesel due to it will react with FFA and moisture to form soap and caused deactivation of catalyst respectively (Kouzu et al., 2007). Besides, the catalyst have low stability that the reusability of the catalyst drop after 3 cycles resulted low yield of biodiesel production (Agarwal et al., 2011).

In addition, longer reaction duration and higher reaction temperature were needed to improve the yield of biodiesel production (Sharma et al., 2010).

1.4.2 Acidic Catalyst for Transesterification and Esterification

Similar to basic catalyst, acidic catalyst composed of homogeneous catalyt and heterogeneous catalyst. Sulfuric acid, methanesulfonic acid, phosphoric acid and trichloroacetic acid (Melero, Iglesias and Morales, 2009; Warabi, Kusdiana and Saka, 2004) are the types of homogeneous acidic catalyst while alkyl sulfonic compounds (Melero, Iglesias and Morales, 2009; Warabi, Kusdiana and Saka, 2004), sulfated zirconia (Garcia et al., 2007), and Zirconia supported tungsten oxide (WO_3/ZrO_2) (Ramu et al., 2004).

1.4.2.1 Advantages of Homogeneous Acidic Catalysts

Warabi et al. (2004) concluded that sulfuric acid and methanesulfonic acid were the best catalyst with nearly 90% conversion from triglycerides to biodiesel among phosphoric acid and trichloroacetic acid. Besides, those homogeneous acid catalyst can convert feedstock with high FFA into biodiesel with yield that higher than 90%. This is because homogeneous acidic catalyst can catalyze esterification and transesterification simultaneously to convert the FFA and triglycerides respectively into fatty acid methyl ester without any soap formation.

1.4.2.2 Disadvantages of Homogeneous Acidic Catalysts

However, the disadvantages by using homogeneous catalyst are formation of acidic effluent, no reusable catalyst and high cost of equipment. In addition, it has low catalytic activity that it need long reaction time and high mole ratio of methanol to feedstock (Wang et al., 2006). There have research shown that the usage of sulfuric acid will lead to biodiesel with high sulfur content which cannot fulfill the specification (Melero, Iglesias and Morales, 2009).

1.4.2.3 Advantages of Heterogeneous Acidic Catalysts

Heterogeneous acid catalyst can replace homogeneous acid catalyst to eliminate the problem of equipment corrosion and the treatment of water effluent produced after the process. Besides, heterogeneous acid catalysts can remove easily from the reaction medium through filtration and the catalyst can be recycled for reuse in the new process, thus, no loss of catalyst (Melero, Iglesias and Morales, 2009; Shu et al., 2010). In addition, heterogeneous acid catalyst has higher stability that able to have high tolerance of free fatty acid without catalyst deactivation (Lotero et al., 2005).

1.4.2.4 Disadvantages of Heterogeneous Acidic Catalysts

However, heterogeneous catalyzed reaction has low catalytic activity (Lotero et al., 2005). Some other group of author also stated that heterogeneous catalyzed reaction requires extreme reaction conditions in order to increase the yield of biodiesel and to shorten the reaction time (Rattanaphra et al., 2012). In addition, high temperature and pressure is needed and higher methanol to oil ratio is needed as well (Melero, Iglesias and Morales, 2009).

1.5 Palm Fatty Acid Distillate (PFAD) as Feedstock

Palm fatty acid distillate (PFAD) is the by-product during the refining of palm oil and is mainly produced in the fatty acid stripping and deodorization stage. According to Chongkhong et al. (2007), the free fatty acid content present in PFAD is 93 wt.% which composed of 45.6 % of palmitic acid, 33.3 % oleic acid, 7.7 % of linoleic acid, 3.8 % stearic acid, 1.0% myristic acid, and other. PFAD is low-cost and non-food source of feedstock. It has been used as a fuel in power plants and industrial boilers. In Sumatra, Indonesia, biodiesel plant was set up and PFAD was used as the raw material to manufacture biodiesel (Cheah, Toh, and Koh 2010).

1.6 Objectives

The major objectives of this research study are as followings:

- I. To synthesize biodiesel from PFAD through esterification reaction with methanol
- II. To characterize a synthesized catalyst and determine its catalytic activity in esterification reaction
- III. To optimize the synthesis condition for biodiesel production from PFAD

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Biodiesel Synthesis from PFAD or Other Feedstocks with High FFA Level

In study of Peng et al. (2008), waste oil feedstock was used in esterification for biodiesel synthesis by using a solid catalyst comprising $SO_4^{2^2}/TiO_2$ -SiO₂. This catalyst has a large specific surface of 258 m²/g and big average pore diameter of 10.8 nm. This properties of large specific surface catalyst ensured good contact between the reactant molecules and catalytic active site, and the big average pore allow the molecules of reactant and product can pass through the channel. High content of Ti and S on the surface also indicate this catalyst have high catalytic activity. The optimized reaction parameters have about 95% yield of biodiesel with the parameter of reaction temperature 200°C, reaction time 6 h, molar ratio of methanol to oil 9:1 and catalyst concentration 3 wt. %.

Another group of researchers (Ramachandran, Sivakumar and Suganya, 2011) used $Al(HSO_4)_3$ heterogeneous acid catalyst to catalyze transesterification reaction to synthesis methyl ester from a mixed waste vegetable oil. This catalyst has a loose irregular network structure and it existed as granular and porous structure. The big average pore size of 69.22 nm allowed the incorporation of sulfonic group into the aluminum powder increased the

catalytic activity of this catalyst. In the optimum condition of 16:1 molar ratio of methanol to oil, 0.5 wt.% of catalyst, 50 min reaction time at 220°C, the maximum conversion of triglyceride was achieved as 81 wt.%. The high catalytic activity and stability of this catalyst was related to its high acid site density (–OH, Brönsted acid sites), hydrophobicity that prevented the hydration of –OH group, hydrophilic functional groups (–SO₃H) that gave improved accessibility of methanol to the triglyceride.

Lou et al. (2008) reported preparation of carbohydrate-derived catalysts from various D-glucose, sucrose, cellulose and starch type carbohydrates, had the best catalytic performance. The carbohydrate-derived catalysts have high density of SO₃H group as 1.47-1.83 mmol/g, small surface areas ranged from 4.1-7.2 m²/g and average pore size of 4.0-8.2 nm. Those properties made carbohydrate-derived catalyst have higher activities for both esterification and transesterification after compared to the two typical solid acid catalysts (sulfated zirconia and Niobic acid). The comparison of catalytic activities of those carbohydrate-derived catalysts, typical solid acid catalyst and concentrated H₂SO₄ was shown in Figure 2.1 in the reaction condition of 10mmol oleic acid or triolein as feedstock, 100 mmol methanol, 80°C, 500 rpm and 0.14 g of catalyst.



Figure 2.1: Comparison of catalytic performance of different acidic catalyst

Under the optimized reaction conditions, this starch-derived catalyst gave markedly enhanced yield of methyl esters (92%) in converting waste cooking oils containing 27.8 wt. % high free fatty acids (FFAs) to biodiesel even after 50 cycles of successive re-use (8 h per cycle) and thus displayed very excellent operational stability.

2.2 Proposed Catalyst for Biodiesel Synthesis from PFAD

Based on section 2.1 above, and the choice of feedstock used (PFAD), which contained high amount of FFA (Chongkong et al., 2007), the starch-derived catalyst would be a suitable catalyst for biodiesel production from PFAD

through esterification method due to its comparable catalytic activity with concentration H_2SO_4 (Lou et al., 2008). Starch-derived catalyst can undergo incomplete carbonization to form a rigid carbon material that composed of small polycyclic aromatic carbon sheet with carbonyl group and phenolic group (Mo et al., 2008). This material can undergo sulfonation to create a stable solid with a high density of active sites by oxidizing the aliphatic CH₃/CH₂ groups to carboxylic acid and introducing SO₃H group (Mo et al., 2007; Takagaki et al., 2006; Lou et al., 2008, Toda et al., 2005). The reaction of pyrolysis, carbonization, and sulfonation is shown in Figure 2.2.



Figure 2.2: The reaction of pyrolysis, carbonization and sulfonation of D-glucose and sucrose

According to Lou et al. (2008), starch-derived catalyst was non-toxic, inexpensive and recyclable. Besides, this catalyst has higher effectiveness then typical sulfated zirconia in converting waste oil with high FFA content to biodiesel through esterification and transesterification. However, another group of author (Mo et al., 2008; Chen and Fang, 2010) stated that the catalyst activity of this sulfonated carbon catalysts will be depressed due to the leaching of sulfonic group. Nevertheless, in study of Chen and Fang (2010), the catalyst activity of solid acid catalyst prepared from glucose-starch

mixture, can be reactivated by washing by 5% and 98% H_2SO_4 but the mechanism of catalyst regeneration by 98% H_2SO_4 needed verifying in the future research.

CHAPTER 3

MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Materials

Palm Fatty Acid Distillate, PGEO Edible Oils Sdn, Bhd.
Methanol Analytical Grade, Fisher Scientific U.K. Limited
Sulfuric Acid 98%, RCI LabScan Limited
Sucrose, R&M Chemical
Ethanol vol 95%, HmbG Chemicals
Diethyl Ether Analytical Grade, Fisher Scientific U.K. Limited
Potassium Hydroxide Pellets Analytical Grade, Systerm
Phenolphthalein, HmbG Chemicals
Hexane HPLC Grade, Merck KGaA
Hexane Analytical Grade, R&M Chemical

3.2 Characterization of Feedstock

3.2.1 Preparation of Samples for Acid Value Test

The biodiesel samples were taken as close to 0.5g in a conical flask. 5 mL of the solvent was added into the flask and was shaken until all the sample had dissolved. 1 mL of Phenolphthalein was added into the flask. The sample was titrated with standardized potassium hydroxide solution until the first permanent pink colour. The acid value test was calculated using the following Formula 3.1:-

$$Acid Value = \frac{N \times MW \times Volume \text{ of Titrant Used}}{Mass \text{ of Biodiesel Sample}}$$

where,

(Formula 3.1)

N= Normality of Potassium Hydroxide (KOH) used

MW= Molecular Weight of Potassium Hydroxide; 56.11g/mol

The percentage of conversion of the Free Fatty Acids was calculated using the Formula 3.2 below:-

Percentage of Conversion, %

 $= \frac{(\text{Acid Value of Feedstock} - \text{Acid Value of Sample})}{\text{Acid Value of Feedstock}} \times 100\%$

(Formula 3.2)

3.3 Synthesis of Catalyst

3.3.1 Preparation of Partial Carbonized Sucrose Precursor, S400P

The calcines were pyrolysed in the flow of nitrogen gas. The resultant solid was ground then dried in desiccators.
3.3.2 Preparation of Partial Sulfonated Carbonized Sucrose, S400

The precursor was mixed with concentrated sulfuric acid. Then the mixture was heated for 15 hours in the flow of nitrogen gas. After the sulfonation, the mixture was diluted by distillated water with a 20 times of the total volume of sulfuric acid used. The diluted mixture then filtered and washed with hot water. This sulfonated carbonized sucrose was denoted as S400.

3.4 Catalyst Characterization

3.4.1 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

The analysis was carried out by using Shimadze Diffractometer model XRD-6000. The scanning parameters of the X-Ray Diffractometer were as followed:-

- CuK α radiation with 1.5418Å wavelength
- Scan axis of Theta-2 Theta
- Range of 5° to 80°
- Speed of 2°/mins
- 0.02° pitch
- Present time of 0.6 sec
- 40kV
- 30mA

3.4.2 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

The observation was done by using JSM – 6701 F Field Emission SEM. The catalyst sample was put under Scanning Electron Microscope to observe its morphology of the sample surface under 3.0kV; X 1900, 3.0kV; X 15000; 3.0kV; X 40000. The specifications of the SEM done were listed as below:-

- 1.0nm (15kV), 2.2nm (1kV)
- Accelerating Voltage of 0.5kV to 30kV
- Magnification of ×25 to ×650,000
- Cold field emission electron gun

After the observation, the images were saved, and a rough estimation of the particle size can be done by comparing the particle size observed with the measurement obtained from the SEM image.

value of known element then the presence of an element in the sample can be identified.

3.4.3 Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy

Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy was done using Potassium Bromide (KBr) plate and Perkin Elmer FT-IR Spectrometer. A radio of 10:1 of KBR pellets to the catalyst powder was mixed and the mixture of KBr powder and catalyst powder was compressed into a thin plate by using hydraulic press. The plate was later placed on the sample holder and scanned using Infrared Spectrometer at the scan range of 4000cm⁻¹ to 600cm⁻¹.

3.5 Synthesis of Biodiesel

10g of preheated PFAD was poured into the 250 mL conical flask and immersed in a 70°C oil bath under 600 rpm agitation speed. The mass of methanol with the methanol/PFAD molar ratio of 20:1 was mixed with 2 wt.% of synthesized solid catalyst and poured into the preheated PFAD then refluxed for 90 min. The biodiesel was bottled for further analysis.

3.6 Preparation of Various Solutions for Biodiesel Characteristics

3.6.1 Preparation of Various Solutions for Acid Value Test

(Discussed previously in Section 3.2)

3.6.2 Preparation of Solvent for Thin Layer Chromatography

Analytical grade of Hexane, Diethyl Ether and Acetic Acid were used with the ratio of the solvent of 75:25:1.

3.6.3 Preparation of Dye for Thin Layer Chromatography

10% by mass of Phosphomolybdic Acid was added into 95% Ethanol by volume, the solution was stirred with a stir bar until the solution is homogeneous. The solution was then poured into a screw capped bottle and the cap was screwed tight, parafilm sealed and kept in the fridge until further usage.

3.7 Preparation of Samples for Biodiesel Characterization

3.7.1 Acid Value Test

(Discussed previously in Section 3.2)

3.7.2 Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)

3.7.2.1 Preparation of TLC Development Chamber

A container with a good cover such as a used jar can be used as a developing chamber. A piece of filter paper was placed into the jar and about 1 cm high solvent was poured into the flask. The jar was left sealed for 5 minutes for the solvent to saturate the tank.

3.7.2.2 Dilution for Sample and Feedstock

The feedstock and biodiesel samples were diluted with analytical grade hexane with a ratio of 1:4.

3.7.2.3 Preparation of the TLC Plate

The silica Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) plates were cut into 10x4 cm in size, and 1 cm lines were drawn across the top and bottom of the plates. The samples were spotted carefully at the bottom line of the surface of the plate and labeled. The plates were put into the developing tank. When the solvent reaches the top line, the plate was taken out and was dipped in the dye prepared. After dipping, the plates were immediately left to develop at 80°C oven for 15 minutes.

3.7.3 Viscosity Test

The sample biodiesel was poured into the viscometer (Poulten Selfe & Lee Ltd) until the maximum point of the viscometer. The viscometer was then immersed in the water bath until thermal equilibrium. Then the sample biodiesel was pumped above the top mark and when the biodiesel drops until the top mark, the timer was started and stopped when it drops to the lower mark. The viscosity can be calculated by using the Formula 3.4 below:-

Viscosity = Ct

Where,

(Formula 3.4)

t = time taken for sample to drop from top mark to lower mark

C = Calibration Constant of Viscometer = 0.009794 (mm²/s)/s (Poulten Selfe & Lee Ltd)

3.7.4 Liquid Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy

Liquid Infrared (IR) was done using Zinc Selenide Sample Holder and Perkin Elmer FT-IR Spectrometer instead of the normal infrared plates using Potassium Bromide (KBr) pellets. The sample was added drop wise onto the plate, then covered slowly to ensure there were no bubbles trapped between the plates and the sample was scanned using Infrared Spectrometer at the scan range of 4000cm⁻¹ to 600cm⁻¹.

3.7.5 Water Contents

5 g of biodiesel was weighed and heated on 110°C with stirring for 1 hour. After the biodiesel was allowed to cold down to room temperature, the mass of the biodiesel was measured.

3.8 Reusability Test of Catalyst

After determined the exact optimized parameters for biodiesel synthesize, biodiesel was synthesized through the exact optimized parameters. The catalyst was removed after each synthesis and washed with methanol. The methanol was removed and new methanol was introduced to the catalyst with the optimized amount and the biodiesel synthesis was repeated again for 2 times.

3.9 Characterization of Biodiesel from Reusability Test

3.9.1 Acid Value Test

(Discussed previously in Section 3.2)

CHAPTRER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Characterization of Feedstock (PFAD)

4.1.1 Acid Value Test

The solid nature of the feedstock was due to the presence of high saturation fatty acid. Therefore, the feedstock needs to be heated to liquid state before the addition of other reactants. The acid value for feedstock is 252.56 mg KOH/g. This value will be used to calculate the conversion of PFAD to biodiesel.

4.1.2 Liquid Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy

Figure 4.1 shows the IR spectrum of PFAD. The spectrum of PFAD was compared with the oleic acid in the database (No. F75093) and they have similar pattern. Hence this can be concluded that PFAD mainly containing fatty acid. The peak at 1704 cm⁻¹ indicate the stretching of carbonyl group of carboxylic acid and the broad peak near 3000cm⁻¹ is due to stretching of hydroxide group (-OH). Posada et al. (2007) stated that PFAD is a mixture containing free fatty acids, squalene, vitamin E, sterols, glycerides and other unknown compound. Therefore those minor compound present in PFAD contribute the peak near 2360 and 2340 cm⁻¹.

Peak Table:

PFAD 3011201... 3401 4000.00 600.00 1.14 107.13 4.00 %T 3 1.00

REF: 4000 103.17 2000 100.88 600 3699.00 99.60 3007.00 45.69 2920.00 2.22 2853.00 5.71 2673.00 62.80 2361.00 75.42 2341.00 80.33 1708.00 1.14 1463.00 27.39 1412.00 26.02 1378.00 63.37 1283.00 24.42 1243.00 34.18 1117.00 71.46 932.00 33.94 782.00 101.96 721.00 41.67 684.00 84.95 668.00 90.38 END 19 Peaks found



Figure 4.1: FTIR spectrum of PFAD

4.2 Characterization of catalyst

4.2.1 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

The XRD pattern of the precursor, S400P and sulfonated catalyst, S400 were shown Figure 4.2. According to Tsubouchi et al. (2003), the broad and weak peak at around 20° are assigned to the graphitic (0 0 2) planes.



Figure 4.2: XRD pattern of S400P and S400

4.2.2 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

Figure 4.3 shows the SEM images of the catalyst. The particle size of the catalyst is about $40\mu m$ and no obvious pores can be observed from the SEM images. When the particles were magnified to 4000 times, the SEM images was shown that the surface of the catalyst was not organized.



(b)



(c)



Figure 4.3: SEM images of sulfonated catalyst, S400 with magnification X1,900 (a), X15,000 (b) and X40,000 (c)

4.2.3 Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy

The IR spectrum for catalyst S400P as the precursor and S400 as the sulfonated catalyst were attached in Figure 4.4 and 4.5 respectively. The band at 3444 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the –OH stretching modes of phenolic –OH groups while the bands at 1638 cm⁻¹ and 1458 cm⁻¹ were assigned to aromaticlike C=C stretching mode in polyaromatic sketch (Chen & Fang, 2010; Rao et al., 2011). This result is agreed with the finding of Mo et al. (2008) that incomplete carbonization of starch-derived catalyst can form a rigid carbon material that composed of small polycyclic aromatic carbon sheet with phenolic group. By comparing FTIR spectra between S400P and S400, S400 has new peak at 1700 and 1033 cm⁻¹. This is due to the stretching modes of carbonyl group of carboxylic acid group and stretching modes of sulfate groups (Shu et al, 2010) respectively. The carbon frameworks formed during high temperature carbonization have efficient IR absorption ability hence reduce the intensities of peak in FTIR spectrum (Nakajima and Hara, 2012). Hence, the intensity of FTIR spectra obtained in this analysis was low and the peaks were hardly to be identified.



Figure 4.4: FTIR spectra of precursor, S400P



Figure 4.5: FTIR spectra of sulfonated catalyst, S400

a)

4.3 Optimization of Biodiesel

4.3.1 Optimization via One-Variable-At-A-Time

4.3.1.1 Temperature

Temperature is an important variable as it will influence the rate of the catalytic reaction. The range of temperature that used in this study was 50°C to 100°C. The respective conversion for each temperature was plotted and shown in the Figure 4.6. The 70°C was chosen as fix parameter for the following optimization.



Figure 4.6: The effect of reaction temperature towards the fatty acid conversion

4.3.1.2 Reaction time

The reaction time from 30 min to 180 min was used to study its effect on conversion of PFAD to biodiesel. The respective percentage of conversion in each time interval was plotted and shown in the Figure 4.7. When the time exceeds 90 min, the percentage of conversion was increased by 2-3% per 30 min time increment. So the time range from 90-150 min was further study in the optimization method.



Figure 4.7: The effect of reaction time towards the fatty acid conversion

4.3.1.3 Catalyst Concentration

The catalyst concentration from 0.5 to 5 wt.% was manipulated to determine the effect on the PFAD conversion. The respective percentage of conversion for each concentration of catalyst used was plotted and shown in the Figure 4.8. When the catalyst concentration reached 4%, there was no significant increment in the conversion. Hence, the range of catalyst concentration involved in following optimization is 2 to 4 wt.%.



Figure 4.8: The effect of catalyst concentration towards the fatty acid conversion

4.3.1.4 Molar Ratio of Methanol to Feedstock

The molar ratio of methanol to feedstock ranged from 2.5:1 to 20:1 was used and the conversion was plotted in the Figure 4.9. From the trend result, it suggested the optimal range for molar ratio of methanol to feedstock was between 5:1 to 10:1.



Figure 4.9: The effect of molar ratio of methanol to PFAD towards fatty acid conversion

4.3.1.5 Agitation Speed

The agitation speed with 0 rpm to 800 rpm was used to investigate its effect towards conversion of PFAD. Their respective percentage conversion with different agitation speed was plotted and shown in Figure 4.10. In this part, the biodiesel production does not have significant difference with the increase of agitation speed. The 600 rpm was selected as the fixed parameter since it has the highest percentage of conversion.



Figure 4.10: The effect of agitation speed toward fatty acid conversion

4.4 Characterization of Biodiesel

4.4.1 Acid Value Test

The volume of titration and calculation was attached on Appendix B. The acid value of PFAD was 252.56 mg KOH/g. The acid value of PFAD was brought down to 28.45 mg KOH/g after carried out esterification to synthesize biodiesel under the optimal condition. The conversion of PFAD for the biodiesel is 88.73%. This high conversion indicated that the fatty acid in PFAD was converted.

4.4.2 Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)

TLC plate was spotted with the biodiesel, PFAD and methyl oleate ester as the standard and the TLC result was shown in the Figure 4.11. Biodiesel was spotted at the left side followed with PFAD in the middle while methyl oleate ester at the right side. According to Posada et al. (2007), PFAD contain of squalene, vitamin E, sterols, free fatty acids, glycerides and other unknown compound. Therefore, some of the spots in TLC plate were unable to identify. Based on the studies of Fedosov et al. (2011), the spot that travel further from the bottom line was FAME, followed by triglycerides, free fatty acid, diglycerides, and lastly monoglycerides. However, based on the TLC result, the spots that travelled further in biodiesel and PFAD cannot be identified and it might be due to the presence of unknown compound in PFAD. By referring to the spots developed by biodiesel on TLC plate, the second highest spot indicated the presence of FAME and this result was confirmed with the spot developed by the methyl oleate ester standard. The third spot away from the upper line was due to the presence of free fatty acid and followed with diglycerides and lowest spot was due to the presence of monoglycerides. For the spots developed by PFAD, the second spot away from the upper line was due to free fatty acid, followed by diglycerides and the spot near the bottom line was due to monoglycerides.



Figure 4.11: TLC plate for the Biodiesel, PFAD and Methyl Oleate Ester standard

4.4.3 Viscosity Test

The viscosity of biodiesel was measured under 40 ± 1 °C water bath and the time for the biodiesel to drop from the upper mark to the lower mark was recorded and the calculation of viscosity test was attached in Appendix E. The viscosity of biodiesel after calculation was 6.4 mm²/s and this property of FAME does not fulfilled the specification as stated in ASTM D6751 and EU 14214. In ASTM D6751 and EU 14214, the acceptable range of viscosity kinematic at 40°C for biodiesel is between 1.9-6.0 mm²/s and 3.5-5.0 mm²/s respectively. The reason that the biodiesel does not fulfill the specification is due to the conversion of fatty acid in PFAD is low resulted high content in fatty acid and low content of FAME (66.21%).

4.4.4 Liquid Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy

Figure 4.17 shows the IR spectrum for biodiesel. The spectrum of biodiesel was compared with methyl linoleate ester in the database (No. F62290). Biodiesel and methyl linoleate ester have the similar pattern. Therefore, this can indicated that biodiesel mainly containing methyl fatty acid chain ester. The sharp peak at 1741 cm⁻¹ is due to the presence of carbonyl group of ester group while there has no broad peak near 3000 cm⁻¹ indicated that the hydroxide group in the fatty acid was converted into ester group.

Peak Table:

Biodiesel 30... 3401 4000.00 600.00 2.72 100.34 4.00 %T 3 1.00

REF: 4000 99.92 2000 99.37 600 3464.00 97.25 3004.00 66.64 2919.00 2.81 2852.00 6.42 2681.00 91.21 2358.00 87.63 2339.00 90.53 2040.00 98.50 1741.00 2.72 1712.00 30.24 1461.00 24.52 1436.00 23.23 1361.00 43.76 1245.00 25.08 1195.00 13.65 1169.00 8.55 1118.00 37.05 1096.00 50.42 1015.00 55.86 881.00 71.17 846.00 71.25 722.00 27.18 617.00 87.44 604.00 88.22 END 24 Peaks found



Figure 4.12: FTIR spectrum of Biodiesel

4.4.5 Water Content

The water content of the optimized biodiesel was as 0.46% and the low water content in FAME was due to the water was mainly removed during the last steps mentioned as section 3.5. The calculation for water content was attached in Appendix F.

4.5 Reusability Test of Catalyst

The conversion of PFAD and the cycle of catalyst reused was plotted and shown in the Figure 4.18. The acid value of PFAD was 252.56 mg KOH/g and after the first usage of catalyst, the acid value of biodiesel fallen to 28.45 mg KOH/g. When the catalyst was reused after the first usage, there have no significant decrease in acid value of PFAD resulted low conversion of PFAD. The conversion of PFAD drop significantly from 88.73% to 26.24% after the 1^{st} cycle of catalyst reusability test and the conversion continuously dropped to 22.39% after the 2^{nd} cycle of catalyst reuse.



Figure 4.13: The effect of cycle of catalyst reused towards fatty acid conversion

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION

Sucrose was used as solid acid catalyst to synthesize biodiesel from PFAD through esterification reaction with methanol. The XRD and SEM results shown that this catalyst possess an amorphous carbon structure. FTIR result was shown that this catalyst consists of aromatic-like C=C functional group and sulfonic group. Sulfur content in the catalyst was investigated via EDS and the result indicated that sulfonic group was introduced into the precursor. The parameters such as reaction temperature, reaction time, agitation speed, molar ratio of methanol to feedstock and catalyst concentration were selected to investigate their influence towards the conversion of PFAD to biodiesel. After the One-Variable-At-One-Time, agitation speed was screened out as the less significant parameter. Three parameter involved in RSM were catalyst concentration (2 - 4 wt.%), reaction time (90 -150 min) and molar ratio of methanol to feedstock (5:1 - 10:1). The optimal condition for the conversion of PFAD was 140 min of reaction time, 10:1 of molar ratio of methanol to PFAD, 4 wt.% of catalyst concentration, 70°C of reaction time and 600 rpm of agitation speed. The predicted value for the conversion of PFAD was 86.41% and the actual value for the conversion of PFAD was 88.73%. The reusability of this synthesized catalyst was low due to the leaching of the active species from the catalyst. This result is in agreement with sulfur content of biodiesel and the EDS data of the reused catalyst.

REFERENCE

Agarwal, M., Chauhan, G., Chaurasia, S.P., Singh, K., 2012. *Study of catalytic behavior of KOH as homogeneous and heterogeneous catalyst for biodiesel production.* Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers, 43(1), pp. 89–94.

Avramović, J.M., Stamenković, O.S., Todorović, Z.B., Lazić, M.L., Veljković, V.B., 2010. *The optimization of the ultrasound-assisted base-catalyzed sunflower oil methanolysis by a full factorial design*. Fuel Processing Technology, 91(11), pp. 1551–1557.

Barakos, N., Pasias, S., Papayannakos, N., 2008. *Transesterification of triglycerides in high and low quality oil feeds over an HT2 hydrotalcite catalyst*. Bioresource Technology, 99(11), pp. 5037–5042.

Bart, J.C.J., Palmeri, N., and Cavallaro, S., 2010. *Biodiesel science and technology from soil to oil*. Woodhead Publishing Limited and CRC press LLC.

Berger, K.G., 2005. *The use of palm oil in frying*. Malaysian palm Oil Promotion Council.

Berrios, M., Gutiérrez, M.C., Martín, M.A., Martín, A., 2009. *Application of the factorial design of experiments to biodiesel production from lard*. Fuel Processing Technology, 90(12), pp.1447–1451.

Bezerra, M.A., Santelli, R.E., Oliveira, E.P., Villar, L.S., Escaleira, L.A., 2008. *Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry*. Talanta 76(5), pp. 965–977.

Boey, P.L., Ganesan, S., Maniam, G.P, Khairuddean, M., and Efendi, J., 2012. *A new heterogeneous acid catalyst for esterification: Optimization using response surface methodology.* Energy Conversion and Management 65, pp. 392–396.

Charoenchaitrakool, M., Thienmethangkoon, J., 2011. *Statistical optimization* for biodiesel production from waste frying oil through two-step catalyzed process. Fuel Processing Technology 92(1), pp. 112–118.

Chen, G., Fang. B., 2010. *Preparation of solid acid catalyst from glucose-starch mixture for biodiesel production*. Bioresource Technology 102(3), pp. 2635–2640.

Chongkhong, S., Tongurai C., Chetpattananondh P., 2009. *Continuous esterification for biodiesel production from palm fatty acid distillate using economical process*. Biomass and Bioenergy 31(8), pp. 563–568.

Demirbas, A., 2006. *Biodiesel production via non-catalytic SCF method and biodiesel fuel characteristics*. Energy Conversion Management 47, pp. 2271–2282.

Demirbas, A., 2008. *Biodiesel: A Realistic Fuel Alternative for Diesel Engines*. Springer-Verlag London Limited.

Demirbas, A., 2009. *Progress and recent trends in biodiesel fuels*. Energy Conversion and Management 50, pp. 14-34.

Ejikeme, P.M., Anyaogu, I.D., Ejikeme, C.L., Nwafor, N.P., Egbuonu, C.A.C., Ukogu, K. and Ibemesi, J.A., 2009. *Catalysis in biodiesel production by transesterification process-an insight*. E-Journal of chemistry 7(4), pp. 1120-1132.

Fedosov, S.N., Brask, J. Xu, X., 2011. Analysis of biodiesel conversion using thin layer chromatography and nonlinear calibration curves. Journal of Chromatography A 1218(19), pp. 2785–2792.

Franceschini, G. and Macchietto, S., 2008. *Model-based design of experiments for parameter precision: State of the art.* Chemical Engineering Science 63(19), pp. 4846–4872.

Fukuda, H., Kondo, A. and Noda, H., 2001. *Biodiesel Fuel Production by Transesterification of Oils*. Jornal of Bioscience and Bioengineering 92(5), pp. 405-416.

Garcia, C.M., Teixeira, S., Marciniuk, L.L., Schuchardt, U., 2008. *Transesterification of soybean oil catalyzed by sulfated zirconia*. Bioresource Technology 99(14), pp. 6608–6613.

Gerpen, J.V., Shanks, B., Pruszko, R., Clements, D. and Knothe, G., 2004. *Biodiesel Analytical Method. National Renewable Energy Laboratory*. NREL/SR-510-36240.

Hayyan, A., Mjalli, F.S., Hashim, M.A., Hayyan, M, AlNashef, I.M., Al-Zahrani, S.M., Al-Saadi, M.A., 2011. *Ethanesulfonic acid-based esterification of industrial acidic crude palm oil for biodiesel production*. Bioresource Technology 102(20), pp. 9564–9570.

Kafuku, G., Mbarawa, M., 2010. *Biodiesel production from Croton megalocarpus oil and its process optimization*. Fuel 89, pp. 2556–2560.

Karabas, H., 2013. *Biodiesel production from crude acorn (Quercus frainetto L.) kernel oil: An optimisation process using the Taguchi method.* Renewable Energy 53, pp 384–388.

Kim, S.T., Yim, B.B. and Park, Y., 2010. Application of Taguchi Experimental Design for the Optimization of Effective Parameters on the Rapeseed Methyl Ester Production. Environ. Eng. Res., 15(3), pp. 129-134.

Knothe, G., 2005. *Oxidative stability of biodiesel*. Chapter 6.4 in G. Knothe, J. Van Gerpen, and J. Krahl, eds. *The Biodiesel Handbook*. Champaign, Ill.: American Oil Chemists' Society Press.

Kouzu, M., Kasuno, T., Tajika, M., Sugimoto, Y., Yamanaka, S., Hidaka, J., 2007. *Calcium oxide as a solid base catalyst for transesterification of soybean oil and its application to biodiesel production*. Fuel 87(12), pp. 2798–2806.

Leung, D.Y.C and Guo, Y., 2006. *Transesterification of neat and used frying oil: Optimization for biodiesel production*. Fuel Processing Technology 87, pp. 883-890.

Loh, S.K., Choo, Y.M., Cheng, S.F. and Ma, A.N., 2003. Used Frying Oil: *Recovery and Applications*. MPOB Information Series ISSN 1511-7871.

Lotero, E., Liu, Y., Lopez D. E., Suwannakarn, K., Bruce D. A., and Goodwin, J. G., Jr., 2005. *Synthesis of biodiesel via Acid Catalyst*. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research 44, pp. 5353-5363.

Lou, W.Y., Zong, M.H., Duan, Z.Q., 2008. *Efficient production of biodiesel* from high free fatty acid-containing waste oils using various carbohydratederived solid acid catalysts. Bioresource Technology 99(18), pp. 8752–8758.

Lundstedt, T., Seifert, E., Abramo, L., Thelin, B., Nyström, A., Pettersen, J., Bergman, R., 1998. *Experimental design and optimization*. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems 42(1-2), pp. 3-40.

Ma, F.R. and Hanna, M.A., 1999. *Biodiesel production: a review*. Biosource Technology 70(1), pp. 1-15.

Melero, J.A., Iglesias, J. and Morales, G., 2009. *Heterogeneous acid catalysts for biodiesel production: current status and future challenges*. Green Chemistry 11, pp.1285-1308.

Mo, X., López, D.E., Suwannakarn, K., Liu, Y., Lotero, E., Goodwin, J.G., Lu, C., 2008. Activation and deactivation characteristics of sulfonated carbon catalysts. Journal of Catalysis 254(2), pp. 332–338.

Nakajima, K. and Hara, M., 2012. *Amorphous Carbon with SO3H Groups as a Solid Brønsted Acid Catalyst*. ACS Catalyst 2, pp. 1296–1304.

Park, J.Y., Kim, D.K., Lee, J.S., 2010. *Esterification of free fatty acids using water-tolerable Amberlyst as a heterogeneous catalyst*. Bioresource Technology 101, pp. 62–65.

Park, Y.M., Lee, J.Y., Chung, S.H., Park, I.S., Lee, S.Y., Kim, D.K., Lee J.S., and Lee, K.Y., 2009. *Esterification of used vegetable oils using the heterogeneous WO*₃/ZrO₂ catalyst for production of biodiesel. Bioresource Technology 101, pp. 59-61.

Peng, B.X., Shu, Q., Wang, J.F., Wang, G.R., Wang, D.Z., Han, M.H., 2008. *Biodiesel production from waste oil feedstocks by solid acid catalysis*. Process Safety and Environmental Protection 86(6), pp. 441–447.

Posada, L.R., Shi, J., Kakuda, Y., Sophia, J.X., 2007. *Extraction of tocotrienols from palm fatty acid distillates using molecular distillation*. Separation and Purification Technology 57(2), pp.220–229.

Ramachandran, K., Sivakumar, P., Suganya, T., Renganathan, S., 2011. *Production of biodiesel from mixed waste vegetable oil using an aluminium hydrogen sulphate as a heterogeneous acid catalyst.* Bioresource Technology 102(15), pp.7289–7293.

Ramu, S., Lingaiah, N., Devi, B.L.A.P., Prasad, R.B.N., Suryanarayana, I., Prasad, P.S.S., 2004. *Esterification of palmitic acid with methanol over tungsten oxide supported on zirconia solid acid catalysts: effect of method of preparation of the catalyst on its structural stability and reactivity*. Applied Catalyst A: General 276, pp. 163–168.

Rao, B.V.S.K., Chandra M.K., Rambabu N, Dalai A.K., Prasad R.B.N., 2011. *Carbon-based solid acid catalyst from de-oiled canola meal for biodiesel production*. Catalysis Communications 14(1), pp. 20–26.

Rattanaphra, D., Harvey, A.P., Thanapimmetha, A., Srinophakun, P., 2012. *Simultaneous transesterification and esterification for biodiesel production with and without a sulphated zirconia catalyst.* Fuel 97, pp. 467–475.

Shahid, E.M., Jamal, Y., 2011. *Production of biodiesel: A technical review*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 15(9), pp. 4732–4745.

Sharma, Y.C., Singh, B., Korstad, J., 2010. Latest developments on application of heterogenous basic catalysts for an efficient and eco friendly synthesis of biodiesel: A review. Fuel 90(4), pp. 1309–1324.

Shu, Q., Gao, J., Nawaz, Z., Liao, Y., Wang, D., Wang, J., 2010. *Synthesis of biodiesel from waste vegetable oil with large amounts of free fatty acids using a carbon-based solid acid catalyst*. Applied Energy 87(8), pp. 2589–2596.

Superdan, M.D., and Satriana., 2009. *Esterification of free fatty acid in crude palm oil off grade*. Jurnal Rekaysa Kimia dan Lingkungan 7(2), pp. 69-73, ISSN 1412-5064.

Takagaki, A., Toda, M., Okamura, M., Kondo, J.N., Hayashi, S., Domen, K., Hara, M., 2006. *Esterification of higher fatty acids by a novel strong solid acid.* Catalysis Today 116(2), pp. 157–161.

Tang, C.Y., Wu Y.L. and Peng C.C., 2011. Fundamental matrix estimation by multiobjective genetic algorithm with Taguchi's method. Applied Software Computing 12(1), pp. 553–558.

Thiruvengadaravi, K.V., Nandagopal, J., Baskaralingam, P., Sathya Selva Bala, V., Sivanesan, S., 2012. *Acid-catalyzed esterification of karanja (Pongamia pinnata) oil with high free fatty acids for biodiesel production.* Fuel 98, pp. 1–4.

Toda, M., Takagaki, A., Okamura, M., Kondo, J.N., Hayashi, S., Domen, K., Hara, M., 2005. *Green Chemistry: Biodiesel made with sugar catalyst*. Nature 438.

Tsubouchi, N., Xu, C., and Ohtsuka, Y., 2003. *Carbon Crystallization during High-Temperature Pyrolysis of Coals and the Enhancement by Calcium*. Energy Fuels 17(5), pp. 1119-1125.

Umdu, E.S., Tuncer, M., Seker, E., 2009. *Transesterification of Nannochloropsis oculata microalga's lipid to biodiesel on Al2O3 supported CaO and MgO catalysts*. Bioresource Technology 100(11), pp. 2828–2831.

Vasudevan, P.T. and Briggs, M., 2008. *Biodiesel production – current state of the art and challenges*. Journal of Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology 35, pp. 421-430.

Wan Nor Nadyaini Wan Omar, Nor Aishah Saidina Amin., 2010. *Optimization of heterogeneous biodiesel production from waste cooking palm oil via response surface methodology*. Biomass and Bioenergy 35, pp. 1329 - 1338.

Wang, Y., Ou, S., Liu, P., Xue, F., Tang, S., 2006. *Comparison of two different processes to synthesize biodiesel by waste cooking oil*. Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 252, pp. 107–112.

Warabi, Y., Kusdiana D, Saka S., 2004. *Reactivity of triglycerides and fatty acids of rapeseed oil in supercritical alcohols*. Bioresource Technology 91, pp. 283-287.

Yin, P., Chen, L., Wang, Z., Qu, R., Liu, X., Xu, Q., Ren, S., 2012. *Biodiesel production from esterification of oleic acid over aminophosphonic acid resin D418*. Fuel 102, pp. 499–505.

Yusup, S. and Khan, M.A., 2010. *Base catalyzed transesterification of acid treated vegetable oil blend for biodiesel production*. Biomass and Bioenergy 34(10), pp. 1500–1504.

Zabeti, M. Wan Mohd Ashri Wan Daud, Mohamed Kheireddine Aroua., 2010. *Biodiesel production using alumina-supported calcium oxide: An optimization study.* Fuel Processing Technology 91(2), pp. 243–248.

Zhang, J. and Jiang, L., 2008. Acid-catalyzed esterification of Zanthoxylum bungeanum seed oil with high free fatty acids for biodiesel production. Bioresource Technology 99(18), pp. 8995–8998.

Zhang, Y., Dube, M.A., McLean, D.D., Kates, M., 2003. *Biodiesel production from waste cooking oil: 1. Process design and technological assessment.* Bioresource Technology 89, pp. 1–16.

Appendix A

No	Time,	Temperature,	Catalyst	Molar ratio of	Agitation
of	min	°C	Concentration,	feedstock to	speed, rpm
set			wt%	methanol	
1	90	70	2	1:5.0	600
2	30				
3	60				
4	120	70	2	1:5.0	600
5	150				
6	180				
7		50			
8		60			
9	90	80	2	1:5.0	600
10		90			
11		100			
12			0.5		
13			1		
14	90	70	3	1:5.0	600
15			4		
16			5		
17				1:2.5	
18	90	70	2	1:5.0	600
19	. 90	70	2	1:15.0	
20				1:20.0	
21					0
22	90	70	2	1:5.0	200
23		10	-	1.5.0	400
24					800

Calculation for Acid Value of biodiesel synthesized under optimal condition.

Percentage of	Mass of	Normality of	Volume of	Acid value,
conversion, %	sample, g	KOH used, N	titrant used, ml	mg KOH/g
88.73	0.5232	0.1	2.6	28.45

Appendix B

Viscosity test no	Time		Total time, t (s)
	min	second	
1	10	51.35	651.35
2	10	51.45	651.45
3	10	52.19	652.19
4	10	51.33	651.33
Average	651.58		

Kinematic viscosity, v = Ct

 $= (0.009821 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}^2) \times (651.58 \text{ s})$

 $= 6.3992 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$

Appendix C

Calculation for water content of biodiesel

4243
4716
4484

Water content (%) = $\frac{39.4716g - 39.4484g}{36.4716g - 34.4243g} \times 100\% = 0.46\%$